

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/20/2023
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	09/20/2023
1D-11a. Letter Signed by Working Group	Yes	Letter Signed by ...	09/20/2023
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	09/20/2023
1E-1. Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Web Posting of Lo...	09/20/2023
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/20/2023
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored forms for ...	09/26/2023
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/20/2023
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/25/2023
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	09/20/2023
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Web Posting—CoC-A...	09/25/2023

1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Notification of C...	09/25/2023
2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD's Homeless Da...	09/20/2023
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	09/20/2023
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	09/20/2023
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Letter Signed by Working Group

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored forms for one project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted 606

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description: Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of CoC Approved Consolidated App 606

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

1C-7: Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area – New Admissions –
General/Limited Preference

This attachment contains the following:

1. **NYS Homes & Community Renewal** – Housing Choice Voucher Administrator
 - a. Administrative Plan – Limited Preference: 10% of new admissions must meet preference - Highlighted Section: Homeless Preference

2. **New Square Housing Authority**
 - a. No preference



Homes and Community Renewal

STATEWIDE SECTION 8 VOUCHER PROGRAM

Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan

Effective April 26, 2021

Version 2021 - 1

INTRODUCTION

The overall mission of the New York State Homes and Community Renewal (HCR) is Partnering to Improve and Preserve our Homes and Communities.

The New York State Homes and Community Renewal comprises all the State's major housing and community renewal agencies, among which are the Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR) and the Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC), a subsidiary public benefit corporation of the NYS Housing Finance Agency (HFA). HTFC contracts with DHCR to administer some of the activities of the Section 8 program.

Within the overall mission of the agency, this Administrative Plan serves as the HCR operational handbook for implementing the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program, including Enhanced and Project-based Vouchers). This Plan has been prepared in such a manner as to ensure compliance with all requirements set forth in 24 CFR §982.54 (Administrative Plan).

In the implementation of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program, HCR acts as the Public Housing Agency (PHA) for all local programs under its purview. In this capacity as PHA, HCR has full responsibility for the satisfactory completion of all contractual obligations with HUD. The Section 8 tenant-based assistance programs are federally funded and administered for the State of New York by HCR through its Statewide Section 8 Voucher Program Office.

To effectively and efficiently implement the program over its entire Statewide jurisdiction, HCR has contracted with Local Administrators (LAs) to undertake necessary field activities. Day-to-day responsibility for local administration of the HCV Program in the field is assumed by each LA in its designated local area of operation. The divisions of responsibilities are detailed in a contract between HCR and each of its LAs.

The NYS HCR/Statewide Section 8 Voucher Program is authorized to administer the Section 8/Housing Choice Voucher Program statewide, currently in the following NYS jurisdictions: Allegany, Cattaraugus, Cayuga, Chautauqua, Chemung, Chenango, Clinton, Columbia, Delaware, Dutchess, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Genesee, Greene, Hamilton, Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Livingston, Madison, Nassau, New York (*Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens, Staten Island*), Niagara, Oneida, Ontario, Orange, Orleans, Oswego, Otsego, Putnam, **Rockland**, Saratoga, Seneca, Schuyler, Steuben, St. Lawrence, Suffolk, Sullivan, Tioga, Tompkins, Ulster, Washington, Wayne, Westchester, Wyoming and Yates Counties. HCR is also authorized to administer a mobility counseling program in Westchester County.

Administration of the Section 8 Program and the functions and responsibilities of the HCR staff will be in compliance with the HCR Personnel Policy and HUD's Section 8 Regulations as well as all Federal, State and local Fair Housing Laws and Regulations.

rules and regulations and HCR's Administrative Plan. The standards and policies currently used to safeguard the privacy and confidentiality of tenant information and tenant files should apply equally to the employee. Special efforts should be taken to assure that the employee/applicant is not receiving preferential treatment. This policy also applies to relatives of employees.

The word "relative" as used in this section pertains to parent, child, grandparent, grandchild, sister, or brother of any employee.

1.03 Preferences

HCR has established local preferences for tenant-based vouchers within the Housing Choice Voucher Program to further objectives towards improved residential stability, expanding housing opportunities and alleviating homelessness within New York State.

Each LA must give preference to applicants on their general tenant-based waiting list for the Housing Choice Voucher Program, as described below:

First priority shall be given to the following:

Households defined as Homeless.

A qualified household must fall under one of the two categories listed below as defined by HUD (10% of each LA's general allocation of regular vouchers must be dedicated to this preference - additional information below):

Category 1: An individual or family who *lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence*, meaning:

a. An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground; *or*

b. An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide **temporary** living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state, or local government programs for low-income individuals); *or*

c. An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution.

Category 4: Any individual or family who:

a. Is *fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking*, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence; *and*

- b. Has no other residence; *and*
- c. Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing.

In addition to identifying as one of the categories listed above, **HCR requires** the applicant provide or obtain written verification from a coordinating shelter, housing provider, service agency or institution (for those being discharged) confirming the same.

Second priority will be given to the following (No limitation):

Households identified as Elderly and/or Disabled (as defined by HUD) or Families with Dependent Children.

Third priority (No limitation):

All applicants who do not meet the criteria to claim one of the preferences described above but meet all other eligibility criteria as described in HUD regulations and this Administrative Plan.

As allowed under HUD regulations, HCR has exercised its' discretion to limit the number of applicants that may qualify for a local preference, therefore, 10% of each LA's general allocation of regular vouchers, not including those programs with a separate project number (i.e., Mainstream, VASH), must be designated for the above stated homeless preference. As long as the maximum threshold of 10% for each LA has not been reached, the homeless preference remains active within their jurisdiction. Once an LA has reached the maximum allowable participants for this preference, all remaining applicants will be chosen in order of remaining priorities and by position on the waiting list. Once a participant's voucher, that was initially qualified for assistance under the homeless preference has been terminated or relinquished, the LA must re-activate the homeless preference until the maximum allowable threshold is reached. Each LA will be responsible for maintaining their tenant-based waiting list in accordance with these requirements.

For the PBV program, while the homeless preference stated above is not applicable, each project sponsor is encouraged to consider a homeless preference for their project as allowed by and through the competitive selection process, funding requirements and any additional programmatic requirements applicable at the time of award.

All LA's with closed waiting lists must first offer current applicants on the waiting list who qualify to receive the benefit of the preference to move up on the waiting list accordingly. The notice to applicants must include how to successfully apply and establish themselves with the homeless preference status which would include the same format we implement for new applicants including contacting the partnering agencies for referrals and/or determination of preference eligibility. If a closed waiting list is opened to establish homeless applicants, the LA should specify on any public notice that current waiting list applicants will also be given the benefit of the preference.

1C-7. Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–
Moving On Strategy

This attachment contains the following:

1. Moving On Preferences
 - a. **NYS Homes and Community Renewal (HCR)** – Housing Choice Voucher Administrator
 - i. Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Memorandum of Understanding – Highlighted Section: Moving On preference in local priority
2. NY-606's Written Standards excerpt that details the CoC's Moving On Strategy.

1C-7. Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–**Moving On Strategy**

1. Moving On Preferences

a. **NYS Homes and Community Renewal (HCR)** – Housing Choice Voucher Administrator

- i. Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Memorandum of Understanding –
Highlighted Section: Moving On preference in local priority

**MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
ADMINISTRATION OF EMERGENCY HOUSING VOUCHERS IN ROCKLAND
COUNTY, NEW YORK**

This Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) is made and entered into as of this 15th day of July, 2021 (the “Effective Date”). It is executed between the following parties:

New York State Homes and Community Renewal (“HCR”) and its subsidiaries, including the Housing Trust Fund Corporation (“HTFC”) and the Division of Housing and Community Renewal (“DHCR”), having its principal office at 38-40 State Street, Albany, NY 12207

-and-

Rockland County Department of Social Services (“RCCoC/RCDSS”), having its principal office at 50 Sanatorium Road Building L, Pomona, New York 10970 .

Signing this agreement as the lead agency and authorized representative for:

NY-606 Rockland County CoC

The following counties within RCCoC/RCDSS service area are included within the scope of this agreement:

Rockland County

The following counties are excluded:

None

WHEREAS, the American Rescue Plan Act (a.k.a. COVID-19 Stimulus Package or “The Act”) was adopted into law on March 11, 2021, and provided for a \$1.9 trillion economic stimulus package;

WHEREAS, Section 3202 of The Act authorizes \$5 billion for Emergency Housing Vouchers (“EHVs”) to transition people currently experiencing or at risk of homelessness, including those who are survivors of domestic violence, to stable housing; and EHVs can be used by individuals and families experiencing homelessness who have difficulty being stably housed otherwise;

WHEREAS, funding for EHVs will be allocated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) to state and local Public Housing Authorities (“PHAs”) for distribution through waiting lists created and maintained by those PHAs;

WHEREAS, NYS HCR, through HTFC, serves as the only statewide PHA for New York State, and has received a preliminary allocation of 1,556 EHVs, with the possibility of additional allocations at a later date;

- provide a quarterly report of service referrals for households on the RCCoC/RCDSS'. The report shall not include data on individual households that would violate confidentiality; it shall provide a high level summary of the services being offered to participating households.
- viii. Where a voucher recipient referred by RCCoC/RCDSS is identified by HTFC as falling behind in rent or otherwise becoming at risk, HTFC may refer that household to RCCoC/RCDSS for linkages to additional services.

III. PRIORITIZATION

A. Prioritization for the Initial Lease-up Phase

RCCoC/RCDSS shall commence sending referrals to HCR or its designated agent on or about August 16, 2021 and concluding within 6 weeks – referred to here as the **initial lease-up phase**. The referrals shall be received in the following order:

Priority 1 – HCR will only accept referrals for the following types of households within the first three weeks, or from August 16 – September 3.

1. Households meeting HUD's Definition of Chronically Homeless as determined by the RCCoC/RCDSS.
2. Any literally homeless families, as defined by HUD in the Criteria and Recordkeeping Requirements for Definition of Homeless, with minor children under 18 years of age.
3. Households who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking.
4. Households participating in a Rapid Re-housing (RRH) program who would qualify for such assistance as defined by the terms of either the federal Emergency Solutions Grant ("ESG") program or the federal Continuum of Care program.

Moving On
Preference

*Please note that households from local programs similar to RRH but serving a broader population than required within the federal definition should not be sent as a Priority 1 referral, unless the household being referred would have qualified under the federal definition. To receive ESG rapid re-housing, an individual or family must demonstrate at initial evaluation that it is literally homeless (referred to as Category 1 in the Homeless Definition Final Rule).

Priority 2 – Within the second three weeks, or from September 6 to September 24, HCR will continue accepting referrals from Category 1 plus the following:

1. Any household classified as literally homeless, as defined above in Priority 1.

Failure by the RCCoC/RCDSS to adhere to these priorities may result in a loss of vouchers for RCCoC/RCDSS. HCR may adjust this schedule, including delaying the acceptance of referrals, and to adjust the priorities as necessary to ensure full voucher utilization. HCR will notify the RCCoC/RCDSSs of any adjustments. Such adjustments shall not be made without consulting with RCCoC/RCDSS.

1C-7. Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–**Moving On Strategy**

2. NY-606's Written Standards excerpt that details the CoC's Moving On Strategy.

MOVE ON STRATEGY FOR RECOGNITION OF TENANT INDEPENDENCE

The Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) has created a Move On Strategy to transition households in Supportive Housing (including Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH)) who no longer need intensive services to affordable housing. This strategy is broken into Phase I and Phase II, and sets out the actions RCCC will take to ensure the community has suitable long-term, affordable housing options for tenants ready to move on, and that tenants have the skills and are empowered to make this decision. The fundamental goal of the Move On Strategy is to promote the highest levels of independence and choice for tenants, as well as to create flow in supportive housing units to ensure these units are available for currently homeless families and individuals with disabilities who need housing combined with services. Promoting economic mobility and self-sufficiency, the Move On Strategy is first and foremost about celebrating growth, recovery and tenant success, and ensures all services are provided using strengths-based language and a recovery-focused model. Below details the CoC's process for identifying tenants who are eligible to move on; documentation needed to request ideal candidates for the strategy; and providing guidance for tenants on safety and security while prioritizing resources where they are most needed. The plan is based on a model Move On strategy discussed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Corporation for Supportive Housing. The RCCC Systems and Performance and Evaluation Committees will be responsible for providing regular trainings, resources, relationship building, and outcome tracking to support implementation of and monitor the Moving On Strategy.

Recruiting Affordable Housing Providers

The Move On Strategy targets existing tenants in supportive housing who are stable and require only minimal supportive services. These tenants are, with client choice, assisted to transition to a mainstream rent subsidy (typically the Housing Choice Voucher program) or an affordable housing unit, which frees up their subsidy for someone who is chronically homeless and needs the intensive services and long-term subsidies offered in supportive housing. The mainstream rent subsidy may include programs like Public Housing Authorities (PHAs), multifamily assisted housing owners, Low Income Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments, and local low-income housing programs. Phase I of the Move On Strategy is currently being implemented and includes recruiting local PHAs and affordable housing providers to participate in the program, by setting preferences for tenants moving on from supportive housing. Phase II of the Move On Strategy will include advocating to New York State to incorporate a preference for individuals and families moving on from supportive housing units in the NYS Affordable Housing Corporation Plan.

Identifying Households for Moving On

Housing providers identify households in supportive housing that may be ready to move on through ongoing case management with tenants. Specifically, program staff meet with tenants on an ongoing basis to establish tenant goals and set a plan towards meeting those goals, utilizing strengths-based language and a recovery-focused model. Program staff implement a client-choice model by ensuring tenants know there is a voluntary option to move on. Program staff ensure tenants interested in moving on (1) have demonstrated the ability to live stably and maintain housing, (2) will meet PHA (or other affordable housing providers) screening criteria, and (3) understand the decision to move on from supportive housing is voluntary. During Phase II of this strategy, a standardized assessment for moving on will be developed and implemented.

Program staff work with tenants to create a formal and comprehensive transition plan that identifies tenant strengths, living skills and the supports necessary to help them meet transition goals. Pre-transition plans are individualized to meet the specific needs of each household. Some common

resources or supports tenants often need and are connected to include: employment supports, benefits counseling, activities of daily living skills, community living skills, and connection to community-based services. As households volunteer, housing providers make referrals to the PHA (or other affordable housing providers).

Eligibility Considerations for Tenants

Individuals are identified by housing provider program staff who work directly with clients in the housing programs. Clients should meet four basic criteria in order to be recommended to move on: 1) a good rental history of on-time payments, 2) evidence of “good neighbor” behavior without any complaints or property management conflicts, 3) supported progress of quantitative areas and 4) low service needs. Housing providers identify households in supportive housing who may be candidates for moving on by analyzing observations (interviews/survey’s, demonstrated ability to live stably and maintain housing or any other mitigating circumstances) combined with quantitative key areas for assessing tenant capacity, motivation, confidence and emotional readiness. These key quantitative areas include:

- Emotional independence (interest and confidence in moving on),
- Financial Capacity (employment, income, savings, budgeting skills),
- Housing history (housing tenure, rent arrears, past evictions, neighbor/landlord relationships)
- Intensity of service use (need for on-site services),
- Health/behavioral health (substance use, mental health, medication management, treatment engagement, mobility),
- Connection to mainstream resources (rental supports if needed),
- Connection to family or other natural supports,
- Community living skills (self-managing behavior, limit setting relating to drugs, etc.),
- Activities of daily living skills (ability to get meals, keep apartment clean, follow lease), and
- Housing goals (location, size, affordability, live with family/friends).

Transition Services

Housing Providers are required to provide: assistance with locating and securing a housing unit; case management to support transition including but not limited to assistance building linkages to community supports and services, such as mental and physical health services, substance use treatment, stores for groceries and other necessities, recreational activities and public transportation options; and support with landlord negotiations. Services offered may also include: providing funds to cover moving services, utility deposits/arrears and furniture/household items; and assistance with family reunification.

Aftercare Supports

RCCC recommends housing providers offer voluntary aftercare services to individuals who have moved on for at least six months after their move-out, and track types of supports provided and outcomes of those supports. It is recommended housing providers provide a minimum of two check-ins per month that can be in-person, by phone or by email.

Creating a Culture of Moving On

RCCC believes a programmatic reward/incentive structure for Moving On can assist in further promoting a culture of independence and self-sufficiency. The CoC will develop a variety of strategies to publicize and build interest in Moving On opportunities, including providing trainings on and working with providers to: post fliers in highly visible locations; host community meetings on Moving On; conducting one-on-one outreach to tenants; and encourage Moving On peers to talk about their experiences and engage tenants.

Moving on Timing and Availability

RCCC understands a Move On request may not be able to be satisfied immediately due to a variety of variables. However, the housing program will act as quickly as possible with community partners to move a tenant into appropriate affordable housing.

Ongoing CoC Assessment of Move On Strategy

Once annually the CoC will assess the success of this Move On Strategy, reviewing number/percentage of persons who have moved on and rate of retention in affordable housing destinations. The CoC will also discuss strengths/weaknesses related to the strategy's recommendations for recruiting affordable housing providers, identifying households for moving on, eligibility considerations for tenants, transition services, aftercare supports, and creating a culture of moving on.

1D-11a. Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.

This attachment details the progress and intention of **NY-606** in the development of a working group of individuals with lived experience of homelessness. It includes:

1. A letter signed by the NY-606 Board Chair
 - a. The letter outlines the steps that the CoC has taken over the last year in development of a working group of individuals with lived experience of homelessness; including the topic areas that the working group will provide their perspective and experience on and will report back to the board with outcomes.

To Whom it May Concern,

Within the last fiscal year, the NY-606 CoC has begun its efforts to establish a Persons with Lived Experience Advisory Committee. The intent of this committee is to gather first-person feedback to develop the CoC's priorities to prevent and end homelessness. The CoC wishes to create a method for those served to voice their thoughts, suggestions, opinions, and concerns and have them directly shape the CoC system. The Advisory Committee will be instrumental in developing and supporting the CoC's priorities and strategies for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

The Advisory Committee will collect feedback regarding a range of CoC related topics. Those topics include but are not limited to: reviewing the Coordinated Entry intake form in coordination with the CE Lead (e.g. culturally competent and trauma informed language), reviewing the CE system evaluation tool to better identify gaps and barriers to services, and evaluating the Rank and Review Tool (e.g. annual funding priorities and agencies' ability to address racial disparities in service provision).

To this end, NY-606 has strategized within a regional committee with other CoC's on ways this local Advisory Committee may manifest. NY-606 is a participating member of the Regional Racial Justice Advisory Committee (RRJAC), a contingent of representatives from CoC's across New York state that collaborate on initiatives intended to address racial inequities in the homeless service system, administered by their collaborative applicant, CARES of N.Y. inc. Since November of 2022, the RRJAC, including its representatives from NY-606, drafted and approved structures and strategies for implementing a committee for persons with lived experience. The NY-606 representatives presented the recommendations produced by the RRJAC to their CoC Board.

Beginning January of 2023, NY-606 has taken several steps to develop the recommendations of the RRJAC. First, the NY-606 Board officially approved the Advisory Committee initiative presented by their RRJAC representatives. Additionally, the Board voted to approve the creation of a community feedback strategy, as per the recommendation of the RRJAC, which is intended to individually engage clients at CoC funded agencies. NY-606's Racial Justice Task Force will draft the survey to be distributed to agencies that will participate in the collection of client feedback. Clients will have the option to express interest in speaking with CoC members further about their thoughts and feedback. The CoC will also look to recruit any clients to become a member of formal Persons with Lived Experience Advisory Committee.

In summary, NY-606 is committed to developing a Persons with Lived Experience Committee and has taken steps to make this Committee a long-term and influential part of the CoC.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read "M. Beland", is written over a horizontal line.

CoC Board Co-Chair Signature

Print Name

1D-2a: Project Evaluation for **Housing First Compliance**

This attachment details how **NY-606** regularly evaluates its projects to ensure those that commit to using a Housing First approach are evaluated outside of the local competition rating and ranking process. It includes:

1. An example evaluation of at least one project
 - a. Center for Safety & Change, Inc.: NY-606 CSC DV RRH

1D-2a: Project Evaluation for **Housing First Compliance**

1. An example evaluation of at least one project
 - a. Center for Safety & Change, Inc.: NY-606 CSC DV RRH



Provider Information

Please complete the information below on the organization being assessed.

Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Center for Safety & Change, Inc.
Acronym (If Applicable)	CSC
Year Incorporated	1979
EIN	13-2989233
Street Address	9 Johnsons Lane, New City
Zip Code	10956

Project Information	
Project Name	NY-606 CSC DV RRH
Project Budget	\$386,424
Grant Number	NY1051U2T062207
Name of Project Director	Annquette Mackey
Project Director Email Address	amackey@centersc.org
Project Director Phone Number	845-634-3391 Ext. 4004
Which best describes the project *	Rapid Rehousing
<i>If project is a Safe Haven, please choose project type that it most operates like, e.g. shelter, transitional housing, or permanent housing</i>	
Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? Please select one if so, as this impacts your assessment questions.	
Domestic Violence Survivors	

*Please note that when you select a project type, particular standards may not be relevant.

Management Information	
Name of CEO	Elizabeth Santiago
CEO Email Address	esantiago@centersc.org
CEO Phone Number	845-634-3391 Ext. 3045
Name of Staff Member Guiding Assessment	Annquette Mackey
Staff Email Address	amackey@centersc.org
Staff Phone Number	845-634-3391 Ext. 4004

Assessment Information	
Name of Assessor	Maggie Watson
Organizational Affiliation of Assessor	CARES (UFA/CA) Compliance Officer
Assessor Email Address	mwatson@caresny.org
Assessor Phone Number	(518) 489-4130 x 711
Date of Assessment	Sep 05 2023



Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

No.	Standard	Access Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document it	Do it
Access 1	Projects are low-barrier	Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, "housing readiness," history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Access 2	Projects do not deny assistance for unnecessary reasons	Procedures and oversight demonstrate that staff do everything possible to avoid denying assistance or rejecting an individual or family for the reasons listed in Access Standard #1. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Not at all	Not at all	Not at all
Access 3	Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status	Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one's sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one's gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). Please see Equal Access Rules here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/ <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Access 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Access 5	Intake processes are person-centered and flexible	Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Somewhat	Always
Access 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Access 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Name	Participant Input Definition / Evidence		Say It	Document it	Do it
Participant Input 1	Participant education is ongoing	Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Participant Input 2	Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input	Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always



Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Lease and Occupancy Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 1	Housing is considered permanent (not applicable for Transitional Housing)	Housing is not time-limited (though rent assistance may be) and leases are automatically renewable upon expiration, except with prior notice by either party. <i>Not applicable as we are a transitional housing program.</i>	Not at all	Not at all	Not at all
Leases 2	Participant choice is fundamental	A participant has, at minimum, choices in deciding the location and type of housing based on preferences from a range of housing types and among multiple units, as available and as practical. In project-based settings, participants should be offered choice of units within a particular building, or within the portfolio of single site properties. In projects that use shared housing, i.e. housing with unrelated roommates, participants should be offered choice of roommates, as available and as practical. Additionally, as applicable, participants are able to choose their roommates when sharing a room or unit. <i>Lack of affordable housing may play a role in participants choice of housing type and location.</i>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 3	Leases are the same for participants as for other tenants	Leases do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by any other tenant in the property or building and is renewable per the participants' and owner's choice. People experiencing homelessness who receive help moving into permanent housing should have leases that confer the full rights, responsibilities, and legal protections under Federal, state, and local housing laws. For transitional housing, there may be limitations on length of stay, but a lease/occupancy agreement should look like a lease that a person would have in the normal rental market. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 4	Participants receive education about their lease or occupancy agreement terms	Participants are also given access to legal assistance and encouraged to exercise their full legal rights and responsibilities. Landlords and providers abide by their legally-defined roles and responsibilities. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 5	Measures are used to prevent eviction	Property or building management, with services support, incorporates a culture of eviction avoidance, reinforced through practices and policies that prevent lease violations and evictions among participants, and evict participants only when they are a threat to self or others. Clear eviction appeal processes and due process is provided for all participants. Lease bifurcation is allowed so that a tenant or lawful occupant who is a victim of a criminal act of physical violence committed against them by another tenant or lawful occupant is not evicted, removed or penalized if the other is evicted. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 6	Providing stable housing is a priority	Providers engage in a continued effort to hold housing for participants, even if they leave their housing for short periods due to treatment, illness, or any other temporary stay outside of the unit. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 7	Rent payment policies respond to tenants' needs (as applicable)	While tenants are accountable to the rental agreement, adjustments may be needed on a case by case basis. As necessary, participants are given special payment arrangements for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management, including representative payee arrangements. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always



Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

Standard	Services Definition / Evidence	Say it	Document it	Do it
Services 1	<p>Projects promote participant choice in services</p> <p>Participants are able to choose from an array of services. Services offered are housing focused and include the following areas of support: employment and income, childhood and education, community connection, and stabilization to maintain housing. These should be provided by linking to community-based services.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 2	<p>Person Centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process</p> <p>Person-centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 3	<p>Service support is as permanent as the housing</p> <p>Service connections are permanently available and accessible for participants in Permanent Supportive Housing. Rapid Re-Housing projects should, at a minimum, be prepared to offer services for up to 6 months after the rental assistance ends. In emergency shelter and transitional housing, services are available as long as the participant resides in the unit or bed – and up to 6 months following exit from transitional housing.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 4	<p>Services are continued despite change in housing status or placement</p> <p>Wherever possible, participants continue to be offered services even if they lose their housing unit or bed (for congregate projects), or if they are placed in a short-term inpatient treatment. Ideally, the service relationship should continue, despite a service hiatus during some institutional stays.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 5	<p>Participant engagement is a core component of service delivery</p> <p>Staff provide effective services by developing relationships with participants that provide immediate needs and safety, develop trust and common ground, making warm hand-offs to other mainstream service providers, and clearly explain staff roles. Engagement is regular and relationships are developed over time.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 6	<p>Services are culturally appropriate with translation services available, as needed</p> <p>Project staff are sensitive to and support the cultural aspects of diverse households. Wherever possible, staff demographics reflect the participant population they serve in order to provide appropriate, culturally-specific services. Translation services are provided when needed to ensure full comprehension of the project. Projects that serve families with children should have family-friendly rules that allow for different schedules based on work and school hours and have services that allow parents to participate in activities without having to constantly supervise their children themselves (i.e. can use the bathroom or take a shower without their children being in the bathroom with them).</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Services 7	<p>Staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strength-based)</p> <p>Services support a participant's ability to obtain and retain housing regardless of changes in behavior. Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy, such as recognizing that substance use and addiction are a part of some participants' lives. Participants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding their behavior and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Standard	Housing Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing 1	<p>Housing is not dependent on participation in services</p> <p>Participation in permanent and temporary housing settings, as well as crisis settings such as emergency shelter, is not contingent on participating in supportive services or demonstration of progress made on a service plan. Services must be offered by staff, but are voluntary for participants.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Housing 2	<p>Substance use is not a reason for termination</p> <p>Participants are only terminated from the project for violations in the lease or occupancy agreements, as applicable. Occupancy agreements or an addendum to the lease do not include conditions around substance use or participation in services. If the project is a recovery housing model focused on people who are in early recovery from drugs or alcohol (as outlined in HUD's Recovery Housing Brief), different standards related to use and subsequent offer of treatment may apply. See HUD's Recovery Housing brief here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housing-policy-brief/</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Housing 3	<p>The rules and regulations of the project are centered on participants' rights</p> <p>Project staff have realistic expectations and policies. Rules and regulations are designed to support safe and stable communities and should never interfere with a life in the community. Participants have access to the project at all hours (except for nightly in and out shelter) and accommodation is made for pets.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Housing 4	<p>Participants have the option to transfer to another project</p> <p>Transfers should be accommodated for tenants who reasonably believe that they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same unit. Whenever possible, transfers occur before a participant experiences homelessness.</p> <p><i>Optional notes here</i></p>	Always	Always	Always



Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Project -Specific Standards	Say it	Document it	Do it
Project 1	Quick access to RRH assistance	A Rapid Re-housing project ensures quick linkage to rapid re-housing assistance, based on participant choice. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Project 2	RRH services support people in maintaining their housing	Participants and staff understand that a primary goal of rapid re-housing is to end homelessness and move participants to permanent housing as quickly as possible, regardless of perceived barriers. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Project 3	Providers continuously assess a participant's need for assistance	On an ongoing basis, providers assess a participant's needs for continued assistance and provide tailored assistance based on those assessments. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
		No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			
	Standard	Population Specific Standards	Say it	Document it	Do it
Population 1	Participant safety is a priority at all points of engagement and in all planning processes	Led by the survivor, a safety plan is developed that includes an assessment of danger, particular points of vulnerability, and best approaches to increasing safety. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Population 2	Survivor-driven advocacy is available	The unique needs and strengths of each individual survivor and their children are taken into account with regard to the types of services that are available and offered. Project uses flexible and survivor-focused approaches to overcome barriers survivors may face in accessing services through traditional models. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Population 3	Housing stability is a priority	Providers support survivors and their children to retain or obtain safe, stable housing. Survivors choose the type of housing and location of housing. Housing is located in an area that is considered safe from the abusive relationship. <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Population 4	Population	No additional standards <i>Optional notes here</i>			

1E-1. Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline--Advance Public Notice.

1. Screenshot of a website posting that demonstrates NY-606 CoC announced it was accepting project applications and includes the local submission deadline for applicants to submit their applications to the CoC:
 - (a) June 8, 2023 website screenshot and email announcing the Rank & Review **Renewal Parts 1-2 Tool is ready for completion**
 - (b) Email inviting applicants **to complete** the Rank & Review **Renewal interview sessions**
 - (c) July 24, 2023 website screenshot and email announcing the Rank & Review **New Project Tool, DV, CE/HMIS Bonus Tool are ready for completion**
 - (d) July 18, 2023 email: Summary 2023 NOFO with Rank and Review Deadlines

1E-1. Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.

1. Screenshot of a website posting that demonstrates NY-606 announced it was accepting project applications.
 - (a) June 8, 2023 website screenshot and email announcing the Rank & Review **Renewal Parts 1-2 Tool is ready for completion** (part 3 was also posted to the website & ready for applicant review - Part 3 interviews were conducted later; see: 1.b. coverpage)

Rank and Review

Summary

CoC's must demonstrate their use of an objective ranking and selection process for all projects (new and renewal) that is made publicly available to potential project applicants. CoC's are required to have a documented and transparent process on how the community ranks and reviews project applications.

+ Read more

- Rank & Review
- NY 501 STEPS
- NY 503 ACCH
- NY 507 HSPB
- NY 511 STHC
- NY 512 RCHSC
- NY 519 CGHC
- NY 520 FEHC
- NY 522 PNHC
- NY 523 SNC
- NY 601 DCCoC
- NY 606 RCCoC
- NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC)

RCCoC Home Page

2023 RANK & REVIEW DOCUMENTS

FINAL: [RCCoC Rank and Review Written Process](#)

2023 RENEWAL PROJECTS

All currently funded projects that are looking to be funded again must complete Rank and Review application materials below

- [RCCoC Renewal Application Instructions and Tips for UFA](#)
- [RCCoC Renewal Application Tool Parts 1-3](#)

Release Date: **June 8, 2023** Due Date: **June 22, 2023**
 Please submit all application materials via email to kgermain@caresny.org as a PDF.

View Rank & Review Archives

- + 2022 Rank & Review: RCCoC
- + 2021 Rank & Review: RCCoC
- + 2020 Rank & Review: RCCoC

Kathy Germain

From: Kathy Germain
Sent: Thursday, June 8, 2023 1:35 PM
To: AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org; Alex Bursztein; amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org; Andrew Kohlbrenner ; Ann Denton Allen ; Anna Kobelka (helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com); Annquette Mackey (amackey@centersc.org); Anthony Petriccione; Antonio Smith (asmith@lshv.org); Barbara Swift (oaswift@optimum.net); Betsy Bostwick (bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org); brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org; Cassandra Edwards ; Constance Frazier (FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us); Daniel Eudene (Daniel.Eudene@archny.org); Deanna joy; Deena Roedema; Dilcia Suazo; Dio Dominguez; dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org; Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings (nbdsinc@aol.com); Eva Hernandez (HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us); Gerri Levy; Gregory Emili (grg250@cs.com); Heather Burch (heatherburch1028@yahoo.com); Holly Shiffman; Horace Turnbull; James Fraser (jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org); Jerry Marton (jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org); Jessica Rodriguez (jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org); Joan Facelle (jhfac@aol.com); John Fella (john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us); Joseph Center (joseph.center@tsiny.org); Joseph Juste; Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office (rosenthalj@rocklandda.org); Julian Palmer (jpalmer@touch-ny.org); Katelyn McDonagh (Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org); Kathy Germain; Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative (Kcabacungan@rcadd.org); Kelly Kohlbrenner ; Kelsey Addy; Kevin McGill (kmcgill@westccop.org); Kiron Dawkins (kdawkins@westcop.org); Larry Grubler (lgrubler@tsiny.org); Lillian Jimenez (Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org); Lizzette Spinnato (SpinnatL@co.rockland.ny.us); Lu Ann Kelly; M Place; Maggie Trainor; Maria Frank; Maria Lugo; Marion Breland (marion.e.breland@gmail.com); Mark Woods; Matthew Janeczko (mjaneczko@schousingny.org); Matthew Shelley; Maura Donoghue; melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com; Messenger, Bryan (DFA); Michael Leitzes; Mike Domen; Nadie Travis; Nazanin Dae (ndaee@centersc.org); nichole_albanese@goodcounselhomes.org; Nicole Sirignano (nsirignano@bridgesrc.org); Nida Sharif; Phyllis Morena; plesmark@aol.com; Raymond Browne (rbrown@tsiny.org); Rena Finklestein; Rosa Serrano-Delgado; Sabrina Neptune; Sheeba Mathai; SpinnatoliLi@co.rockland.ny.us; ssalomon@schousingny.org; Stephen Clement (sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org); Susan Branam; Susan Daycock (sdaycock@aol.com); Tammy Schwartz; Tom Zimmerman; Tony Earl Jr.; Vanessa Rock; Venesia DeFrank; William Robson; Yasmin Carrillo
Subject: RCCC 2023 Rank and Review Renewal Application Released for Completion
Attachments: NY-606 Rank and Review 4-20-2023 10-40-39 AM.xlsx; NY- 606 RR23 Tool Final- FORM.pdf

Good Afternoon,

This email is to notify you that the RCCC CoC Rank & Review Application: Part 1 and 2 is now available for completion. The fillable application is attached to this email, along with the HMIS systems data that you will reference to complete your application.

The application is also available on the CARES website: <https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#RCCoC>

The application must be completed by COB Thursday, June 22, 2023 and submitted in one PDF attachment for each renewal project. Please include the Rank and Review completed Tool and supporting Attachments (convert to PDF and include with application) as specified on the tool. Applications should be submitted to kgermain@caresny.org using your agency name/project name as the subject line.

1E-1. Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.

1. Screenshot of a website posting that demonstrates NY-606 announced it was accepting project applications.

(b) email inviting applicants **to complete** the Rank & Review **Renewal interview sessions**

From: [Kathy Germain](#)
To: [Roedema, Deena \(DFA\)](#); [Melissa Place](#); [Annquette Mackey](#)
Cc: [Maureen Van Deusen](#)
Subject: Confirming Interview Times Friday August 4th
Date: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 12:41:00 PM
Attachments: [2023 RR Interview Questions Renewal Projects Only.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Here is the schedule for RCCC Renewal and New Project Interviews Friday August 4th via Zoom. I have reattached the interview questions. Maureen VanDuesen from CARES will be in attendance. If you have any issues day of please contact her at mvanduesen@caresny.org

Schedule for Friday 8/4 Interviews- Please sign on at the following times thanks.

9:15-9:40- DSS Interviews

9:50-10:10- CSC Interviews

CARES staff will send the draft rankings based upon final scoring to full Program Review Committee and offer debriefs to applicants. The Executive Board will finalize Ranking and Tiering.

Zoom Link

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86129168219?pwd=TEF3cVUrMmxvcGI2ZWVhxdmRFNlXhHQOT09>

Kathy Germain

Planning Associate – CARES of NY, Inc.

200 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany, NY 12210

518-489-4130 ext. 728

<http://www.caresny.org>



1E-1. Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.

1. Screenshot of a website posting that demonstrates NY-606 announced it was accepting project applications.

(c) July 24, 2023 website screenshot and email announcing the Rank & Review **New Project Tool, DV, CE/HMIS Bonus Tool** are **ready for completion**

Rank and Review

Summary

CoC's must demonstrate their use of an objective ranking and selection process for all projects (new and renewal) that is made publicly available to potential project applicants. CoC's are required to have a documented and transparent process on how the community ranks and reviews project applications.

[+ Read more](#)

- Rank & Review
- NY 501 STEPS
- NY 503 ACCH
- NY 507 HSPB
- NY 511 STHC
- NY 512 RCHSC
- NY 519 CGHC
- NY 520 FEHC
- NY 522 PNHC
- NY 523 SNC
- NY 601 DCCoC
- NY 606 RCCoC
- NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC)

[RCCoC Home Page](#)

2023 RANK & REVIEW DOCUMENTS

FINAL: [RCCoC Rank and Review Written Process](#)

2023 RENEWAL PROJECTS

All currently funded projects that are looking to be funded again must complete Rank and Review application materials below.

[RCCoC Renewal Application Instructions and Tips for UFA](#)
[RCCoC Renewal Application Tool Parts 1-3](#)

Release Date: **June 8, 2023** Due Date: **June 22, 2023**
Please submit all application materials via email to kgermain@caresny.org as a PDF.

2023 NEW & BONUS PROJECTS

Any project looking to be funded for the first time must complete the appropriate RFP.
Applications due to Kathy Germain

New Project Application

Release for Completion:
[RCCoC - New/Bonus Project Application Tool](#)
Released Date: **July 24, 2023** Due Date: **August 2, 2023**
Please submit your application to Kathy Germain kgermain@caresny.org

DV Bonus Project Application

Release for Completion:
[RCCoC - DV Bonus Project Application Tool](#)
Released Date: **July 24, 2023** Due Date: **August 2, 2023**
Please submit your application to Kathy Germain kgermain@caresny.org

CE-HMIS Bonus Project Application

Release for Completion:
[RCCoC - CE/HMIS Bonus Project Application Tool](#)
Released Date: **July 24, 2023** Due Date: **August 2, 2023**
Please submit your application to Kathy Germain kgermain@caresny.org

View Rank & Review Archives

- 2022 Rank & Review: RCCoC
- 2021 Rank & Review: RCCoC
- 2020 Rank & Review: RCCoC

From: [Kathy Germain](#)
To: AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org; [Alex Bursztein](#); amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org; [Andrew Kohlbrenner](#); [Ann Denton Allen](#); [Anna Kobelka \(helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com\)](mailto:Anna.Kobelka@helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com); [Annquette Mackey \(amackey@centersc.org\)](#); [Anthony Petriccione](#); [Antonio Smith \(asmith@shv.org\)](mailto:Antonio.Smith@shv.org); [Barbara Swift \(oaswift@optimum.net\)](#); [Betsy Bostwick \(bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org\)](mailto:Betsy.Bostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org); brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org; [Cassandra Edwards](#); [Constance Frazier \(FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us\)](mailto:Constance.Frazier@co.rockland.ny.us); [Daniel Eudene \(Daniel.Eudene@archny.org\)](mailto:Daniel.Eudene@archny.org); [Deanna Joy](#); [Deena Roedema](#); [Dilcia Suazo](#); [Dio Dominguez](#); dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org; [Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings \(nbdsync@aol.com\)](#); [Eva Hernandez \(HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us\)](#); [Gerri Levy](mailto:Gerri.Levy); [Gregory Emili \(grg250@cs.com\)](mailto:Gregory.Emili@cs.com); [Heather Burch \(heatherburch1028@yahoo.com\)](mailto:Heather.Burch); [Holly Shiffman](#); [Horace Turnbull](#); [James Fraser \(jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org\)](mailto:James.Fraser); [Jerry Marton \(jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org\)](mailto:Jerry.Marton@rocklandguild.org); [Jessica Rodriguez \(jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org\)](mailto:Jessica.Rodriguez); [Joan Facelle \(jhfac@aol.com\)](mailto:Joan.Facelle); [John Fella \(john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us\)](mailto:John.Fella@dfa.state.ny.us); [Joseph Center \(joseph.center@tsiny.org\)](mailto:Joseph.Center@tsiny.org); [Joseph Juste](#); [Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office \(rosenthalj@rocklandda.org\)](mailto:Judy.Rosenthal); [Julian Palmer \(jpalmer@touch-ny.org\)](mailto:Julian.Palmer@touch-ny.org); [Katelyn McDonagh \(Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org\)](mailto:Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org); [Kathy Germain](#); [Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative \(Kcabacungan@rcadd.org\)](mailto:Kayla.Cabacungan-Haverstraw.Collaborative); [Kelly Kohlbrenner](#); [Kelsey Addy](#); [Kevin McGill \(kmcgill@westccop.org\)](mailto:Kevin.McGill@westccop.org); [Kiron Dawkins \(kdawkins@westccop.org\)](mailto:Kiron.Dawkins@westccop.org); [Larry Grubler \(lgrubler@tsiny.org\)](mailto:Larry.Grubler); [Lillian Jimenez \(Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org\)](mailto:Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org); [Lizzette Spinnato \(SpinnatL@co.rockland.ny.us\)](mailto:Lizzette.Spinnato); [Lu Ann Kelly](#); [M Place](#); [Maggie Trainor](#); [Maria Frank](#); [Maria Lugo](#); [Marion Breland \(marion.e.breland@gmail.com\)](mailto:Marion.Breland); [Mark Woods](#); [Matthew Janeczko \(mjaneczko@schousingny.org\)](mailto:Matthew.Janeczko@schousingny.org); [Matthew Shelley](#); [Maura Donoghue \(melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com\)](mailto:Maura.Donoghue); [Messinger, Bryan \(DFA\)](#); [Michael Leitzes](#); [Mike Domen](#); [Nadine Travis](mailto:Nadine.Travis); [Nazanin Dae \(ndaee@centersc.org\)](mailto:Nazanin.Daee@centersc.org); [nichole albanese@goodcounselhomes.org](mailto:nichole.albanese@goodcounselhomes.org); [Nicole Sirignano \(nsirignano@bridgesrc.org\)](mailto:Nicole.Sirignano@bridgesrc.org); [Nida Sharif](#); [Phyllis Morena](mailto:Phyllis.Morena); plesmark@aol.com; [Raymond Browne \(rbrown@tsiny.org\)](#); [Rena Finklestein](#); [Rosa Serrano-Delgado](mailto:Rosa.Serrano-Delgado); [Sabrina Neptune](#); [Sheeba Mathai](#); SpinnatoliLi@co.rockland.ny.us; ssalomon@schousingny.org; [Stephen Clement \(sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org\)](#); [Susan Branam](#); [Susan Daycock \(sdaycock@aol.com\)](mailto:Susan.Daycock@aol.com); [Tammy Schwartz](#); [Tom Zimmerman](#); [Tony Earl Jr.](#); [Vanessa Rock](#); [Venesia DeFrank](#); [William Robson](#); [Yasmin Carrillo](#)

Subject: Rockland County Continuum of Care- New/DV Bonus 2023 CoC Applications Available- Due August 2nd
Date: Monday, July 24, 2023 9:35:00 AM
Attachments: [NY-606 2023 DV Bonus RFP Fillable PDF.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
[NY-606 RR23 NEW PROJECT RFP Fillable PDF.pdf](#)
[NY-606 RR23 CE-HMIS Bonus RFP.docx](#)

Good morning, Rockland County CoC members and community stakeholders,
The 2023 CoC New/DV Bonus application is now available for completion. You can find the link to the applications on our website: <https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#RCCoC>

All fillable PDF versions of the applications are also attached to this email.
For more information about project eligibility and funding availability, check out our website: <https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/>

Applications are due by 5pm on August 2nd.

Note that projects scored to move forward in the CoC's local process will need to be entered into Esnap no later than Friday, August 17th.
Please don't hesitate to reach out with questions about this process or the applications.

Kathy Germain
Planning Associate – CARES of NY, Inc.
200 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 728
<http://www.caresny.org>

1E-1. Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.

1. Screenshot of a website posting that demonstrates NY-606 announced it was accepting project applications.

(d) July 18, 2023 email: Summary 2023 NOFO with Rank and Review Deadlines and source of embedded external link

From: [CARES Planning Team](#)
To: [CARES Planning Team](#)
Cc: [Kathy Germain](#); [Maureen Van Deusen](#); [Kelsey Addy](#)
Subject: 2023 CoC NOFO Summary- RCCoC
Date: Tuesday, July 18, 2023 11:27:06 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Good Morning, Rockland County CoC Members,

The FY23 Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunities is now available [here](#) and due September 28th, 2023. This email includes the FY23 local Competition Submission Timeline as well as a link that holds a NOFO Summary and available resources for technical assistance (including webinars and information on a New Project information session).

Please note, the CoC Application and Project Applications are not yet available in [e-snaps](#) for completion. HUD expects both to be available within the next 2 weeks.

Important Local Competition Dates and Deadlines:

Rank & Review Project Submission Timeline

Renewal Applications	
Rank and Review Part I&II	Completed
Rank and Review Interview (Part III)	Week of July 31 st (Anticipated)

New Applications	
Rank and Review New Project Application RFP and DV Bonus Application Released	July 24 th
Rank and Review New Project Application RFP and RV Bonus Application due to CARES (please send to Maureen Van Deusen)	August 2 nd

E-snaps Project Submission Timeline


As UFA, CARES completes all project applications in e-snaps on the subrecipients' behalf. Subrecipients are required to review and confirm the draft e-snaps applications. Below is the timeline for renewal and new projects:

Renewal Projects	
All Renewal Project Applications will be sent to subrecipients for review, ensuring accuracy	On or before August 4 th
Any final edits to renewal project applications in e-snaps due to CARES	August 11 th

New Projects	
--------------	--

All New Project Applications (including those applying for DV Bonus) will be sent to subrecipients for review, ensuring accuracy	August 17 th
Any final edits to new project applications in e-snaps are due to CARES.	August 25 th

NOFO Summary Information:



[FY23 Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunities](#)

Approximately \$3.1 billion is available through the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition. This funding serves to:

[Go to this Link](#)

Is this email for me?

If you are currently CoC-funded or are interested in applying for Continuum of Care funding, this email is for you!

Thank you,

CARES Planning Team
5 Pine West Plaza, Suite #503, Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 1
<http://www.caresny.org>





FY23 Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunities

FY23 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Summary

Approximately \$3.1 billion is available through the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition. This funding serves to:

- Promote a community-wide commitment to end homelessness
- Provide funding to non-profits, states, and local governments
- Promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream resources to optimize self-sufficiency

The following are HUD policy priorities emphasized for FY 2023, which are the same as those emphasized in the FY 2022 application.

- Ending homelessness for all persons
- Using a Housing First Approach
- Reducing Unsheltered Homelessness
- Improving System Performance*
- Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies
- Taking Action to Increase Racial Equity
- Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals
- Including Persons with Lived Experience in Planning and Funding Decision Making
- Advocating to Increase Affordable Housing

A brief summary of important topics from the NOFO are below.

Tiering: HUD requires CoCs to tier projects (excluding Planning, UFA Projects, and YHDP projects funded in Round 2 or later).

- Tier 1 is equal to **93 percent** of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD).
- Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the maximum amount of renewal, reallocation, and CoC Bonus funds the CoC can apply for.
- Bonus funding is available to apply for in the amount of 7% of the CoC Final Pro Rata Need (FPRN).
- DV Bonus funding is available to apply for in the amount of 10% of the Preliminary Pro Rata Need (PPRN) (not to be less than \$50,000).

Bonus and Reallocated funding: Bonus and Reallocated funding is available for the below eligible project types.

- Permanent Supportive Housing that is Dedicated PLUS OR has 100% of beds dedicated to persons experiencing chronic homelessness.
- Rapid Rehousing
- Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing
- HMIS (or a comparable DV Database)
- Support Service Only – Coordinated Entry

The amount of funding to create new projects through reallocation will depend on the amount of current project funds returned to the CoC. HUD highly recommends CoCs pursue reallocation to ensure CoC-funded projects are addressing the most pressing community needs, are high performing, and are not returning funds to HUD. For more information regarding reallocation, please review the Rank and Review Process¹.

DV Bonus: Again this year an additional bonus opportunity is available for projects to serve survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and human trafficking that are experiencing homelessness. \$52 million is available nationwide. Below are the eligible project types.

- Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
- Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH)
- Support Service Only – Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)

A CoC can only submit one project application for an SSO-CE project. However, a CoC may apply for any number of RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects provided that each application is for at least \$50,000. A CoC may also apply to expand an existing renewal project, including one that was previously funded with DV Bonus funding.

¹<https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#RR>

- **Expansion Grants:** Again this year, HUD is allowing renewal project applicants to submit a new project application to expand its current operations by adding units, beds, persons served, services provided, or an increase in HMIS activities. Expansion grants can utilize Bonus Funding, reallocation dollars or DV Bonus Funding, assuming the expansion will serve survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking.
- **Transition Grants and Consolidated Projects:** Again this year, HUD is allowing for Transition Grants and Consolidated Projects. A Transition Grant is a grant that allows agencies to change component types. Consolidated Projects combine projects that are operated by the same agency and for the same project component type. Click here² for a more complete summary on CARES' website.

CARES looks forward to working with you to ensure another year of successful CoC funding. If you have any questions about what is detailed in the NOFO, please feel free to reach out to me directly.

How Much Bonus Funding is Available to Apply for?

HUD has not yet released the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand, CoC Bonus, or DV Bonus amounts. CARES will alert the CoC when Esnap is open and the available amount of funds is announced.

The bonus amounts will equal 7% of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand.

We encourage all eligible applicants to apply for this opportunity. You do not need to be currently funded to apply!

FY23 Important Changes to the NOFO

There have been some **additional important changes** in the FY23 NOFO:

1. Increased emphasis on including persons with lived experience. This year, HUD will award points to CoCs that attach a letter signed by a Working Group Comprised of Persons with Lived Experience of Homelessness. The letter must be signed by either (1) at least three members involved in the working group (e.g., advisory committee, subcommittee) comprised of individuals with lived experience or (2) an authorized representative of the workgroup (e.g., a working chair) along with evidence that the person is authorized to represent the group; and the letter must demonstrate support of the priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in the CoC's geographic area.

²<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23Transition&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683632324|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJljoiv2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=C1cWrk6gVrD1XwDPYAgKGtm%2FbPW61JaXw6lx43YQds%3D&reserved=0>

2. Amendment to criteria for qualifying as 'homeless'. VAWA 2022 amended section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act to require HUD to consider as homeless: any individual or family who— (1) is experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to, or fleeing or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous, traumatic, or life-threatening conditions related to the violence against the individual or a family member in the individual's or family's current housing situation, including where the health and safety of children are jeopardized; (2) has no other safe residence; and (3) lacks the resources to obtain other safe permanent housing.

3. Planning Grant increase. HUD is establishing an alternative maximum amount for Planning Grant applications under this NOFO, amounting to the greater of \$50,000 or 5 percent of the applicable Final Pro Rata Need (FPRN).

4. New eligible CoC Activities. These following new budget line items (BLIs) will be built into the CoC Program Competition Application process. Applicants wishing to utilize these new BLIs can request a budget modification during the competition to add funds to these line items from an existing line item. Applicants may also request to expand existing renewal grants to add new funding to these BLIs.

a) **VAWA Costs** - Facilitating and coordinating activities to ensure compliance with [the emergency transfer plan requirement in 34 U.S.C. 12491(e)] and monitoring compliance with the confidentiality protections of [the confidentiality requirement in 34 U.S.C. 12491(c)(4)].

b) **Rural Costs** - activities that address barriers to transitioning families in rural areas to permanent housing and additional activities to increase capacity to address the unique challenges CoCs face when serving people experiencing homelessness in rural areas. See pages 4-5 and 42-43

5. Ability to move up to 10 percent of budget line items. This year HUD is allowing CoC renewal project applications to request to add eligible activities to a project, shift up to 10-percent of funds from one approved eligible activity to another, and change the subpopulation served. Renewal applications that include requests to shift more than 10 percent of funds from one approved eligible activity to another will not be considered during the CoC Program Competition by HUD.

FY23 CoC Consolidated Application

- CoC Application: In the community portion of the application, the Collaborative Applicant responds to narrative questions and provides attached documents to describe the CoC planning body, governance structure, overall performance, and the strategic planning process. This part of the application is scored and will determine the order in which CoCs are funded. *Please note: once the CoC Application is available in Esnaps, CARES will post a blank copy to our website for your information. CARES estimates beginning to publicly post drafts of the application on our website by August 17th, and will accept comments through September 14th. Please check the public posting section³ of CARES' NOFO website for updates.*

³<https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#PP>

- Project Application: Project applications must be completed in Esnaps by applicants for all renewal, new, CoC Planning, and UFA costs, and include a description of the project, proposed budget, and required attachments.
- CoC Priority Listing: The CoC Priority Listing ranks project applications (including reallocated, CoC Bonus, DV Bonus, and renewal applications) in order of priority based on the Rank and Review scoring outcomes.

Available Resources

CARES' website is a resource for the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) and Rank and Review information and deadlines. Below are links to important information.

- Rank and Review timeline/deadlines⁴ (for renewal and new project applications)
- Esnaps Project Submission Application timeline/deadlines⁵
- NOFO summary ⁶
- CARES' Webinars⁷
- HUD available resources⁸

⁴<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23RR&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683476097|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=BcLuUzBNoUcBGMFriVXUIFANM6rQuoBGNZPcawHV3Dk%3D&reserved=0>

⁵<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23Application&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683476097|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=%2B%2FPneCBXf8kH8yiBwMtFZXgtgKntxL9RypbfYb aqeA%3D&reserved=0>

⁶<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683476097|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=isqWfCjSF%2FuFNFX0ZwPicuTcB2kOq8VV%2BSFKny5PtX4%3D&reserved=0>

⁷<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23ResourceC&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683476097|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=GmOhqihifOVwdXbQbh0PO3vcsRFTcviL9nwYsVV1iFI%3D&reserved=0>

⁸<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23ResourcesH&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683632324|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=GmOhqihifOVwdXbQbh0PO3vcsRFTcviL9nwYsVV1iFI%3D&reserved=0>

Please be sure to visit these links throughout the FY23 NOFO process for important updates.

Webinars

In order to provide an in-depth summary of the NOFO and to provide technical assistance to agencies applying for funds, CARES will produce the following webinar series:

- NOFO Summary: HUD Priorities and the Consolidated Application
- New Project Application: Bonus Project and Reallocation Information
- Domestic Violence Bonus
- Opportunities for Renewing Projects: Consolidation and Transition
- E-Snaps Refresher – Setting-Up a Renewal Project
- E-Snaps Refresher – Setting-Up a New Project

These webinars will be available on or by end of business July 21st. In the meantime, the 2022 webinars remain available. To access the page these are posted on, click here⁹.

CARES will be hosting a CoC Funding Opportunity information session for New Projects on Tuesday, July 25th, at 11:30 a.m. Please register for the event here¹⁰, and shortly you will receive an outlook invitation and the access link.

Important Note About SAM & UEI:

Registration with the federal System for Award Management (SAM) is **required** for submission of applications in Esnaps. For more information, visit <https://www.sam.gov/SAM>¹¹. Applicants must provide a valid Unique Entity Identifier (UEI), registered and active on the SAM website, in the project application. **Applicants not registered with SAM or that do not have a valid UEI will be deemed ineligible.**

[2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=c9KijlBql%2FuWkrFHPuaaszEEUkRYndd1q4R3Uh3IOW%3D&reserved=0](https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23ResourceC&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683632324|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=c9KijlBql%2FuWkrFHPuaaszEEUkRYndd1q4R3Uh3IOW%3D&reserved=0)

⁹<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcaresny.org%2Fnofo-2022%2F%23ResourceC&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683632324|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=INL9JcbzPz%2Bh14kW%2Fwxs%2Fb%2FHE1dweBFNov4kgDOPC3E%3D&reserved=0>

¹⁰<https://forms.office.com/r/xryeR8ufgB>

¹¹<https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.sam.gov%2FSAM&data=05|01|kaddy%40caresny.org|b008f77723634f4b364608da7f9ba1ab|b70c51f05ade416bba91f5a3faf9008a|0|0|637962603683632324|Unknown|TWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D|3000||&sdata=vxSp8n8v3CrN445LAX5%2B6e5PmvRV1TrbDM2qm7rNaY%3D&reserved=0>

FY23 New Project Information Session

A 2023 CoC Funding Opportunity for New Projects – Information Session will be held **Tuesday, July 25th at 11:30am**. During this information session we will review CoC funding basics, provide an overview of CoC Bonus and DV Bonus funding opportunities, and discuss how to apply.

1E-2. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition.

This attachment details the Rank & Review process for NY-606 as noted in their Written Process. Blank tools have been attached for the Renewal, New, Bonus/DV, and Bonus CE/HMIS Applications.

1. **Scoring tool used in NY-606's local competition to score new and renewal applications - for all project application types.**
 - (a) NY-606's Rank and Review Written Process
 - (b) Blank Renewal Application Tool
 - (c) Blank New Project Application Tool
 - (d) Blank Bonus/DV Application Tool
 - (e) Blank Bonus CE/HMIS Application Tool
 - (f) Ranking and Tiering
 - This document showcases the actual points awarded for each project application
 - (g) Objective Criteria and System Performance Criteria Chart
 - This document showcases:
 - **Objective criteria** for the project applications
 - **System performance criteria** for the project applications
 - Projects that address **severe barriers to housing and services**
 - Points associated with the degree the projects identified any barriers faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate identified barriers.
 - (h) System Performance Data Attachment
 - This document showcases data used from comparable databases to score projects submitted by **victim service providers**

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(a) NY-606's Rank and Review Written Process

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE: 2023 RANK AND REVIEW PROCESS

Rationale

HUD's Continuum of Care (CoC) homeless assistance programs serves as a source of funding for homeless services in Rockland County, and the planning body coordinating these services is the Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC). Working with the CoC (RCCC) and providing support and technical assistance is CARES of NY, Inc., the UFA/Collaborative Applicant.

In 2022 Rockland CoC received \$1,589,842 from HUD to support nine housing projects for homeless individuals and families. Through the CoC, HUD awards homeless assistance grants through an annual application process known as the CoC Program Competition.

HUD requires that the CoC (RCCC) prioritize programs which most effectively serve the community at the local level. To reach this goal, a Rank & Review Process has been implemented for applicants who wish to renew their project/s and for new and/or bonus projects, if bonus funding is available. The process of ranking and reviewing projects is designed to help the CoC (RCCC) learn about each project's performance and effectiveness.

The Performance and Evaluation Committee is charged with overseeing the Rank and Review Process. As stated in the Rockland CoC bylaws, the Performance and Evaluation Committee is responsible to design, operate, and follow a collaborative process for the development of funding applications, including funding priorities and the number and type of applications. Each year the Performance and Evaluation Committee reviews the Rank and Review Written Process and Application and makes any changes necessary to reflect local priorities. The Performance and Evaluation Committee is also responsible for establishing a Review Team for the Rank and Review Application. The Written Process and Application Tools (Renewal and New/Bonus) are posted for public comment. Feedback is considered by the Performance and Evaluation Committee and final documents are submitted to the Board for approval and shared with the full Membership.

CoC Transparency

The CoC conducts this Rank and Review Process in a transparent manner to ensure a fair and consistent way to prioritize projects. Each year, feedback regarding the process and tools is solicited. The process is publicly announced by the CoC, distributed in writing to the CoC Membership, and posted publicly on the CARES Inc. website for all community members to review and comment.

FY 2023 Rank and Review Application

The RCCC emphasizes the importance and impact of using the Rank & Review Application as the primary basis for determining the Project Listing submitted to HUD as part of the CoC Consolidated Application. The Rank and Review Application is thoughtfully revised each year to meet both HUD and CoC standards, incorporate both national and local priorities, and balance objective performance measures with subjective narrative descriptions of project operations.

Review and Approval of the Rank & Review Application

Once the CoC Application has been submitted to HUD by the Collaborative Applicant, the Performance and Evaluation Committee reviews the prior year's Rank and Review process, including reviewer feedback and RCCC member comments. The Performance and Evaluation Committee also develops a list of Review Team members, considering prior reviewers and potential new members.

The Performance and Evaluation Committee presents the Written Process, and Application Tools to the RCCC Board and Membership for one-week public comment periods. The Performance and Evaluation Committee considers submitted comments for inclusion. The Performance and Evaluation Committee updates the Board on any edits, incorporates any additional changes from the Board, and secures a vote for approval. Finally, the Written Process, and Application Tools are shared with Membership.

*Please Note: Coordinated Entry, Planning, and HMIS projects are not required to fill out a renewal application.

*Renewal projects should have one federal fiscal years' worth of data (10/1-9/30) so should be in operation for one full federal fiscal year.

Project Participation

Each renewal project completes a Rank and Review Application. The 2023 Renewal Rank and Review Application process will occur in four (4) Parts, with the intent to allow agencies adequate time to complete the full Rank & Review Application. *Completed applications (including required attachments) for each CoC project must be submitted to CARES of NY, Inc. by the stated deadline to be considered complete and sent to the Review Team.* Late applications will receive a 5-point penalty reduction and an additional one-point penalty reduction will be incurred each day the application is late. After the fifth day, applications will not be accepted or scored.

Part 1 focuses on project level performance. The Phase 1 score will be a direct result of the agencies' performance during the annual CoC Project Monitoring. Following project submission of the CoC Self-Monitoring Forms, each project will receive an email from CARES Compliance Office. This email will need be attached to the Rank and Review Application as Phase 1.

Part 2. focuses on project and system outcomes, using project data entered in HMIS and objective questions to “rate” projects. The HMIS data used in Part 1 is from the previous HUD Fiscal Year (Oct 1 - Sep 30). This HMIS data used in Part 1 has been cleaned and reviewed for data quality errors when submitted to HUD for the Longitudinal Systems Analysis (LSA) report. Agencies must confirm Part 1 Data Attachment accuracy within five business days of receipt. During the Part 1/Data Training Session, agencies will be instructed on how to confirm the accuracy of the Data Attachment values.

If errors are identified on the Data Attachment, the agency must submit the Data Correction Form on the CARES Website. CARES staff will review the Data Correction Form submission to determine if the requested change is a calculation error. If the request is a calculation error, CARES staff will correct the error and resubmit the Data Attachments for the agency’s review. After receiving the corrected Data Attachments, agency staff will review the changes and if no additional errors are identified, then agency staff will submit the Data Confirmation Form on the CARES website. If the request for Data Correction Form is determined to not be a calculation error, CARES staff will notify the agency of the decision and request the agency to submit the Data Confirmation Form.

If no errors are identified on the Data Attachment, the agency will submit the Data Confirmation Form on the CARES website. If an agency does not submit a Data Correction Form or Data Confirmation Form after five business days following the Part 2/Data Training Session, then the data will be considered accurate and confirmed.

Part 3 includes narratives allowing agencies to explain unique circumstances which may affect project performance. focuses on qualitative project and system outcome data. After submission, each agency/project is assigned an interview time with the Review Team.

Part 4 of the Rank and Review Process includes project interviews with the Review Team. After the NOFA is released, the Performance and Evaluation Committee will draft questions based on the specific criteria included in the NOFA application to be asked during project interviews. Projects will receive these questions prior to the interview. Additionally, reviewers may choose to award additional points for Phases 2 and 3.

New Projects

A separate application is required for Bonus and Reallocated project proposals. If, after the ranking process, additional money becomes available through reallocation after the ranking process, reallocation, and if all new projects have been approved, then a new project RFP will re-open for submission in efforts to utilize all available funding. RFPs submitted during the second application process will automatically be ranked below projects from the first round. The Review Team reviews and scores all New/Bonus project

applications submitted. New project applications are required to interview with the review team . Interview questions will include specific criteria included in the NOFO. New project applications will be ranked, approved by the Board and presented to Membership. The community's goal is to apply for the maximum amount of available funds. The same appeals process that applies to Renewal applications applies to New/Bonus project applications.

Bonus Projects

Each year, HUD may offer bonus funding and the NOFA outlines how the funds may be spent. Bonus project proposals must fill an unmet need as noted within the CoC's Strategic Plan or locally determined priorities. Bonus project applications are required to interview with the Review Team. Interview questions will include specific criteria included in the NOFA Bonus applications will be ranked and approved by the Board and presented to Membership. The community's goal is to apply for the maximum amount of available funding. The same appeals process that applies to Renewal applications applies to New/Bonus project applications.

Reviewers

Members of the Review Team are individuals from the community who are not CoC funded or neighboring communities and are knowledgeable about the CoC services and its providers. Reviewers are considered by the Performance and Evaluation Committee and invited by the Collaborative Applicant (CARES) to participate. After reviewers agree to participate, one to two days are scheduled to conduct project interviews and for scoring to take place. Interviews will be scheduled for a date after the NOFA is released to allow for any HUD-specific criteria to be incorporated into the interview process.

Reviewers are provided a copy of each project's full application for review and scorecards to complete. The Review Team has the authority to 1) allot additional points to questions in Part 2 and Part 3 based on responses given by agencies during the interview; and 2) allot points based on responses given by agencies to Part 4 interview questions. After conducting interviews with each agency, the Review Team discusses and finalizes scores for each project application. In the event project applications initially receive the same score, it is the responsibility of the Review Team to reconsider scoring in order to break the tie. The Review Team also considers any submitted appeals (see **Appeals Process** outlined below) and provides any final comments to be shared with agencies. Final scores result in the project ranking unless otherwise determined by the board.

Threshold Review

To ensure CoC projects are high performing, all project applications are expected to meet a minimum scoring threshold of 50% of total possible application points. The Threshold Review will be conducted by the Review Team after the Rank and Review process is complete and final project scores determined. If the pre-determined threshold is not met, the Review Team may recommend the RCCC Board consider providing technical assistance or the possibility of reallocation or amending the project application/s with

said agency. Projects that have underperformed (fallen below the threshold) for three consecutive years may be recommended for reallocation to the board during that year's NOFA.

Project Ranking

The NOFA requires that the CoC conduct a transparent and objective process to review and rank all Renewal and New/Bonus projects. Using CoC approved Rank and Review tools, all projects seeking funding are scored and placed in numerical order. New/Bonus projects are scored and placed in numerical order beneath Renewal Projects. All agencies receive their project scores and are offered the opportunity to debrief with the Collaborative Applicant. Debriefing allows agencies the opportunity to request clarification regarding how/why Application question/s received certain scores. Debriefings are required if agencies are considering an appeal. Agencies may choose to appeal project score/s within the allotted time frame (see the **Appeals Process** outlined below). After all debriefings with the Collaborative Applicant are completed and appeals considered by the Review Team, projects projected to fall into Tier 2 are contacted and notified of their ranking and offered the opportunity to go over the projects scores. Next, the project ranking is shared with the Performance and Evaluation Committee, presented to the Board for review/approval then shared with Membership. To ensure that all ranked projects effectively utilize funding and meet local community needs, the board approves the final Ranking and Tiering of all projects.

Appeal Process

1. Who May Appeal?

An agency may appeal a decision concerning a Renewal or New/Bonus project application submitted by that agency. If a project was submitted by a collaboration of agencies, only one joint appeal may be submitted.

2. What May or May Not Be Appealed?

The appeals process applies to project scoring and ranking ***only***. *There is no appeal for project tiering.* An appeal may ***not*** be based on the following:

- Failure to answer any question/s on the application
- Failure to submit required attachments to the application
- Failure to submit the application by the required deadline

Any mathematical errors found by an applicant will be corrected by the Collaborative Applicant.

3. Timing of an Appeal

Formal appeals may be submitted by a project within two (2) business days of debriefing. Appeals must be submitted in writing to the Collaborative Applicant kgermain@caresny.org who will

forward them on to the Review Team. The written appeal must consist of a brief statement no longer than 1 page and can be in the form of a letter, memo or email.

4. Appeals Decisions

The Review Team also serves as the Appeals Team. Appeals are decided by majority vote of the Appeals Team. Once decided, all appeals are final and may not be overturned by the Performance and Evaluations Committee, Board or Membership.

Project Tiering

HUD requires that the CoC ranks projects into two tiers based on the funding allocation released in the NOFA. Tiering prioritizes projects for funding. Using the project ranking, the Collaborative Applicant tiers projects (New/Bonus projects are always placed at bottom of Tier 2) and presents the results to the Performance and Evaluation Committee and Board. When the NOFA is released, priorities outlined in the application may be strategically applied by the CoC to project tiering. Final tiering results are presented to the Board for approval and vote, then shared with Membership.

Reallocation

Reallocation is the process by which the CoC shifts funds, in whole or in part, from existing eligible renewal grants to create new projects to fill an unmet need within the community. Reallocating funds is one of the most important tools by which communities can make strategic improvements to their homelessness system. The CoC will ensure reallocation processes are consistent with the approved Standard Operating Procedures.

Projects that can be flagged for reallocation consideration include those which have demonstrated inadequate financial management, a history of expending funds on ineligible activities, a lack of full expenditure of funds, and those which consistently score low on the Rank & Review. Reallocation is recommended for any project *not* participating in Coordinated Entry, HMIS or the annual Point-in-Time. The Board may determine reallocation of a particular project as in the best interest of the CoC and essential to maintaining full funding. Further, agencies may voluntarily choose to reallocate funds from their own projects. New project proposals developed by agencies through reallocation of their own funds will be prioritized during the ranking process. All other proposed projects using reallocated funds will be ranked according to general ranking procedures.

Project proposals developed with reallocated funds must fill an unmet need and submit a New/Bonus application. Agencies interested in applying for reallocated funds are required to interview with the Review Team. Applications for New/Bonus projects will be ranked separately from Renewal projects, and the final ranking will be approved by the Board and presented to CoC Membership.

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(b) Blank Renewal Application Tool

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC)

RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

PART 1: PROJECT LEVEL PERFORMANCE

PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Organization Name: _____
2. Project Name: _____
3. Application Contact Person: _____

Please use Annual Progress Reports (APR's) provided to all agencies that enter data into HMIS for Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22): October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022.

1. Fund Expenditure (0-10 pts)

Did the project return funds in the most recently ended contract? If so, use the scoring for the percentage returned _____ **0%=10 1-9%=8 10-20%=5 21-30%=2 31% or more=0**

2. Utilization Rate (0-20pts)

Using the FY22 Project Application and Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22)* APR, complete the following chart to calculate the project's utilization rate (round up to closest whole number).

Persons: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = Utilization _____ %
 Households: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = Utilization _____ %

2a. Did your project meet its projected number of households and/or persons served during the year (100% or more utilization)? **Yes - 10 points** **No - 0 point**

2b. The Continuum prioritizes projects which best utilize their resources. Did your Project have a utilization rate of more than 100% in both households and persons?

Yes - 10 points **No - 0 point**

Projected persons served during an average PIT (Question 5 in Project Application)	Quarterly	Actual number served during PIT (Questions 7 & 8 in APR)	
		Persons	Households
_____ Persons _____ Households	January		
	April		
	July		
	October		
	Average		

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC)

RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

3. Monitoring (0-12pts)

3a. Did your agency participate in UFA Program Monitoring and provide all required documentation by the specified due date to CARES? **Yes 4pts** **No 0 pts**

3b. If monitoring resulted in any findings or recommendations requiring action or follow-up, did you respond or address issues? **Yes 3pts** **No 0 pts**

3c. Does the project submit quarterly vouchers for reimbursement to CARES?
(CARES Will Confirm) **Yes 5 pts** **No 0 pts**

4. Number of Homeless Persons (0-5pts)

Was your project included in the final submission of the 2023 Housing Inventory/Point in Time? This will be verified by the Collaborative Applicant. **Yes 5 pts** **No 0 pts**

5. Data Quality & Completeness (0-20 pts)

5a. Based on FY22 APR 2a. Based upon FY22 APR Q6 is there an error rate of more than 5% in any of the following HMIS elements: PII, Universal Data, Income and Housing **Yes 0 pts** **No 10pts**

5b. Timeliness of Data Entry: Based on FY22 APR Q6E: Do you have any number of project start records at 11+ days. **ANY Yes - 0 pts** **ALL No - 10pts**

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

PART 2: DATA RELATED QUESTIONS

1. Impact on Chronic Homeless: Attachment 1 (10 possible pts.)

Permanent Supportive Housing Programs

During FY22, the CoC's **PSH projects** served 10 chronically homeless (CH) individuals.

To show project impact towards goal of ending CH, refer to **Attachment 1** to note:

100-76% = 10 pts; 75-51% = 7.5; 50-26% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

Rapid Rehousing Housing Programs

During FY22, the CoC's **RRH projects** served 10 chronically homeless (CH) individuals.

To show project impact towards goal of ending CH, refer to **Attachment 1** to note:

100-76% = 10 pts; 75-51% = 7.5; 50-16% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

2. Positive Outcomes: Attachment 2 (10 possible pts.)

Permanent Housing Programs

During FY22, there were 32 persons with positive outcomes noted across all CoC PSH programs. An outcome is positive for PH if client is a stayer or exited to a PH destination. To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in Attachment 2: _____%

100-76% = 10 pts; 51-75% = 7.5; 50-26% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

Rapid Rehousing Projects

During FY22, there were 22 persons with positive outcomes noted across all CoC RRH programs. An outcome is positive for RRH if client exited to a PH destination. To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in Attachment 2: _____%

68-51% = 10 pts; 50-35% = 7.5; 34-17% = 5 pts; 16-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

3. Exits to Homelessness: Attachment 3 (10 possible pts.)

Please refer to Attachment 3 showing all project leavers and note the percentage of those who exited to the shelter or the street _____%

Total points=10-(% system impact*10)

4. Income Growth – System Impact: Attachment 4 (10 possible pts.)

Please refer to Attachment 4 (which measures total income growth between the two most recent client assessments for stayers; and between entry and exit for leavers), to note the percentage this project contributed to total income growth in the CoC in FY22: _____%

54-40% = 10pts; 39-27% = 7.5 pts; 26-14% = 5 pts; 13-1% = 2.5%; 0% = 0 pt

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

5. Income Growth – Project Performance

(Refer to APR Q19. Cash Income – Changes over Time to respond to questions below.)

5a. What percentage of **stayers** gained or increased **earned income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 1**) Number of Adults with Earned Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

25-49% = 5 pts; 15-24% = 3 pts; 1-14% = 1 pts; 0% = 0

5b. What percentage of **stayers** gained or increased **other income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 3**) Number of Adults with Other Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

15-49%=3 pts; 0-14=0 pts

5c. What percentage of **leavers** gained or increased **earned income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a2. Row 1**) Number of Adults with Earned Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

25-49% = 5 pts; 15-24% = 3 pts; 1-14% = 1 pts; 0% = 0

5d. What percentage of **leavers** gained or increased **other income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 3**) Number of Adults with Other Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure:

_____%

15-49%=3 pts; 0-14=0 pts

6. Priority Populations (0-10pts)

What percentage of beds are dedicated to a priority population? *Please attach Project Application Q4B. and/or 5B.*

100% Chronically Homeless (CH), Youth, Veteran, or Victims of DV = 10 pts

50% Chronically Homeless (CH), Youth, Veteran, or Victims of DV = 5 pts

No priority population = 0 pts

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

7. Housing First (0-5 pts)

Housing First is a recovery-oriented approach to end homelessness by rapidly housing individuals **without** screening out or terminating consumers based on any of the criteria below. Does your project screen out or terminate consumers based on any the following?

Any Yes - 0

All No - 5 pts

	Yes	No
Having too little or no income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Active or history of substance abuse	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
History of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to participate in supportive services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to make progress on a service plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Loss of income or failure to improve income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. Anti-Discrimination (0-7 pts)

8a. Does your agency anti-discrimination policy include language that ensures that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination? (Please attach relevant pages of your policy to your application as a PDF)

Yes 5pts No 0 pts

8b. Does your agency have diverse individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, historically under-represented individuals) in managerial and leadership positions?

Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

9. CoC Participation (Up to 11 pts)

Do project or agency staff routinely participate in one or more of the following Committees?

1 point for each committee project or agency staff attend 75% of meetings within the last 12 months (CARES will verify attendance)

- Board/Executive Committee
- Membership
- Fiscal
- Performance and Evaluation
- Point In Time
- Coordinated Entry
- Racial Justice Task Force

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

10. Coordinated Entry: (up to 10 pts)

10a. Does your project comply with HUD requirements and ONLY admit clients who have been referred through the Coordinated Entry (CE) process? Yes 4pts No 0 pts

10b. Do you regularly attend the CE meetings? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

10c. Is there at least one staff member trained in the Coordinated Entry processes and CE and HMIS/CE in HMIS training? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

10d. Has your agency signed and returned to the CE lead agency the Coordinated Entry MOU? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

Part 3 Project/System Performance Narratives

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Informational Only

What was your FY19 Funding Request	\$
Leasing	\$
Rental Assistance	\$
Supportive Services	\$
Operations	\$

Is this project voluntarily reallocating funds to the CoC?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, how much funding would the project voluntarily reallocate?		

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a short project overview that clearly describes the project's unique characteristics and achievements. Please include:

- The target population(s) served
- The number of participants served
- The number of contracted beds, units or voucher
- The cost per bed, and project goals and achievements.

Please be as descriptive as possible by using data stated in the project application and the project's FY22APR. Response must be 250 words or less.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

1. Utilization Rate (0-10pts)

(Only projects who scored zero in utilization rate as reported in Part 2 Q1a)

This question applies to projects whose utilization rate was less than 100% during FY22 only.

If your project's utilization rate was $\geq 100\%$ please note N/A. If the utilization rate is under 100%, (from Part 1 Q1a above) please explain the reason why in 250 words or less. For example, were there barriers or specific challenges to achieving 100% capacity?

2. Leveraging and Coordination of Services (0-5pts)

Please provide specific examples of how your project coordinates services with other funded and non-funded providers to leverage services.

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the names of other funded projects specifically.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) examples of how the project coordinates services with the noted funded projects.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

3. System Performance- Housing Stability (0-5pts)

System Performance- Housing Stability (0-5 pts)What strategies does your program use to ensure clients who exit to a permanent housing destination remain stably housed?

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the strategy your program uses.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) examples.

4. System Performance – Income (0-5pts)

What support does your project provide to clients to increase non-employment cash income and employment cash income?"

- Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing non-employment cash income.
- Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing non-employment cash income.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

5. Gap in Services (0-5pts)

Please note how the project responds to a gap(s) in Homeless Housing and/or services (250 words or less).

- Up to 2.5 pts. awarded if the narrative clearly identifies the gaps in homeless housing and/or services.
- Up to 2.5 pts. awarded if the agency provides examples of how the project meets those gap(s) For example transportation, emergency food, etc...

6. Diversity and Inclusion (0-5pts)

Does your program/agency have Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) training for its staff?

6a. If yes, how do staff utilize skills learned to ensure a person centered approach to housing and services?

6b. If not, how does the agency support staff in ensuring a person-centered approach to housing and services?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

7. Including Transgender and Gender Non-conforming clients: (0-5 pts)

How does your program ensure equitable housing options and support services for clients who identify as transgender or a gender other than singularly female or male (e.g., nonbinary, genderfluid, agender, culturally specific gender)?

8. Continued response to infectious disease (0-5 pts)

What has your agency done differently/implemented since the COVID-19 outbreak to quickly respond to infectious disease outbreaks? How will you implement these processes to effectively respond to future health emergencies?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

9. Monitoring (*Referring to Part 1 Q3*): (1 pt.)

Did you have a finding, concern, and/or recommendation from your UFA fiscal monitoring? If yes, what did your project do to address this finding, concern, and/or recommendation?

10. Feedback from Clients (0-5 pts)

What proactive processes does your agency have to receive and incorporate feedback from persons with lived experience?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

11. Addressing HUD-Defined Severe Service Needs (0-5 pts)

Does your project address HUD's definition of severe service needs and subsequent barriers to accessing housing? If yes, please describe how your project addresses those needs.

(Individuals considered as having severe barriers to housing include: high utilization of crisis or emergency services to meet basic needs (ERs, jails, and psychiatric facilities), history of victimization/abuse including domestic abuse, sexual assault, and childhood abuse, LOT Homeless, low/no income, risk of continued homelessness, significant challenges or functional impairments, substance abuse—current or past, unsheltered homelessness, vulnerability to illness or death, vulnerability to victimization.)

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

Bonus Questions- Domestic Violence and Youth Program Only

12. Domestic Violence Projects Only- Positive Outcomes/Safety (0-5 pts)

Outcomes considered positive for DV programs may not be the same as positive outcomes for Permanent Supportive Housing programs. How does your agency contribute to housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC?

- o Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the DV provider lens.
- o Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

13. Dedicated Youth Projects Only- Positive Outcomes (0-5 pts)

Outcomes considered positive for youth programs may not be the same as positive outcomes for Permanent Supportive Housing programs. How does your agency contribute to housing stability across the CoC?

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the Youth provider lens.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability across the CoC.

2023 Rank & Review Interview Questions

These questions have been formed by CARES staff to focus on the 2023 HUD Policy Priorities as noted in the NOFO. ***Each question should be answered in 3-5 minutes, to ensure agency interviews do not run over. Applicants will answer questions 1,3,4,5. DV provider Family of Woodstock will answer questions 2,3,4,5.***

How to Have a Successful Interview:

- **Prepare responses to each interview question in advance.** Applicants will only have the time allotted for your interview to respond to each question, so preparation is key to maximize your opportunity to gain all available points.
- **Review any lower scoring from Parts 1 and 2 and come prepared to discuss.**
- **Keep responses succinct.**
- For agencies interviewing for more than one project, make sure to note when a response applies to some or all projects and when a distinction needs to be made. Each project will be scored separately.

Reviewers will first ask any clarifying questions they have about the project before asking the below interview questions of each applicant.

HUD Priority/Justification for Question	Interview Question	Scoring Criteria
<p>Persons with Lived Experience. HUD expects CoCs to include in the local planning process people who are currently experiencing or have formerly experienced homelessness to address homelessness. People with lived experience should determine how local policies may need to be revised and updated, participate in CoC meetings and committees as stakeholders, provide input on decisions, and provide input related to the local competition</p>	<p>1. How does your agency incorporate the voices of people with lived experience/expertise of homelessness into programmatic decisions?</p> <p><i>Agencies can respond to question 1 or question 2, but not both.</i></p>	<p>1-Point if they describe a meaningful process of incorporating the voices of PWLE into programs.</p>

<p>process. CoCs should seek opportunities to hire people with lived experience.</p>		
<p>HUD added scoring factors based on the responses to questions that demonstrate CoCs' collaboration with victim service providers in the CoC Application, projects' plans to include survivors with lived experience in policy and program development and the inclusion of victim-centered practices in operating their projects.</p>	<p>2. If your project serves victims of domestic violence, what are your plans to include survivors with lived experience in policy and program development, and how do you include victim centered practices in operating your project?</p>	<p>0.5-Point - for an identified plan to include survivors with lived experience in policy and program development.</p> <p>0.5-Point - for identification of how the agency includes victim centered practices in operating their project.</p>
<p>Improving System Performance. CoCs should be using system performance measures (e.g., average length of homeless episodes, rates of return to homelessness, rates of exit to permanent housing destinations) to determine how effectively they are serving people experiencing homelessness. HUD also assesses CoCs' on how it takes severity of needs and vulnerabilities into account when reviewing and ranking projects.</p>	<p>3. If you felt your project performed low on any section of Part 1 due to serving particularly vulnerable populations or households with severe needs (e.g., chronically homeless, substance use, severe mental illness, history of domestic violence, youth, criminal history) or because of other mitigating factors that you feel the reviewers should be aware of, please explain now.</p>	<p>1-Point-All program system performance measures are above average.</p> <p>Potential to recoup points if agency explains it had low performance measures due to serving particularly vulnerable populations or households with severe needs (or other mitigating factors), and how they are working to improve those outcomes.</p>

<p>Racial Equity. In nearly every community, Black, Indigenous, and other people of color are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population. HUD is emphasizing system and program changes to address racial equity within CoCs. (NOFO, pg. 8)</p>	<p>4. What training, expectations and/or best practices does your agency have in place to address the needs of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color who may utilize your program? How does your agency demonstrate racial equity in your projects?</p>	<p>1-Point if agency cites specific examples of how they ensure racial equity in program delivery.</p>
<p>When considering which projects to select in their local competition to be included in their application to HUD, CoCs should ensure privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.</p>	<p>5. How does your agency ensure housing and services are private, respectful, safe, and accessible regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation?</p>	<p>1-Point - for noting specific examples that demonstrate the agency's commitment to safe, affirming, equitable housing and services for the LGBTQ+ population.</p>

Interview questions were formulated based on HUD priorities as outlined in the 2023 NOFO. Check out the HUD priorities that informed Part 3 new project interview questions here: [NOFO - 2023 | CARES of NY, Inc. \(caresny.org\)](https://www.caresny.org/).

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(c) Blank New Project Application Tool

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

Applicant/Agency Name: _____

Agency Point of Contact: _____

Proposed Project Name: _____

Agency/Employer Tax Identification number: _____

UEI Number: _____

Physical Agency Address: _____

Address of proposed project (if applicable): _____

Is your agency a faith-based organization? Yes ____ No ____

Total number of units and beds being applied for (if applicable): _____

Total number of households/clients project proposes to serve: _____

Has your agency ever received a federal grant, either directly from a federal agency or through a State/local agency? Yes ____ No ____

1. Which of the below eligible project types are you applying for?
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (must be DedicatedPlus or 100% dedicated to chronically homeless)
 - Rapid Rehousing
 - Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing
 - HMIS
 - Coordinated Entry

2. Is the project you are applying for?
 - New project
 - Expansion of an existing project

3. Will the project be able to begin within 12 months? Yes No

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

4. Is the applicant a current member of the Rockland County Continuum of Care? Continuum of Care (CoC)? **Yes – 10 points** **No – 0 points**
5. Is the Agency a currently funded CoC grantee?
 Yes – 0 points **No – 10 points**
6. **For Current HUD Funded Agencies Only:**
- a. Are there any unresolved monitoring or audit findings from HUD or the CoC?
 Yes – 0 points **No – 5 points**
- b. Has the agency drawn down all HUD Contracted funds over the two previous contract years? Only consider the same project type that is being applied for.
 Yes - 0 points **No - 5 points**
7. Is your agency an active participant in the RCCC Coordinated Entry?
 Yes – 5 points **No - 0 points**
- 8a. Does your agency currently report in the CoC's HMIS system?
 Yes – 5 pts **No - 0 pts**
- 8b. If not, provide a short description on how the agency will complete data entry in HMIS for this project? **(0-3pts)** if agency details how HMIS implementation will be accomplished?

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) New Project RFP 2023 (Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

9. Housing First (0-25 pts)

Housing First is a recovery-oriented approach to end homelessness by rapidly housing individuals without screening out or terminating consumers based on any of the criteria below. All CoC funded projects are required to follow the Housing First Model. ***Will your new project screen out or terminate consumers based on any the following?***

Any Yes - 0 All No -25 pts

	Yes	No
Having too little or no income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Active or history of substance abuse	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
History of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to participate in supportive services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to make progress on a service plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Loss of income or failure to improve income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Being a victim of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

10. Project Description (0-15pts)

Please provide a project description that includes the target population that will be served.

- 10 points if you outline a detailed strategy that will be used to help participants regain and maintain housing stability.
- 5 points if agency demonstrates how existing program can provide evidence of success with working with the targeted population.
- 1 point if the project clearly states the number of units/beds requested
- 4 points if the project describes how targeted outreach to persons least likely to apply and/or traditionally underserved populations (i.e. BIPOC, LGBTQ+ community)

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

11. Agency Experience (0-10 pts)

Please provide a detailed description of the agency's experience in administering projects dedicated to serving an underserved population (i.e. your target population).

- 4 pts for detailing past experience serving an underserved population;
- 3 points for explaining how you have connected clients to supportive services;
- 3 points for detailing other funding sources the agency uses/has used in the past to serve HUD-Defined Homeless populations.

12. Priority Population (0-8 pts)

12a. Does your project designate 100% of beds to Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Chronic Homeless, Co-Occurring Conditions, and/or Families?

_____ Yes- 5 pts _____ No-0 pts

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

12b. If your project is **not serving 100% of the above listed priority populations**, please describe how your project is addressing an unmet need for the population your project will serve. **(0-3 points)**

13. Community Need (0-10pts)

What gap in services or need in the community will this project address or fill? Please provide any anecdotal or quantitative evidence of this gap and how your project would address it.

- 2 points for identifying HUD/local priority populations served through proposed project;
- 2 points for identifying the service gap or need in the community;
- 2 points for detailing data/evidence of the service gap or need in the community;
- 4 points for explaining how the proposed project will address the need.

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
New Project RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

HUD NOFO Priorities 2023

14. Does your agency have someone with lived experience of homelessness within its Executive Leadership, who are involved with programmatic and funding decisions?

Yes- 1 points **No – 0 points**

15a. Does your agency have a racially and ethnically diverse group of stakeholders who are responsible for making programmatic and funding decisions?

Yes- 4 points **No – 0 points**

15b. If not, how does your agency plan to incorporate the views of a diverse set of stakeholders into reviewing your policies, procedures, and funding decisions?

(0-3 points)

- 3pts if applicant can identify a plan for incorporating diverse stakeholder views in the future

16. Does your organization partner with other organizations with expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population? If yes, how will you leverage those partnerships to serve your project participants? **(4 pts)**

- 2 points if applicant identifies specific organizations that they partner with
- 2 points if applicant describes what services will be provided to clients

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) New Project RFP 2023 (Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

17. System Performance

How will this project reduce the average length of time homeless for project participants?
(0-2pts)

- 2pts if applicant describes how their project will serve those with the longest lengths of time homeless and strategies for reducing length of time homeless.

18. Unsheltered Services

Describe the support services your agency has tailored to serve persons coming from an unsheltered situation. (0-2pts)

19. Does your agency have relationships/partnerships with any of the below? Check all that apply. (1 pt for each checked box)

Public/Private Healthcare Organizations

PHAs, local housing organizations, or other non-CoC/ESG funding streams

Local Workforce Development Sectors

19a. If you checked Public/Private Healthcare Organizations, does your agency have a written commitment from a health care organization with the value of the commitment and the date(s) the healthcare resources will be provided, or can you procure one before the submission of this year's CoC application?

Yes- 10 points No - 0 points

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)

New Project RFP 2023

(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

19b. If you checked PHAs, local housing organizations, or other non-CoC/ESG funding streams, will your project utilize housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG by: **providing at least 25 percent of the units** included in the project; or in the case of a rapid re-housing project, **serve at least 25 percent of the program participants** anticipated to be served by the project*?

Yes- 10 points No – 0 points

19c. If you checked Local Workforce Development Sectors, do you have a written commitment or other document demonstrating your partnership, or could you procure one if your project is chosen to move forward?

Yes- 5 points No – 0 points

19d. The CoC will receive bonus points for successfully demonstrating that agencies are leveraging Healthcare and Housing partnerships to bolster CoC programs. If your project application moves forward, can you confirm that you will be able to provide a contract, MOU, or other formal documentation that demonstrates your partnership with a healthcare organization or housing funding?

Yes No

Unscored but required.

Please feel free to utilize any relevant narratives from other parts of the application or other applications to respond to questions in this section.

- Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application.

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)

New Project RFP 2023

(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

Please provide a 12-month Budget Proposal (required for review):

ACTIVITY	CoC FUNDS REQUESTED	NOTES
A. Rental Assistance	\$	
B. Support Services	\$	
1. Salaries	\$	
2. Benefits	\$	
3. Other	\$	
C. VAWA (New eligible activity)	\$	
D. Rural Costs (New eligible activity)	\$	
E. Operating	\$	
F. Admin (up to 10%)	\$	
G. Total Project Costs	\$	
MATCH	AMOUNT	SOURCE
H. 25% Match Requirement	\$	

For each of the below supportive services, please indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided.

Supportive Services		Provider (Recipient, Non-Partner, or Partner)	Frequency (Monthly, Daily, Weekly, or As-needed)
Assessment of Service Needs			
Assistance with Moving Costs			
Case Management			

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)

New Project RFP 2023

(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance and Job Training		
Food		
Housing Search and Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills Training		
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation		
Utility Deposits		

Please complete the below table with estimated clients served.

	Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Adult Households without Children	Households with Only Children	Total
Number of Households				

Characteristics	Persons in Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Adult Persons in Households without Children	Persons in Households with Only Children	Total
Persons over age 24				
Persons ages 18-24				
Accompanied Children under age 18				
Unaccompanied Children under age 18				
Total Persons				

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)

New Project RFP 2023

(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

Will the project include: Transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?

Yes No

Will the project include: Annual follow-ups with program participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?

Yes No

Will the project include: program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by this project, the applicant, a subrecipient, or partner agency?

Yes No

Will the project include: A staff person who has completed SOAR training in the past 24 months and can provide technical assistance?

Yes No

Please attach:

- 501c3 documentation
- If applicable: Formal Housing leveraging commitments (contracts, MOU with PHA, etc.)
- If applicable: Formal Healthcare leveraging commitments (MOUs, service agreements, etc.)

New Project Interview Questions

These questions have been formed by CARES staff to focus on the 2023 HUD Policy Priorities as noted in the NOFO. Please keep responses brief.

Reviewers will first ask any clarifying questions they have about the project before asking the interview questions below of each applicant.

HUD Priority/Justification for Question	Interview Question
<p>Persons with Lived Experience. HUD is encouraging CoCs to include in the local planning process people who are currently experiencing or have formerly experienced homelessness to address homelessness. People with lived experience should determine how local policies may need to be revised and updated, participate in CoC meetings and committees as stakeholders, provide input on decisions, and provide input related to the local competition process. CoCs should seek opportunities to hire people with lived experience.</p>	<p>How does your agency incorporate the voices of people with lived experience of homelessness and/or survivors of Domestic Violence into programmatic and funding decisions? (1 pt)</p>
<p>Improving System Performance. CoCs should be using system performance measures (e.g., average length of homeless episodes, rates of return to homelessness, rates of exit to permanent housing destinations) to determine how effectively they are serving people experiencing homelessness.</p>	<p>How will this project reduce the average length of time homeless for project participants? (1 pt)</p>
<p>Additionally, when considering which projects to select in their local competition to be included in their application to HUD, CoCs should ensure privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.</p>	<p>How will your agency ensure housing and services that are private, respectful, safe, and accessible regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation? (1 pt)</p>

<p>HUD requires CoCs to demonstrate that there is community and client-level support of the priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in the CoC's geographic area.</p>	<p>Is your proposed project serving a population with severe service needs? If so, please describe how your project will support those with severe service needs in maintaining permanent housing. (1pt)</p>
<p>Racial Equity. In nearly every community, Black, Indigenous, and other people of color are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population. HUD is emphasizing system and program changes to address racial equity within CoCs. (NOFO, pg. 8)</p>	<p>What training, expectations and/or best practices does your agency have in place to address the needs of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color who may utilize your program? How does your agency demonstrate racial equity in your projects? (1pt)</p>

Interview questions were formulated based on HUD priorities as outlined in the 2023 NOFO. Check out the HUD priorities that informed Part 3 new project interview questions here: <https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#Priorities>

How to Have a Successful Interview:

- **Prepare responses to each interview question in advance.** Applicants will only have the time allotted for your interview to respond to each question, so preparation is key to maximize your opportunity to gain all available points.
- **Keep responses succinct.**
- For agencies interviewing for more than one project, make sure to note when a response applies to some or all projects and when a distinction needs to be made. Each project will be scored separately.

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(d) Blank Bonus/DV Application Tool

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

Being selected through the Rank & Review process does not guarantee funding; rather it allows the project to apply for CoC funding to HUD, which is a competitive process.

Applicant/Agency Name: _____

Agency Point of Contact: _____

Proposed Project Name: _____

Agency/Employer Tax Identification number: _____

UEI Number: _____

Physical Agency Address: _____

Address of proposed project (if applicable): _____

Is your agency a faith-based organization? Yes ____ No ____

Total number of units and beds being applied for (if applicable): _____

Total number of households/clients project proposes to serve: _____

Has your agency ever received a federal grant, either directly from a federal agency or through a State/local agency? Yes ____ No ____

Which of the below eligible project types are you applying for?

- Permanent Supportive Housing (must be DedicatedPlus or 100% dedicated to chronically homeless)
- Rapid Rehousing
- Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing

What type of project are you applying for?

- New project
- Expansion of an existing project

Eligible DV bonus project must follow a Housing First approach. Housing First is a recovery-oriented approach to ending homelessness which allows for rapidly housing individuals without screening out or terminating based on any of criteria listed below. Does the proposed project screen out or terminate based on any of the following?

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

If any response “Yes” to any of the following, your project is not be eligible to apply for this funding.

Having too little or no income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Active or history of substance abuse	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
History of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to participate in supportive services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to make progress on a service plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Loss of income or failure to improve income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Being a victim of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1. Is the applicant a current member of the Rockland County Continuum of Care(CoC)?
 Yes - 10 points **No - 0 points**
2. A. Is the agency applying as a current CoC funded grantee? **Yes - 5 pts** **No - 10 pts**
B. If yes, are there any unresolved monitoring or audit findings from HUD or the CoC?
 Yes - 0 points **No - 5 points**
3. Is your agency an active participant in the RCCC Coordinated Entry program?
 Yes - 3 pts **No - 0 pts**

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

4. Please provide a detailed description of the agency's experience in administering projects dedicated to serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.

(up to 20 points)

- *5 points for past experience serving a domestic violence survivor population*
- *3 points for describing how you have connected survivors to supportive services*
- *3 points for providing an example of how your agency has moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—and how the agency will address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.*
- *3 points for describing how you prioritize program participant choice while ensuring safety of your clients.*
- *3 points for describing how the program will establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions with clients are based on equality and minimize power differentials.*
- *3 points if you describe how your program will place emphasis on program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans include assessments of program participants strengths and works towards goals and aspirations.*

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

5. Please provide a description addressing the entire scope of your project.

(0 - 25 points) 250 Word Limit

- 10 pts project description (target population, services provided, clearly state the number of units/beds requested, and project goals and project outcomes).
- 10 pts if the outreach plan specifies how the project will conduct targeted outreach to persons least likely to apply and/or traditionally underserved populations (i.e. BIPOC, LGBTQ+ community).
- 5 pts if you detail how your project will ensure DV survivors experiencing homelessness were assisted to quickly move into safe affordable housing.

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

6. What gap in services or need in the community will this project address or fill? Please provide any anecdotal or quantitative evidence of this gap and how your project would address it. **(0-10 pts)**

- 2 points for identifying HUD/local priority populations served through proposed project;
- 2 points for identifying the service gap or need in the community;
- 2 points for detailing data/evidence of the service gap or need in the community;
- 4 points for explaining how the proposed project will address the need.

7. Does your agency have a policy focused on ensuring equitable services and program outcomes across participants of all races and ethnicities?

Yes ___ 3 points No ___ 0 points

8. Will the project be able to start within 12 months? Yes - 10 pts No - 0 pts

9. Does your agency have someone with lived experience of homelessness within its Executive Leadership, who are involved with programmatic and funding decisions?

Yes- 1 points No - 0 points

10a. Does your agency have a racially and ethnically diverse group of stakeholders who are responsible for making programmatic and funding decisions? **(0-7 pts)**

Yes- 4 points No - 0 points

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

10b. If not, how does your agency plan to incorporate the views of a diverse set of stakeholders into reviewing your policies, procedures, and funding decisions?

(0-3 points)

3pts if applicant can identify a plan for incorporating diverse stakeholder views in the future

11. Does your organization partner with other organizations with expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population? If yes, how will you leverage those partnerships to serve your project participants? **(0-4 pts)**

- *2 points if applicant identifies specific organizations that they partner with*
- *2 points if applicant describes how they will leverage the partnership(s) for project clients*

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

12. Describe the support services your agency has tailored to serve persons coming from an unsheltered situation. (2pt)

13. System Performance

How will this project reduce the average length of time homeless for project participants?

(0-2pts)

- 2pts if applicant describes how their project will serve those with the longest lengths of time homeless and strategies for reducing length of time homeless.

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

14. Leveraging Agreements with Housing/Healthcare/Workforce Development

Does your agency have relationships/partnerships with any of the below?

Check all that apply. (1 pt for each checked box)

- Public/Private Healthcare Organizations
- PHAs, local housing organizations, or other non-CoC/ESG funding streams
- Local Workforce Development Sectors

If you checked partnerships above, please respond to 14a-d as appropriate

14a. Healthcare Organization - If you checked Public/Private Healthcare Organizations, does your agency have a written commitment from a health care organization with the value of the commitment and the date(s) the healthcare resources will be provided, or can you procure one if your project is chosen to move forward*?

Yes- 5 points **No – 0 points**

**Please note, this commitment will be submitted with the CoC's full CoC Application so the CoC is awarded additional points.*

14b. PHA Partnership- If you checked PHAs, local housing organizations, or other non-CoC/ESG funding streams, will your project utilize housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG by: **providing at least 25 percent of the units** included in the project; or in the case of a rapid re-housing project, **serve at least 25 percent of the program participants** anticipated to be served by the project*?

Yes- 5 points **No – 0 points**

**Please note, this commitment will be submitted with the CoC's full CoC Application so the CoC is awarded additional points.*

14c. Local Workforce Partnership- If you checked Local Workforce Development Sectors, do you have a written commitment or other document demonstrating your partnership, or could you procure one if your project is chosen to move forward?

Yes- 5 points **No – 0 points**

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

Please provide a 12-month Budget Proposal (required for review):

ACTIVITY	CoC FUNDS REQUESTED	NOTES
A. Rental Assistance	\$	
B. Support Services	\$	
1. Salaries	\$	
2. Benefits	\$	
3. Other	\$	
C. VAWA (New eligible activity)	\$	
D. Rural Costs (New eligible activity)	\$	
E. Operating	\$	
F. Admin (up to 10%)	\$	
G. Total Project Costs	\$	
MATCH	AMOUNT	SOURCE
H. 25% Match Requirement	\$	

For each of the below supportive services, please indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided.

Supportive Services	Provider (Recipient, Non-Partner, or Partner)	Frequency (Monthly, Daily, Weekly, or As- needed)
Assessment of Service Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management		
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance and Job Training		
Food		
Housing Search and Counseling Services		
Legal Services		

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

Life Skills Training			
Mental Health Services			
Outpatient Health Services			
Outreach Services			
Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
Transportation			
Utility Deposits			

Please complete the below table with estimated clients served.

	Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Adult Households without Children	Households with Only Children	Total
Number of Households				

Characteristics	Persons in Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Adult Persons in Households without Children	Persons in Households with Only Children	Total
Persons over age 24				
Persons ages 18-24				
Accompanied Children under age 18				
Unaccompanied Children under age 18				
Total Persons				

Will the project include: Transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? Yes No

Will the project include: Annual follow-ups with program participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed? Yes No

Will the project include: program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by this project, the applicant, a subrecipient, or partner agency? Yes No

Will the project include: A staff person who has completed SOAR training in the past 24 months and can provide technical assistance? Yes No

Please attach:

Rockland Continuum of Care (RCCC)

DV Bonus Project RFP 2023

- 501c3 documentation
- If applicable: Formal Housing leveraging commitments (contracts, MOU with PHA, etc.)
- If applicable: Formal Healthcare leveraging commitments (MOUs, service agreements, etc.)

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(e) Blank Bonus CE/HMIS Application Tool

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) Coordinated Entry (CE)-Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) RFP 2023 (Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

Applicant/Agency Name:

Agency Point of Contact:

Proposed Project Name:

New CoC Applicants Only

Agency/Employer Tax Identification number:

UEI Number:

Physical Agency Address:

Address of proposed project (if applicable):

Is your agency a faith-based organization? Yes ____ No ____

Total number of units and beds being applied for (if applicable):

Total number of households/clients project proposes to serve:

Has your agency ever received a federal grant, either directly from a federal agency or through a State/local agency? Yes ____ No ____

Which of the below eligible project types are you applying for?

- HMIS
- CE

What type of project are you applying for?

- New project – 5pts
- Expansion of an existing project- opts

Will the project be able to begin within 12 months? Yes No

1. Is the applicant a current member of the Rockland County Continuum of Care (CoC)? Yes – 10 points No – 0 points
2. Is the agency applying a current CoC funded grantee?
 Yes – 0 points No – 5 points
3. Does your agency have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings from HUD or the CoC? Yes – 0 points No – 3 points
If yes, please explain (2 points)
4. Please explain the need for this project within your CoC. **(0-25 pts.)**

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) Coordinated Entry (CE)-Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) RFP 2023 (Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

5. Do you have the proper staffing to administer this program if funded?

Yes=8 pts No=0 pts

6. Does your agency have a racially and ethnically diverse group of stakeholders who are responsible for making programmatic and funding decisions? If not, how does your agency plan to incorporate the views of a diverse set of stakeholders into reviewing your policies, procedures, and funding decisions? Provide your response below **(0-7 pts)**.

- *4pts if applicant has racially and ethnically diverse stakeholder decisionmakers*
- *3pts if applicant can identify a plan for incorporating diverse stakeholder views in the future*

7. Does your agency have someone with lived expertise of homelessness within its Executive Leadership, who are involved with programmatic and funding decisions?

Yes- 4 points No – 0 points

8. Does your organization partner with other organizations with expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population? If yes, how will you leverage those partnerships to serve your project participants? (4 pts)

- *2 points if applicant identifies specific organizations that they partner with*
- *2 points if applicant describes what services will be provided to project clients*

Coordinated Entry Projects Only Please Complete This Section

9. What % of ARD funds are already dedicated to CE? **(CARES will answer this question on your behalf)** _____

0%-2%=26 pts. 2.1%-3%=20 pts. 3.1%-4%=10 pts. 4.1%-5%= 5 pts >5%=0 pts.

10. Does your currently funded CE project ensure the following required data elements are entered into HMIS or a comparable database: **(if not currently funded skip to Q11)**

All Yes=10 pts Any No's=0 pts

- CE Assessment Element
- CE Event Element
- Current Living Situation Element

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC) Coordinated Entry (CE)-Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) RFP 2023 (Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

11. If your agency is not a currently funded CE project, please explain the staffing plan and training your agency will provide to accomplish the above required data elements are entered into HMIS or a comparable database? **(up to 8 pts)**
12. Please list the following entities for your project's CE system:
- Policy Oversight Entity: _____
- Management Entity: _____
- Evaluation Entity: _____
- In 3-5 sentences please describe how your program will work with each to ensure program compliance. **(0-5 pts)**
13. Does your CE System have a data privacy policy? **Yes=6 pts** **No=0 pts**
-

HMIS Projects Only Please Complete This Section

14. What % of ARD funds are already dedicated to HMIS? **(CARES will answer this question on your behalf)** _____
- 0%-2%=26 pts. 2.1%-3%=20 pts. 3.1%-4%=10 pts. 4.1%-5%= 5 pts >5%=0 pts.**
- 15a. Do 100% of required projects participate in your HMIS project? **Yes=8 pts** **No=0 pts**
- 15b. If your HMIS does not currently have 100% required participation what is your plan for engagement? Please explain. **(0-5 pts.)**
- 15c. Do any non-required projects participate in your HMIS? **Yes=2 pts** **No=0 pts**
16. Has your HMIS project submitted all required reports on time within the last fiscal year? (ex: LSA, SPM and HMIS Grantee APR) **Yes=5 pts** **No=0 pts**
17. Does your HMIS System have a data privacy policy? **Yes=6 pts** **No=0 pts**

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCC)
Coordinated Entry (CE)-Homeless Management
Information System (HMIS) RFP 2023
(Reallocation and/or Bonus Projects)

CE & HMIS Projects-Please provide a 12-month budget proposal (required for review)

ACTIVITY	CoC FUNDS REQUESTED	NOTES
A. Support Services	\$	
1. Salaries	\$	
2. Benefits	\$	
3. Other	\$	
B. HMIS	\$	
C. Admin	\$	
D. Total Project Costs	\$	
MATCH	AMOUNT	SOURCE
E. 25% Match Requirement	\$	

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(f) Ranking and Tiering

- This document showcases the actual points awarded for each project

NY-606 Rockland CoC - FY23 Ranking & Tiering

Agency	Project Name	Project Component	Requested Funding Amount	Reallocated Funds	Score	Accepted or Rejected	Rank (if accepted)	
CARES of NY, Inc.	HMIS	SSO-HMIS	\$ 69,543.00		N/A	Accepted	1	Tier 1
CARES of NY, Inc.	Coordinated Entry	SSO-CE	\$ 72,500.00		N/A	Accepted	2	
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-PSH Program	PSH	\$ 450,559.00		197.88	Accepted	3	
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program	RRH	\$ 263,960.00		185.3	Accepted	4	
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	RRH	\$ 527,254.00		148.85	Accepted	5	
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	RRH	\$ 104,158.00		148.85	Accepted		Tier 2
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program Expansion	RRH	\$ 182,526.00		126	Accepted	6E	Bonus
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Expansion	RRH	\$ 260,751.00		113	Accepted	7DE	DV Bonus

Not Ranked:

CARES of NY, Inc.	Planning Grant		\$ 130,376.00			Accepted		Planning
CARES of NY, Inc.	UFA Grant		\$ 78,225.00			Accepted		UFA

Annual Renewal Demand	\$	1,487,974.00
Tier 1	\$	1,383,816.00
Tier 2	\$	104,158.00
CoC Bonus	\$	182,526.00
DV Bonus	\$	260,751.00
Planning Grant	\$	130,376.00
UFA Grant	\$	78,225.00

Expansion Grants

Applicant Name	Renewal Project Name	Expansion Project Name
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program	RC DSS RRH Bonus Expansion
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	CSC DV Bonus RRH Expansion

Consolidations

Applicant Name	Renewal Project 1	Renewal Project 2	Surviving Pin

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(g) Objective Criteria and System Performance Criteria Chart

- This document showcases:
 - **Objective criteria** for the project applications
 - **System performance criteria** for the project applications
 - Projects that address **severe barriers to housing and services**
 - Points associated with the degree the projects identified any barriers faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate identified barriers.

NY-606 Objective Criteria					
Question	Point Value	Objective Criterion	System Performance Measure	Considerations for Serving those with Severe Barriers to housing and services	Addressing Racial Barriers
Renewal Part 1- Project Level Performance					
1. Fund Expenditure	10	Funds Returned			
1. Utilization Rate	20	Utilization Rate			
3. Monitoring	12	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
4. Number of Homeless	5	HIC/PIT			
5. Data Quality and Completeness	20	Data Quality & Completeness			
Part 2- Data Related Questions					
1. Impact on Chronic Homelessness	10	Impact on CH	Impact on CH		
2. Positive Outcomes	10	Positive Outcomes	Positive Outcomes		
3. Exits to Homelessness	10	Exits to Homelessness	Exits to Homelessness		
4. Income Growth- System Impact	10	Effect on Income Growth	Effect on Income Growth		
5. Income Growth- Project Performance	16	Effect on Income Growth	Effect on Income Growth		
6. Priority Population	10	Population Served		Prioritizing pops with severe service needs	
7. Housing First	5	Housing first			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
8. Anti-Discrimination	7	anti-discrimination			Addressing Racial Barriers-Agency written policies
9. CoC Participation	11	CoC Participation			
10. Coordinated Entry	10	Coordinated Entry Participation			
Renewal Part 3					
3. System Performance-Housing Stability	5			Question for projects to address client service needs that impact housing stability	Addressing Racial Barriers- Barriers to Housing Stability
6. Diversity and Inclusion	5				Addressing Racial Barriers- Staff training
7. LGBTQ+	5			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
10. Feedback from Clients	5				Addressing Racial Barriers- client feedback
11. Addressing Severe Service Needs	5			Evaluating projects with clients with severe service needs	
12. DV	5			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Victim Service Providers - Positive Outcomes based on Safety Concerns	
13. Dedicated Youth	5			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Youth Service Providers: Positive Outcomes based on Increase of Income and Housing Stability	
Renewal Part 4- Interviews					
3. Low Performance on Part 1	1			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Projects Serving the Hardest to Serve	
4. Racial Mirroring	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
5. Safe Housing for LGBTQ+	1			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
Total Points	226		159	56	37
Percentage of Points		Total Objective Criteria-70%	Total System Performance Criteria- 24%	Severe Service Needs- 16%	Addressing Racial Barriers- 12%
Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria, System Performance Measures, and considerations for serving those with severe barriers to housing and services refer to the following types of projects: PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH					
HMIS & CE projects are not scored through Rank & Review per the CoC's Rank & Review Written Process					
New Bonus Tool					
1. Housing Type	0	Type of Housing Proposed			
2. New Project vs. Expansion	0				
3. 12 month start up	5	Project Start Up			
4. CoC Member	10	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
5. CoC Funded	10	Funding/ CoC Participation and Monitoring			
6. HUD Funded Agencies only	10	Funding/CoC Participation and Monitoring			
7. CE Participation	10	Coordinated Entry Participation			
8 a-b. HMIS Participation	5	HMIS Participation			
9. Housing First	25	Housing First			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
12 Priority Population	5			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
14. Lived Experience	1	Lived Experience			
15. Diversity and Inclusion	7				Addressing Racial Barriers-Racially Diverse stakeholders making agency decisions
16 LGBTQ	4			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
17. LOT Homeless	2			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
18. Severe Service Needs	2			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
19. Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing	28	Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing			
Part II New Project Interviews					
LOT Homeless	1			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
Safe Accessible Housing	1				
Sever Service Needs	1			Evaluating projects with clients with severe service needs	
BIPOC Training	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
Total Points	129		104		13
Percentage of Points		Objective Criteria-80%	System Performance	Severe Service Needs- 10%	Addressing Racial Barriers- 25%
Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria refer to the following types of new projects: PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH					
HMIS & CE projects are not scored on Objective Criteria given such criteria do not make sense for SSO projects					
DV Bonus Tool					
Housing First	Unscored-eligibility threshold	Housing First			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
Housing Type	0	Type of Housing Proposed			
1. CoC Member	10	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
2. CoC Funded	19	Funding/ CoC Participation and Monitoring			
3. CE Participation	5	Coordinated Entry Participation			
5. Project Description	25			Specific plan for serving survivors of DV, Dating Violence, and stalking.	
7. Equitable Service Delivery	3	equitable Service Delivery			Equitable Service Delivery
8. Project Start Up	10	project start up			
9. People with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Leadership	1	Including People with Lived Experience of Homelessness			
10. Diversity and Inclusion	7	Diversity and Inclusion			Addressing Racial Barriers-Racially Diverse stakeholders making agency decisions
11. LGBTQ Partnersips	4			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
12. Unsheltered Services	2			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
13 System Performance- LOT Homeless	2			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
14. Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing	28	Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing			
Part II New Project Interviews					
PWLE Decision Making	1				
LOT Homeless	1			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
Safe Accessible Housing	1				
Sever Service Needs	1			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
BIPOC Training	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
Total Points	121		73		35
Percentage of Points		Total Objective Criteria-60%	System Performance	Severe Service Needs- 28%	Addressing Racial Barriers= 9%
Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria refer to the following types of new projects: PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH					
HMIS & CE projects are not scored on Objective Criteria given such criteria do not make sense for SSO projects					

1E-2: Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in its Local Competition.

1. Scoring tool used in NY-606 local competition to score new and renewal application and for all project application types.

(h) System Performance Data Attachment

- This document showcases data used from comparable databases to score projects submitted by **victim service providers**

Rank and Review NY-606
 Q3: Attachment 1
 CH Served

Project Type	Project Name	CH Served	Percent of System Impact
PSH	RCDSS - UFA RCCoC PSH	10	100%
1		10	100%

Project Type	Project Name	CH Served	Percent of System Impact
RRH	CSC - DV Rapid Rehousing ¹	0	0%
RRH	RCDSS - UFA RRH Program	8	100%
2		8	100%

¹ Projects are external - see Addendum A for more information

Rank and Review NY-606
 Q4: Attachment 2
 Positive Outcomes

Project Type	Project Name	Positive Outcomes	Percent of System Impact
PSH	RCDSS - UFA RCCoC PSH	32	100%
1		32	100%

Project Type	Project Name	Positive Outcomes	Percent of System Impact
RRH	CSC - DV Rapid Rehousing ¹	7	32%
RRH	RCDSS - UFA RRH Program	15	68%
2		22	100%

¹ Projects are external - see Addendum A for more information

Project Type	Project Name	Leavers	Exit to Homelessness	Percent of System Impact
PSH	RCDSS - UFA RCCoC PSH	1	0	0%
RRH	CSC - DV Rapid Rehousing ¹	10	0	0%
RRH	RCDSS - UFA RRH Program	15	0	0%
2		26	0	0%

¹ Projects are external - see Addendum A for more information

Rank and Review NY-606
 Q6: Attachment 4
 Total Income Growth

Project Type	Project Name	Adults with Income Growth	Percent of System Impact
PSH	RCDSS - UFA RCCoC PSH	7	54%
RRH	CSC - DV Rapid Rehousing ¹	1	8%
RRH	RCDSS - UFA RRH Program	5	38%
2		13	100%

¹ Projects are external - see Addendum A for more information

Project Type	Project Name
RRH	CSC - DV Rapid Rehousing

1E-2a. Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition.

This attachment provides a copy of a score card used for **one renewal project** submitted in NY-606's local competition. It contains the following:

1. NY-606's Objective Criteria and System Performance Criteria Chart with Point Values
2. Renewal Project Score Card
 - a. Rockland County Department of Social Services – PSH Program (*scored from most commonly used application*)
3. Blank Renewal Project Application Tool
 - a. The application tool contextualizes scoring card

1E-2a. Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition.

1. NY-606's Objective Criteria and System Performance Criteria Chart with Point Values

NY-606 Objective Criteria					
Question	Point Value	Objective Criterion	System Performance Measure	Considerations for Serving those with Severe Barriers to housing and services	Addressing Racial Barriers
Renewal Part 1- Project Level Performance					
1. Fund Expenditure	10	Funds Returned			
1. Utilization Rate	20	Utilization Rate			
3. Monitoring	12	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
4. Number of Homeless	5	HIC/PIT			
5. Data Quality and Completeness	20	Data Quality & Completeness			
Part 2- Data Related Questions					
1. Impact on Chronic Homelessness	10	Impact on CH	Impact on CH		
2. Positive Outcomes	10	Positive Outcomes	Positive Outcomes		
3. Exits to Homelessness	10	Exits to Homelessness	Exits to Homelessness		
4. Income Growth- System Impact	10	Effect on Income Growth	Effect on Income Growth		
5. Income Growth- Project Performance	16	Effect on Income Growth	Effect on Income Growth		
6. Priority Population	10	Population Served		Prioritizing pops with severe service needs	
7. Housing First	5	Housing first			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
8. Anti-Discrimination	7	anti-discrimination			Addressing Racial Barriers-Agency written policies
9. CoC Participation	11	CoC Participation			
10. Coordinated Entry	10	Coordinated Entry Participation			
Renewal Part 3					
3. System Performance-Housing Stability	5			Question for projects to address client service needs that impact housing stability	Addressing Racial Barriers-Barriers to Housing Stability
6. Diversity and Inclusion	5				Addressing Racial Barriers-Staff training
7. LGBTQ+	5			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
10. Feedback from Clients	5				Addressing Racial Barriers-client feedback
11. Addressing Severe Service Needs	5			Evaluating projects with clients with severe service needs	
12. DV	5			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Victim Service Providers - Positive Outcomes based on Safety Concerns	
13. Dedicated Youth	5			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Youth Service Providers: Positive Outcomes based on Increase of Income and Housing Stability	
Renewal Part 4- Interviews					
3. Low Performance on Part 1	1			Specific Method for Evaluating Projects Submitted by Projects Serving the Hardest to Serve	
4. Racial Mirroring	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
5. Safe Housing for LGBTQ+	1			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
Total Points	226	159	56	37	28
Percentage of Points		Total Objective Criteria-70%	Total System Performance Criteria- 24%	Severe Service Needs- 16%	Addressing Racial Barriers- 12%
<p>Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria, System Performance Measures, and considerations for serving those with severe barriers to housing and services refer to the following types of projects:</p> <p>PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH</p> <p>HMIS & CE projects are not scored through Rank & Review per the CoC's Rank & Review Written Process</p>					

New Bonus Tool					
1. Housing Type	0	Type of Housing Proposed			
2. New Project vs. Expansion	0				
3. 12 month start up	5	Project Start Up			
4. CoC Member	10	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
5. CoC Funded	10	Funding/ CoC Participation and Monitoring			
6. HUD Funded Agencies only	10	Funding/CoC Participation and Monitoring			
7. CE Participation	10	Coordinated Entry Participation			
8 a-b. HMIS Participation	5	HMIS Participation			
9. Housing First	25	Housing First			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
12. Priority Population	5			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
14. Lived Experience	1	Lived Experience			
15. Diversity and Inclusion	7				Addressing Racial Barriers-Racially Diverse stakeholders making agency decisions
16. LGBTQ	4			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
17. LOT Homeless	2			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
18. Severe Service Needs	2			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
19. Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing	28	Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing			
Part II New Project Interviews					
LOT Homeless	1			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
Safe Accessible Housing	1				
Sever Service Needs	1			Evaluating projects with clients with severe service needs	
BIPOC Training	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
Total Points	129	104	13	32	32
Percentage of Points		Objective Criteria-80%	System Performance	Severe Service Needs- 10%	Addressing Racial Barriers- 25%
<p>Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria refer to the following types of new projects: PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH</p> <p>HMIS & CE projects are not scored on Objective Criteria given such criteria do not make sense for SSO projects</p>					

DV Bonus Tool					
Housing First	Unscored-eligibility threshold	Housing First			Addressing Racial Barriers-Implementing Housing First
Housing Type	0	Type of Housing Proposed			
1. CoC Member	10	CoC Participation and Monitoring			
2. CoC Funded	19	Funding/ CoC Participation and Monitoring			
3. CE Participation	5	Coordinated Entry Participation			
5. Project Description	25			Specific plan for serving survivors of DV, Dating Violence, and stalking.	
7. Equitable Service Delivery	3	equitable Service Delivery			Equitable Service Delivery
8. Project Start Up	10	project start up			
9. People with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Leadership	1	Including People with Lived Experience of Homelessness			
10. Diversity and Inclusion	7	Diversity and Inclusion			Addressing Racial Barriers-Racially Diverse stakeholders making agency decisions
11. LGBTQ Partnerships	4			Question to evaluate equitable housing practices for LGBTQ+ population	
12. Unsheltered Services	2			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
13. System Performance- LOT Homeless	2			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
14. Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing	28	Partnerships with Healthcare and Housing			
Part II New Project Interviews					
PWLE Decision Making	1				
LOT Homeless	1			Question demonstrating how the project will serve those with the longest LOT Homeless	
Safe Accessible Housing	1				
Sever Service Needs	1			Question allowing projects to demonstrate experience of serving the hardest to serve populations.	
BIPOC Training	1				Addressing Racial Barriers-Specific Strategies to address barriers related to race
Total Points	121	73	35	11	11
Percentage of Points		Total Objective Criteria-60%	System Performance	Severe Service Needs- 28%	Addressing Racial Barriers= 9%
<p>Please note: the above points for Objective Criteria refer to the following types of new projects: PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH</p> <p>HMIS & CE projects are not scored on Objective Criteria given such criteria do not make sense for SSO projects</p>					

1E-2a. Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition.

2. Renewal Project Score Card

a. Rockland County Department of Social Services – PSH Program

Rockland

Agency Name:	RC DSS				Final Score:	197.88
Project Name:	PSH PROGRAM				total pts 232	
Submitted by Deadline w/Required Docs				Yes		
				Total Possible Points	Points Awarded	
Part I: Project Level Performance (67)						
	1. Fund Expenditure	CARES	10	10		
20 pts max	2A. Utilization Rate 100%	CARES	10	10		
	2b. Exceeded 100% in Persons & Households	CARES	10	10		
12 pts max	3A. Monitoring	CARES	4	4		
	3B. Monitoring	CARES	3	3		
	3c. Quaterly Vouchers	CARES	5	5		
5 pt max	4. Number of Homeless Persons	CARES	5	5		
20 pts max	5a. Data Quality and Completeness	CARES	10	10		
	5b. Data Quality and Completeness	CARES	10	0		
	Total Part 1		67	57		
Part II: Written Questions (99)						
10 pts max	1. Effect on Chronic Homeless	CARES	10	10		
10 pts max	2. Positive Outcomes	CARES	10	10		
10 pts max	3. Exits to Homelessness	CARES	10	10		
10 pts max	4. Effect on Income Growth	CARES	10	10		
16 pts max	5a. Income Growth Project Performance	CARES	5	3		
	5b.. Income Growth	CARES	3	3		
	5c. Income Growth	CARES	5	5		
	5d. Income Growth	CARES	3	3		
10 pts max	6. Priority Populations	CARES	10	10		
5 pts max	7. Housing First	CARES	5	5		
47pts max	8a. Anti Discrimination Policy	CARES	5	5		
	8b. Anti-Discrimination Policy	CARES	2	2		
10 pts max	9. CoC Participation	CARES	11	9		
10 pts max	10a. Coordinated Entry	CARES	4	4		
	10b. Coordinated Entry	CARES	2	2		
	10c. Coordinated Entry	CARES	2	2		
	10d. Coordinated Entry	CARES	2	2		
	Total Part 2		99	95		
Part III: Written Questions (66)					AVG	
10 pts max	1. Utilization	Reviewers	10	0		
5 pts max	2. Leveraging and Coordination of Services	Reviewers	5	5		
5 pts max	3. System Performance - Housing Stability	Reviewers	5	5		
5 pts max	4. System Performance- Income	Reviewers	5	4.38		
5 pts max	5. Gaps in Services	Reviewers	5	4.25		
5 pts max	6a. Diversity and Inclusion	Reviewers	2.5	2.5		

5 pts max	6b. Diversity and Inclusion		Reviewers	2.5	2.5		
5 pts max	7	Transgender and Non-corming Clients	Reviewers	5	5		
5 pts max	8	Infectious Disease Response	Reviewers	5	5		
1 pt max	9	Monitoring	Reviewers	1	1		
5 pts max	10	Feedback from Clients	Reviewers	5	2.5		
5 pts max	11	Severe Service Needs	Reviewers	5	4.75		
5 pts max	12. DV Projects Only		Reviewers	5	0		
5 pts max	13. Dedicated Youth Programs Only		Reviewers	5	0		
Total Part 3				66	41.88		

Part III: Interview questions					
1 pt max	Persons with Lived Experience All Programs not DV		Reviewers	1	
1 pt max	Persons with Lived Experience DV Only		Reviewers		
1 pt max	Explanation of Challenges		Reviewers	1	
1 pt max	Supporting BIPOC		Reviewers	1	
1 pt max	LGBTQ+		Reviewers	1	
Total				4	

1E-2a. Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition.

3. Blank Renewal Project Application Tool
 - a. The application tool contextualizes scoring card

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC)

RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

PART 1: PROJECT LEVEL PERFORMANCE

PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Organization Name: _____
2. Project Name: _____
3. Application Contact Person: _____

Please use Annual Progress Reports (APR's) provided to all agencies that enter data into HMIS for Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22): October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022.

1. Fund Expenditure (0-10 pts)

Did the project return funds in the most recently ended contract? If so, use the scoring for the percentage returned _____ **0%=10 1-9%=8 10-20%=5 21-30%=2 31% or more=0**

2. Utilization Rate (0-20pts)

Using the FY22 Project Application and Federal Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22)* APR, complete the following chart to calculate the project's utilization rate (round up to closest whole number).

Persons: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = Utilization _____ %
 Households: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = Utilization _____ %

2a. Did your project meet its projected number of households and/or persons served during the year (100% or more utilization)? **Yes - 10 points** **No - 0 point**

2b. The Continuum prioritizes projects which best utilize their resources. Did your Project have a utilization rate of more than 100% in both households and persons?
 Yes - 10 points **No - 0 point**

Projected persons served during an average PIT (Question 5 in Project Application)	Quarterly	Actual number served during PIT (Questions 7 & 8 in APR)	
		Persons	Households
_____Persons _____Households	January		
	April		
	July		
	October		
	Average		

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

3. Monitoring (0-12pts)

3a. Did your agency participate in UFA Program Monitoring and provide all required documentation by the specified due date to CARES? **Yes 4pts** **No 0 pts**

3b. If monitoring resulted in any findings or recommendations requiring action or follow-up, did you respond or address issues? **Yes 3pts** **No 0 pts**

3c. Does the project submit quarterly vouchers for reimbursement to CARES?
(CARES Will Confirm) **Yes 5 pts** **No 0 pts**

4. Number of Homeless Persons (0-5pts)

Was your project included in the final submission of the 2023 Housing Inventory/Point in Time? This will be verified by the Collaborative Applicant. **Yes 5 pts** **No 0 pts**

5. Data Quality & Completeness (0-20 pts)

5a. Based on FY22 APR 2a. Based upon FY22 APR Q6 is there an error rate of more than 5% in any of the following HMIS elements: PII, Universal Data, Income and Housing **Yes 0 pts** **No 10pts**

5b. Timeliness of Data Entry: Based on FY22 APR Q6E: Do you have any number of project start records at 11+ days. **ANY Yes - 0 pts** **ALL No - 10pts**

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

PART 2: DATA RELATED QUESTIONS

1. Impact on Chronic Homeless: Attachment 1 (10 possible pts.)

Permanent Supportive Housing Programs

During FY22, the CoC's **PSH projects** served 10 chronically homeless (CH) individuals.

To show project impact towards goal of ending CH, refer to **Attachment 1** to note:

100-76% = 10 pts; 75-51% = 7.5; 50-26% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

Rapid Rehousing Housing Programs

During FY22, the CoC's **RRH projects** served 10 chronically homeless (CH) individuals.

To show project impact towards goal of ending CH, refer to **Attachment 1** to note:

100-76% = 10 pts; 75-51% = 7.5; 50-16% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

2. Positive Outcomes: Attachment 2 (10 possible pts.)

Permanent Housing Programs

During FY22, there were 32 persons with positive outcomes noted across all CoC PSH programs. An outcome is positive for PH if client is a stayer or exited to a PH destination. To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in Attachment 2: _____%

100-76% = 10 pts; 51-75% = 7.5; 50-26% = 5 pts; 25-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

Rapid Rehousing Projects

During FY22, there were 22 persons with positive outcomes noted across all CoC RRH programs. An outcome is positive for RRH if client exited to a PH destination. To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in Attachment 2: _____%

68-51% = 10 pts; 50-35% = 7.5; 34-17% = 5 pts; 16-1% = 2.5 pts; 0% = 0 pt

3. Exits to Homelessness: Attachment 3 (10 possible pts.)

Please refer to Attachment 3 showing all project leavers and note the percentage of those who exited to the shelter or the street _____%

Total points=10-(% system impact*10)

4. Income Growth – System Impact: Attachment 4 (10 possible pts.)

Please refer to Attachment 4 (which measures total income growth between the two most recent client assessments for stayers; and between entry and exit for leavers), to note the percentage this project contributed to total income growth in the CoC in FY22: _____%

54-40% = 10pts; 39-27% = 7.5 pts; 26-14% = 5 pts; 13-1% = 2.5%; 0% = 0 pt

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

5. Income Growth – Project Performance

(Refer to APR Q19. Cash Income – Changes over Time to respond to questions below.)

5a. What percentage of **stayers** gained or increased **earned income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 1**) Number of Adults with Earned Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

25-49% = 5 pts; 15-24% = 3 pts; 1-14% = 1 pts; 0% = 0

5b. What percentage of **stayers** gained or increased **other income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 3**) Number of Adults with Other Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

15-49%=3 pts; 0-14=0 pts

5c. What percentage of **leavers** gained or increased **earned income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a2. Row 1**) Number of Adults with Earned Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure: _____%

25-49% = 5 pts; 15-24% = 3 pts; 1-14% = 1 pts; 0% = 0

5d. What percentage of **leavers** gained or increased **other income** between start and annual assessment? Note percent in **Q19a1. Row 3**) Number of Adults with Other Income - **Column 9**) Performance Measure: Percent of Persons to accomplish this measure:

_____%

15-49%=3 pts; 0-14=0 pts

6. Priority Populations (0-10pts)

What percentage of beds are dedicated to a priority population? *Please attach Project Application Q4B. and/or 5B.*

100% Chronically Homeless (CH), Youth, Veteran, or Victims of DV = 10 pts

50% Chronically Homeless (CH), Youth, Veteran, or Victims of DV = 5 pts

No priority population = 0 pts

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC)

RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

7. Housing First (0-5 pts)

Housing First is a recovery-oriented approach to end homelessness by rapidly housing individuals **without** screening out or terminating consumers based on any of the criteria below. Does your project screen out or terminate consumers based on any the following?

Any Yes - 0

All No - 5 pts

	Yes	No
Having too little or no income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Active or history of substance abuse	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
History of domestic violence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to participate in supportive services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Failure to make progress on a service plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Loss of income or failure to improve income	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. Anti-Discrimination (0-7 pts)

8a. Does your agency anti-discrimination policy include language that ensures that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination? (Please attach relevant pages of your policy to your application as a PDF)

Yes 5pts No 0 pts

8b. Does your agency have diverse individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, historically under-represented individuals) in managerial and leadership positions?

Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

9. CoC Participation (Up to 11 pts)

Do project or agency staff routinely participate in one or more of the following Committees?

1 point for each committee project or agency staff attend 75% of meetings within the last 12 months (CARES will verify attendance)

- Board/Executive Committee
- Membership
- Fiscal
- Performance and Evaluation
- Point In Time
- Coordinated Entry
- Racial Justice Task Force

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

10. Coordinated Entry: (up to 10 pts)

10a. Does your project comply with HUD requirements and ONLY admit clients who have been referred through the Coordinated Entry (CE) process? Yes 4pts No 0 pts

10b. Do you regularly attend the CE meetings? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

10c. Is there at least one staff member trained in the Coordinated Entry processes and CE and HMIS/CE in HMIS training? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

10d. Has your agency signed and returned to the CE lead agency the Coordinated Entry MOU? Yes 2 pts No 0 pts

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

Part 3 Project/System Performance Narratives

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Informational Only

What was your FY19 Funding Request	\$
Leasing	\$
Rental Assistance	\$
Supportive Services	\$
Operations	\$

Is this project voluntarily reallocating funds to the CoC?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, how much funding would the project voluntarily reallocate?		

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a short project overview that clearly describes the project's unique characteristics and achievements. Please include:

- The target population(s) served
- The number of participants served
- The number of contracted beds, units or voucher
- The cost per bed, and project goals and achievements.

Please be as descriptive as possible by using data stated in the project application and the project's FY22APR. Response must be 250 words or less.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

1. Utilization Rate (0-10pts)

(Only projects who scored zero in utilization rate as reported in Part 2 Q1a)

This question applies to projects whose utilization rate was less than 100% during FY22 only.

If your project's utilization rate was $\geq 100\%$ please note N/A. If the utilization rate is under 100%, (from Part 1 Q1a above) please explain the reason why in 250 words or less. For example, were there barriers or specific challenges to achieving 100% capacity?

2. Leveraging and Coordination of Services (0-5pts)

Please provide specific examples of how your project coordinates services with other funded and non-funded providers to leverage services.

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the names of other funded projects specifically.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) examples of how the project coordinates services with the noted funded projects.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

3. System Performance- Housing Stability (0-5pts)

System Performance- Housing Stability (0-5 pts) What strategies does your program use to ensure clients who exit to a permanent housing destination remain stably housed?

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the strategy your program uses.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) examples.

4. System Performance – Income (0-5pts)

What support does your project provide to clients to increase non-employment cash income and employment cash income?"

- Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing non-employment cash income.
- Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing non-employment cash income.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

5. Gap in Services (0-5pts)

Please note how the project responds to a gap(s) in Homeless Housing and/or services (250 words or less).

- Up to 2.5 pts. awarded if the narrative clearly identifies the gaps in homeless housing and/or services.
- Up to 2.5 pts. awarded if the agency provides examples of how the project meets those gap(s) For example transportation, emergency food, etc...

6. Diversity and Inclusion (0-5pts)

Does your program/agency have Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) training for its staff?

6a. If yes, how do staff utilize skills learned to ensure a person centered approach to housing and services?

6b. If not, how does the agency support staff in ensuring a person-centered approach to housing and services?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

7. Including Transgender and Gender Non-conforming clients: (0-5 pts)

How does your program ensure equitable housing options and support services for clients who identify as transgender or a gender other than singularly female or male (e.g., nonbinary, genderfluid, agender, culturally specific gender)?

8. Continued response to infectious disease (0-5 pts)

What has your agency done differently/implemented since the COVID-19 outbreak to quickly respond to infectious disease outbreaks? How will you implement these processes to effectively respond to future health emergencies?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

9. Monitoring (*Referring to Part 1 Q3*): (1 pt.)

Did you have a finding, concern, and/or recommendation from your UFA fiscal monitoring? If yes, what did your project do to address this finding, concern, and/or recommendation?

10. Feedback from Clients (0-5 pts)

What proactive processes does your agency have to receive and incorporate feedback from persons with lived experience?

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

11. Addressing HUD-Defined Severe Service Needs (0-5 pts)

Does your project address HUD's definition of severe service needs and subsequent barriers to accessing housing? If yes, please describe how your project addresses those needs.

(Individuals considered as having severe barriers to housing include: high utilization of crisis or emergency services to meet basic needs (ERs, jails, and psychiatric facilities), history of victimization/abuse including domestic abuse, sexual assault, and childhood abuse, LOT Homeless, low/no income, risk of continued homelessness, significant challenges or functional impairments, substance abuse—current or past, unsheltered homelessness, vulnerability to illness or death, vulnerability to victimization.)

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

Bonus Questions- Domestic Violence and Youth Program Only

12. Domestic Violence Projects Only- Positive Outcomes/Safety (0-5 pts)

Outcomes considered positive for DV programs may not be the same as positive outcomes for Permanent Supportive Housing programs. How does your agency contribute to housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC?

- o Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the DV provider lens.
- o Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC.

ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2023

13. Dedicated Youth Projects Only- Positive Outcomes (0-5 pts)

Outcomes considered positive for youth programs may not be the same as positive outcomes for Permanent Supportive Housing programs. How does your agency contribute to housing stability across the CoC?

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the Youth provider lens.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability across the CoC.

1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.

This attachment details the 15 Day Notification of Projects Rejected or Reduced for NY-606. It contains the following:

1. August 25, 2023 email to CoC Membership announcing the CoC posted to the Collaborative Applicant's website the Ranking and Tiering showing NY-606 Rejected Projects
2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted Ranking & Tiering for NY-606 Rejected Projects
3. No Project Applications Rejected/Reduced for NY-606
 - a. Sample Notification of Project Reduction
 - b. Sample Notification of Project Rejection

1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced-Notification Outside of e-snaps.

1. August 25, 2023 email to CoC Membership announcing the CoC posted to the Collaborative Applicant's website the Ranking and Tiering showing NY-606 Rejected Projects

From: CARES Planning Team
To: AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org; Alex Bursztein; amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org; Andrew Kohlbrenner ; Ann Denton Allen ; Anna Kobelka (helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com); Annquette Mackey (amackey@centersc.org); Anthony Petriccione; Antonio Smith (asmith@shv.org); Barbara Swift (oaswift@optimum.net); Betsy Bostwick (bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org); brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org; Cassandra Edwards ; Constance Frazier (FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us); Daniel Eudene (Daniel.Eudene@archny.org); Deanna Joy; Deena Roedema; Dilcia Suazo; Dio Dominguez; dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org; Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings (nbsinc@aol.com); Eva Hernandez (HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us); Gerri Levy; Gregory Emili (grg250@cs.com); Heather Burch (heatherburch1028@yahoo.com); Holly Shiffman; Horace Turnbull; James Fraser (jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org); Jerry Marton (jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org); Jessica Rodriguez (jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org); Joan Facelle (jhfac@aol.com); John Fella (john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us); Joseph Center (joseph.center@tsiny.org); Joseph Juste; Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office (rosenthalj@rocklandda.org); Julian Palmer (jpalmer@touch-ny.org); Katelyn McDonagh (Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org); Kathy Germain ; Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative (Kcabacungan@rcadd.org); Kelly Kohlbrenner ; Kevin McGill (kmcgill@westccop.org); Kiron Dawkins (kdawkins@westccop.org); Larry Grubler (lgrubler@tsiny.org); Lillian Jimenez (Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org); Lizzette Spinnato (Spinnatt@co.rockland.ny.us); Lu Ann Kelly; M Place; Maggie Trainor; Maria Frank; Maria Lugo; Marion Breland (marion.e.breland@gmail.com); Mark Woods; Matthew Janeczko (mjaneczko@schousingny.org); Matthew Shelley; Maura Donoghue; melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com; Messinger, Bryan (DFA); Michael Leitzes; Mike Domen; Nadie Travis; Nazanin Dae (ndaee@centersc.org); nichole albanese@goodcounselhomes.org; Nicole Sirignano (nsirignano@bridgesrc.org); Nida Sharif; Phyllis Morena; plesmark@aol.com; Raymond Browne (rbrown@tsiny.org); Rena Finklestein; Rosa Serrano-Delgado; Sabrina Neptune; Sheeba Mathai; Spinnatoli@co.rockland.ny.us; ssalomon@schousingny.org; Stephen Clement (sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org); Susan Branam; Susan Daycock (sdaycock@aol.com); Tom Zimmerman; Tony Earl Jr.; Vanessa Rock; Venesia DeFrank; William Robson; Yasmin Carrillo
Cc: Kathy Germain; Kelsey Addy; Michelle Sandoz-Dennis
Subject: NY-606/RCCoC Public Posting of the FY23 Draft CoC Application - V.1
Date: Friday, August 25, 2023 5:04:00 PM
Attachments: image002.png

Good Afternoon NY-606 RCCoC,

2023 Consolidated Application Public Posting

Draft version 1 of the 2023 CoC Application has been posted to the CARES Website for public comment, which you can find [here: https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#NY_606](https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#NY_606). The posting includes:

1. Draft CoC Application Narratives (completed to date)
2. Final Ranking & Tiering, detailing: accepted and rejected project applications as a result of the local CoC competition, including each project's ranking, score, and funding amount.

CARES asks that you review the Draft CoC Application (particularly the narratives) and provide us with any content that should be highlighted as soon as possible, and no later than Noon Friday, September 8th so we have time to incorporate your input prior to submission. Thank you for your support in ensuring the CoC submits a robust and successful application.

Thank you,

CARES Planning Team
5 Pine West Plaza, Suite #503, Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 1
<http://www.caresny.org>



1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced-Notification Outside of e-snaps.

2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted Ranking & Tiering for NY-606
Rejected Projects

application submission deadline, all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments and the CoC Priority Listing with all project applications accepted and ranked, or rejected, and notify community members and key stakeholders that the CoC Consolidation Application is available.

Once a substantial portion of the Consolidated Application is completed, CARES will post an updated version of the application on Fridays. CoC community members are encouraged to review and provide comments to CARES by emailing planning_team@caresny.org.

- Public Posting
- NY 501 STEPS
- NY 503 ACCH
- NY 507 HSPB
- NY 511 STHC
- NY 512 RCHSC
- NY 519 CGHC
- NY 520 FEHC
- NY 522 PNHC
- NY 523 SNC
- NY 601 DCCoC
- NY 606 RCCoC
- NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC) CoC Project Applications

[RCCoC Home Page](#)

2023 Funding Snapshot

CoC	ARD	Tier 1	Tier 2* <small>less bonus & DV bonus (7% ARD)</small>	CoC Bonus	DV Bonus	Planning Grant	UFA Grant
NY-606 Rockland	\$1,487,974	\$1,383,816	\$104,158	\$182,526	\$260,751	\$130,376	\$78,225

CoC Application Public Posting

2023 CoC Consolidated Application

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023
 DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Narrative](#)
 DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Attachments](#)
 Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

2023 CoC Priority Listing

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023
FINAL: [RCCoC Project Ranking and Tiering](#)

View Public Posting Archives

2022 Public Postings: RCCoC

1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.

3. No Project Applications Rejected/Reduced for NY-606
 - a. Sample Notification of Project Reduction

Dear Sample,

As the Collaborative Applicant for the NY-606 CoC, CARES would like to congratulate Sample Agency Name on having the below project accepted with a reduction within NY-606's FY23 Continuum of Care local competition. Below is a detailed list of projects that have been reduced for this application:

- Agency Name, Project Name, Ranked #/#, Scored #/#, \$ Amount

Sample Agency Name has voluntarily reallocated \$\$\$ from the above project, from \$\$\$ to \$\$\$. Funds voluntarily reallocated through this reduction will be utilized by another competitive program within the CoC in order to fully employ the CoC's resources. The reallocated funds will be permanently reallocated from the above project. You can see the full CoC Project Ranking & Tiering [here](#).

Please note, this means your projects will be included within the NY-606 CoC NOFO application with the reduced amount; HUD will determine and announce which projects will officially receive funding at a later date. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions and congratulations on your accepted project.

1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.

3. No Project Applications Rejected/Reduced for NY-606
 - b. Sample Notification of Project Rejection

Dear Sample,

On behalf of the NY-606, we would like to thank Sample Agency for its application, Sample Application Name, for bonus funding through NY-606's FY23 Continuum of Care local competition. Unfortunately, through the local Rank and Review competition for New Projects, your application was not selected to apply.

Although the project was eligible and strong, your project was ranked outside of the eligible funding amount after the combined total of accepted applications. This year, applications that indicated they would serve priority populations, as identified by the CoC, were prioritized during the Rank & Review process, which is one reason why your application was ultimately not selected to be included in this year's application for Bonus funding. The CoC encourages you to apply again if funding becomes available in future CoC competitions.

Summary:

Agency: Sample Agency

Project name: Sample Application Name

Project score: ##/###

Rank Order: ##/##

Funding amount requested: \$\$\$

Status: Rejected

1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

This attachment details the 15 Day Notification of Projects Accepted and Tiered for NY-606. It contains the following:

1. August 25, 2023 email notification to CoC Membership announcing the CoC posted to the Collaborative Applicant's website the Ranking and Tiering showing NY-606 Accepted Projects
2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted Ranking & Tiering for NY-606 Accepted Projects
3. August 30, 2023 email notification of Projects Accepted:
 - a. CARES of NY, Inc.**
 - i. Ranking: 1/7, Rockland CoC HMIS, Scored: N/A, Amount \$69,543
 - ii. Ranking: 2/7, Rockland Coordinated Entry, Scored: N/A, Amount \$72,500
 - b. Rockland County DSS**
 - i. Ranking: 3/7, RC DSS PSH Program, Scored: 197.88/226, Amount \$450,559.00
 - ii. Ranking: 4/7, RC DSS RRH, Scored: 185.30/226, Amount \$ 263,960.00
 - iii. Ranking: 6E/7, RC DSS RRH Program Expansion, Scored: 126/152, Amount \$182,526
 - c. Center for Safety & Change Inc.**
 - i. Ranking: 5/7, CSC DV RRH Combined, Scored: 148.85/226, Amount \$631,412
 - ii. Ranking: 7DE/7, CSC DV RRH Expansion, Scored: 113/146, Amount \$260,751

1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

1. August 25, 2023 email notification to CoC Membership announcing the CoC posted to the Collaborative Applicant's website the Ranking and Tiering showing NY-606 Accepted Projects

From: CARES Planning Team
To: AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org; Alex Bursztein; amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org; Andrew Kohlbrenner ; Ann Denton Allen ; Anna Kobelka (helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com); Annquette Mackey (amackey@centersc.org); Anthony Petriccione; Antonio Smith (asmith@shv.org); Barbara Swift (oaswift@optimum.net); Betsy Bostwick (bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org); brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org; Cassandra Edwards ; Constance Frazier (FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us); Daniel Eudene (Daniel.Eudene@archny.org); Deanna Joy; Deena Roedema; Dilcia Suazo; Dio Dominguez; dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org; Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings (nbsinc@aol.com); Eva Hernandez (HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us); Gerri Levy; Gregory Emili (grg250@cs.com); Heather Burch (heatherburch1028@yahoo.com); Holly Shiffman; Horace Turnbull; James Fraser (jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org); Jerry Marton (jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org); Jessica Rodriguez (jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org); Joan Facelle (jhfac@aol.com); John Fella (john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us); Joseph Center (joseph.center@tsiny.org); Joseph Juste; Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office (rosenthalj@rocklandda.org); Julian Palmer (jpalmer@touch-ny.org); Katelyn McDonagh (Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org); Kathy Germain ; Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative (Kcabacungan@rcadd.org); Kelly Kohlbrenner ; Kevin McGill (kmcgill@westccop.org); Kiron Dawkins (kdawkins@westccop.org); Larry Grubler (lgrubler@tsiny.org); Lillian Jimenez (Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org); Lizzette Spinnato (Spinnatt@co.rockland.ny.us); Lu Ann Kelly; M Place; Maggie Trainor; Maria Frank; Maria Lugo; Marion Breland (marion.e.breland@gmail.com); Mark Woods; Matthew Janeczko (mjaneczko@schousingny.org); Matthew Shelley; Maura Donoghue; melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com; Messinger, Bryan (DFA); Michael Leitzes; Mike Domen; Nadie Travis; Nazanin Dae (ndaee@centersc.org); nichole albanese@goodcounselhomes.org; Nicole Sirignano (nsirignano@bridgesrc.org); Nida Sharif; Phyllis Morena; plesmark@aol.com; Raymond Browne (rbrown@tsiny.org); Rena Finklestein; Rosa Serrano-Delgado; Sabrina Neptune; Sheeba Mathai; Spinnatoli@co.rockland.ny.us; ssalomon@schousingny.org; Stephen Clement (sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org); Susan Branam; Susan Daycock (sdaycock@aol.com); Tom Zimmerman; Tony Earl Jr.; Vanessa Rock; Venesia DeFrank; William Robson; Yasmin Carrillo
Cc: Kathy Germain; Kelsey Addy; Michelle Sandoz-Dennis
Subject: NY-606/RCCoC Public Posting of the FY23 Draft CoC Application - V.1
Date: Friday, August 25, 2023 5:04:00 PM
Attachments: image002.png

Good Afternoon NY-606 RCCoC,

2023 Consolidated Application Public Posting

Draft version 1 of the 2023 CoC Application has been posted to the CARES Website for public comment, which you can find here: https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#NY_606. The posting includes:

1. Draft CoC Application Narratives (completed to date)
2. Final Ranking & Tiering, detailing: accepted and rejected project applications as a result of the local CoC competition, including each project's ranking, score, and funding amount.

CARES asks that you review the Draft CoC Application (particularly the narratives) and provide us with any content that should be highlighted as soon as possible, and no later than Noon Friday, September 8th so we have time to incorporate your input prior to submission. Thank you for your support in ensuring the CoC submits a robust and successful application.

Thank you,

CARES Planning Team
5 Pine West Plaza, Suite #503, Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 1
<http://www.caresny.org>



1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted Ranking & Tiering for NY-606 Accepted Projects

application submission deadline, all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments and the CoC Priority Listing with all project applications accepted and ranked, or rejected, and notify community members and key stakeholders that the CoC Consolidation Application is available.

Once a substantial portion of the Consolidated Application is completed, CARES will post an updated version of the application on Fridays. CoC community members are encouraged to review and provide comments to CARES by emailing planning_team@caresny.org.

Public Posting

NY 501 STEPS

NY 503 ACCH

NY 507 HSPB

NY 511 STHC

NY 512 RCHSC

NY 519 CGHC

NY 520 FEHC

NY 522 PNHC

NY 523 SNC

NY 601 DCCoC

NY 606 RCCoC

NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC) CoC Project Applications

[RCCoC Home Page](#)

2023 Funding Snapshot

CoC	ARD	Tier 1	Tier 2* <small>less bonus & DV bonus (7% ARD)</small>	CoC Bonus	DV Bonus	Planning Grant	UFA Grant
NY-606 Rockland	\$1,487,974	\$1,383,816	\$104,158	\$182,526	\$260,751	\$130,376	\$78,225

CoC Application Public Posting

2023 CoC Consolidated Application

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023

DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Narrative](#)

DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Attachments](#)

Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

2023 CoC Priority Listing

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023

FINAL: [RCCoC Project Ranking and Tiering](#)

View Public Posting Archives

2022 Public Postings: RCCoC

1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

3. August 30, 2023 Email notification of Projects Accepted:

a. CARES of NY, Inc.

- i.* Ranking: 1/7, Rockland CoC HMIS, Scored: N/A, Amount \$69,543
- ii.* Ranking: 2/7, Rockland Coordinated Entry, Scored: N/A, Amount \$72,500

From: [Kathy Germain](#)
To: [Denise Brodt](#)
Subject: Notice of Project Acceptance FY23 CoC Competition
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 12:12:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good Afternoon,

As the Collaborative Applicant and Unified Funding Agency for the NY-606 CoC, CARES would like to congratulate The CARES of NY Inc. on having your projects accepted within NY 606's FY23 Continuum of Care local competition. Below is a detailed list of your agency's accepted projects, funding requests, scores, and ranked positions:

CARES of New York Inc., HMIS, Rank #1/7, Scored N/A, Amount \$69,543

CARES of New York Inc., Coordinated Entry, Rank #2/7, Scored N/A, Amount \$72,500

You can see the full CoC Project Ranking & Tiering [Here](#). Please note, this means your projects will be included within the NY- 606 CoC NOFO application; HUD will determine and announce which projects will officially receive funding at a later date. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions and congratulations on your accepted projects.

Kathy Germain

Planning Associate – CARES of NY, Inc.
200 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 728

<http://www.caresny.org>



1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

3. August 30, 2023 Email notification of Projects Accepted:

b. Rockland County DSS

- i.* Ranking: 3/7, RC DSS PSH Program, Scored: 197.88/226, Amount \$450,559.00
- ii.* Ranking: 4/7, RC DSS RRH, Scored: 185.30/226, Amount \$ 263,960.00
- iii.* Ranking: 6E/7, RC DSS RRH Program Expansion, Scored: 126/152, Amount \$182,526

From: [Kathy Germain](#)
To: [Roedema, Deena \(DFA\)](#); [Donoghue, Maura \(DFA\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Project Acceptance FY23 CoC Competition
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 11:43:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good Afternoon,

As the Collaborative Applicant and Unified Funding Agency for the NY-606 CoC, CARES would like to congratulate Rockland County Department of Social Services on having your projects accepted within NY 606's FY23 Continuum of Care local competition. Below is a detailed list of your agency's accepted projects, funding requests, scores, and ranked positions:

- Rockland County Department of Social Services, RC DSS PSH Program, Rank #3/7, Scored 197.88/226, Amount \$ 450,559.00
- Rockland County Department of Social Services, RC DSS RRH Program, Rank #4/7, Scored 185.30/226, Amount \$ 263,960.00
- Rockland County Department of Social Services, RC DSS RRH Program Expansion, Rank #6E/7, Scored 126/152, Amount \$182,526

You can see the full CoC Project Ranking & Tiering [Here](#). Please note, this means your projects will be included within the NY- 606 CoC NOFO application; HUD will determine and announce which projects will officially receive funding at a later date. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions and congratulations on your accepted projects.

Kathy Germain
Planning Associate – CARES of NY, Inc.
200 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 728

1E-5a: Projects Accepted – Public Posting.

3. August 30, 2023 Email notification of Projects Accepted:

c. Center for Safety & Change Inc.

- i. Ranking: 5/7, CSC DV RRH Combined, Scored: 148.85/226,
Amount\$631,412
- ii. Ranking: 7DE/7, CSC DV RRH Expansion, Scored: 113/146,
Amount\$260,751

From: [Kathy Germain](#)
To: [Melissa Place](#); [Venesia DeFrank](#)
Subject: Noticer of Project Acceptance FY23 CoC Competition
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 12:09:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good Afternoon,

As the Collaborative Applicant and Unified Funding Agency for the NY-606 CoC, CARES would like to congratulate The Center for Safety and Change on having your projects accepted within NY 606's FY23 Continuum of Care local competition. Below is a detailed list of your agency's accepted projects, funding requests, scores, and ranked positions:

Center for Safety and Change (CSC), CSC DV RRH Combined, Rank #5/7, Scored 148.85/226, Amount \$631,412.

Center for Safety and Change (CSC), CSC DV RRH Expansion, Rank #7DE/7, Scored 113/146, Amount \$ 260,751

You can see the full CoC Project Ranking & Tiering [Here](#). Please note, this means your projects will be included within the NY- 606 CoC NOFO application; HUD will determine and announce which projects will officially receive funding at a later date. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions and congratulations on your accepted projects.

Kathy Germain

Planning Associate - CARES of NY, Inc.

200 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany, NY 12210

518-489-4130 ext. 728

<http://www.caresny.org>



1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.

This attachment details the final project scores for all new and renewal projects considered for NY-606 during its local competition. It contains the following:

1. Ranking and Tiering for NY-606 Projects, displaying: project names, project scores, project accepted or rejected status, project rank, requested funding amounts, and reallocated funds.

NY-606 Rockland CoC - FY23 Ranking & Tiering

Agency	Project Name	Project Component	Requested Funding Amount	Reallocated Funds	Score	Accepted or Rejected	Rank (if accepted)	
CARES of NY, Inc.	HMIS	SSO-HMIS	\$ 69,543.00		N/A	Accepted	1	Tier 1
CARES of NY, Inc.	Coordinated Entry	SSO-CE	\$ 72,500.00		N/A	Accepted	2	
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-PSH Program	PSH	\$ 450,559.00		197.88	Accepted	3	
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program	RRH	\$ 263,960.00		185.3	Accepted	4	
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	RRH	\$ 527,254.00		148.85	Accepted	5	
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	RRH	\$ 104,158.00		148.85	Accepted		Tier 2
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program Expansion	RRH	\$ 182,526.00		126	Accepted	6E	Bonus
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Expansion	RRH	\$ 260,751.00		113	Accepted	7DE	DV Bonus

Not Ranked:

CARES of NY, Inc.	Planning Grant		\$ 130,376.00			Accepted		Planning
CARES of NY, Inc.	UFA Grant		\$ 78,225.00			Accepted		UFA

Annual Renewal Demand	\$	1,487,974.00
Tier 1	\$	1,383,816.00
Tier 2	\$	104,158.00
CoC Bonus	\$	182,526.00
DV Bonus	\$	260,751.00
Planning Grant	\$	130,376.00
UFA Grant	\$	78,225.00

Expansion Grants

Applicant Name	Renewal Project Name	Expansion Project Name
Rockland County Department of Social Services	RC DSS-RRH Program	RC DSS RRH Bonus Expansion
Center for Safety and Change	CSC DV RRH Combined	CSC DV Bonus RRH Expansion

Consolidations

Applicant Name	Renewal Project 1	Renewal Project 2	Surviving Pin

1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.

This attachment provides evidence of the website posting which displays the date and time when NY-606 posted the final version of its CoC's Consolidated Application before the submission deadline. It contains the following:

1. September 21, 2023 website screenshot showing posted **CoC-Approved final** version of CoC Consolidated Application
2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted **draft** version of CoC Consolidated Application

1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.

1. September 21, 2023 website screenshot showing posted **Coc-Approved final** version of CoC Consolidated Application

- Public Posting
- NY 501 STEPS
- NY 503 ACCH
- NY 507 HSPB
- NY 511 STHC
- NY 512 RCHSC
- NY 519 CGHC
- NY 520 FEHC
- NY 522 PNHC
- NY 523 SNC
- NY 601 DCCoC
- NY 606 RCCoC**
- NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC) CoC Project Applications

[RCCoC Home Page](#)

2023 Funding Snapshot

CoC	ARD	Tier 1	Tier 2* <small>less bonus & DV bonus (7% ARD)</small>	CoC Bonus	DV Bonus	Planning Grant	UFA Grant
NY-606 Rockland	\$1,487,974	\$1,383,816	\$104,158	\$182,526	\$260,751	\$130,376	\$78,225

CoC Application Public Posting

2023 CoC Consolidated Application

Submission Posting Date: 09.21.2023
 Approved: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Narrative](#)
 Approved: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Attachments](#)
 Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

2023 CoC Priority Listing

Submission Posting Date: 09.21.2023
 Approved: [RCCoC Project Ranking and Tiering](#)
 Approved: [RCCoC Project Descriptions](#)
 Approved: [RCCoC Priority Listing](#)

2023 CoC Planning Project

Submission Posting Date: 09.21.2023
 Approved: [RCCoC CoC Planning Project](#)
 Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

2023 CoC UFA Project

Submission Posting Date: 09.21.2023
 Approved: [RCCoC CoC UFA Project](#)
 Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

View Public Posting Archives

2022 Public Postings: RCCoC

1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.

2. August 25, 2023 website screenshot showing posted CoC-Approved **draft** version of CoC Consolidated Application

application submission deadline, all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments and the CoC Priority Listing with all project applications accepted and ranked, or rejected, and notify community members and key stakeholders that the CoC Consolidation Application is available.

Once a substantial portion of the Consolidated Application is completed, CARES will post an updated version of the application on Fridays. CoC community members are encouraged to review and provide comments to CARES by emailing planning_team@caresny.org.

- Public Posting
- NY 501 STEPS
- NY 503 ACCH
- NY 507 HSPB
- NY 511 STHC
- NY 512 RCHSC
- NY 519 CGHC
- NY 520 FEHC
- NY 522 PNHC
- NY 523 SNC
- NY 601 DCCoC
- NY 606 RCCoC**
- NY 608 UCCoC

Rockland County Continuum of Care (RCCoC) CoC Project Applications

[RCCoC Home Page](#)

2023 Funding Snapshot

CoC	ARD	Tier 1	Tier 2* <small>less bonus & DV bonus (7% ARD)</small>	CoC Bonus	DV Bonus	Planning Grant	UFA Grant
NY-606 Rockland	\$1,487,974	\$1,383,816	\$104,158	\$182,526	\$260,751	\$130,376	\$78,225

CoC Application Public Posting

2023 CoC Consolidated Application

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023

DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Narrative](#)

DRAFT: [RCCoC CoC Consolidated Application – Attachments](#)

Please send comments or questions to [CARES Planning Team](#) via email

2023 CoC Priority Listing

Public Posting Date: 08.25.2023

DRAFT: [RCCoC Project Ranking and Tiering](#)

DRAFT: [RCCoC Project Descriptions](#)

View Public Posting Archives

- 2022 Public Postings: RCCoC
- 2021 Public Postings: RCCoC

1E-5d. Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders

This attachment provides evidence of the notification to community members and key stakeholders that NY-606 posted the final version of its CoC's Consolidated Application before the submission deadline. It contains the following:

1. September 21, 2023 email notification to NY-606 members and stakeholders that the **CoC-Approved final** version of CoC Consolidated Application was publicly posted to website
2. August 25, 2023 email notification to NY-606 members and stakeholders that the **draft** version of CoC Consolidated Application was publicly posted to website

1E-5d. Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders

1. September 21, 2023 email notification to NY-606 members and stakeholders that the **CoC-Approved final** version of CoC Consolidated Application was publicly posted to website

From: [CARES Planning Team](#)
To: [CARES Planning Team](#); [AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org](#); [Alex Bursztein](#); [amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org](#); [Andrew Kohlbrenner](#); [Ann Denton Allen](#); [Anna Kobelka \(helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com\)](#); [Annquette Mackey \(amackey@centersc.org\)](#); [Anthony Petriccione](#); [Antonio Smith \(asmith@lshv.org\)](#); [Barbara Swift \(oaswift@optimum.net\)](#); [BennettH@co.rockland.ny.us](#); [Betsy Bostwick \(bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org\)](#); [brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org](#); [Cassandra Edwards](#); [Constance Frazier \(FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us\)](#); [Daniel Eudene \(Daniel.Eudene@archny.org\)](#); [Deanna Joy](#); [Deena Roedema](#); [Dilcia Suazo](#); [Dio Dominguez](#); [dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org](#); [Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings \(nbsinc@aol.com\)](#); [Eva Hernandez \(HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us\)](#); [Gerri Levy](#); [Gregory Emili \(grg250@cs.com\)](#); [Heather Burch \(heatherburch1028@yahoo.com\)](#); [Holly Shiffman](#); [Horace Turnbull](#); [James Fraser \(jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org\)](#); [Jerry Marton \(jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org\)](#); [Jessica Rodriguez \(jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org\)](#); [Joan Facelle \(jhfac@aol.com\)](#); [John Fella \(john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us\)](#); [Joseph Center \(joseph.center@tsiny.org\)](#); [Joseph Juste](#); [Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office \(rosenthalj@rocklandda.org\)](#); [Julian Palmer \(jpalmer@touch-ny.org\)](#); [Katelyn McDonagh \(Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org\)](#); [Kathy Germain](#); [Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative \(Kcabacungan@rcadd.org\)](#); [Kelly Kohlbrenner](#); [Kevin McGill \(kmcgill@westccop.org\)](#); [Kiron Dawkins \(kdawkins@westcop.org\)](#); [Larry Grubler \(lgrubler@tsiny.org\)](#); [Lillian Jimenez \(Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org\)](#); [Lizzette Spinnato \(Spinnatt@co.rockland.ny.us\)](#); [Lu Ann Kelly](#); [M Place](#); [Maggie Trainor](#); [Maria Frank](#); [Maria Lugo](#); [Marion Breland \(marion.e.breland@gmail.com\)](#); [Mark Woods](#); [Matthew Janeczko \(mjaneczko@schousingny.org\)](#); [Matthew Shelley](#); [Maura Donoghue](#); [melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com](#); [Messinger, Bryan \(DFA\)](#); [Michael Leitzes](#); [Mike Domen](#); [Nadie Travis](#); [Nazanin Dae \(ndaee@centersc.org\)](#); [nichole albanese@goodcounselhomes.org](#); [Nicole Sirignano \(nsirignano@bridgesrc.org\)](#); [Nida Sharif](#); [Phyllis Morena](#); [plesmark@aol.com](#); [Raymond Browne \(rbrown@tsiny.org\)](#); [Rena Finklestein](#); [Rosa Serrano-Delgado](#); [Sabrina Neptune](#); [Sheeba Mathai](#); [SpinnatoliLi@co.rockland.ny.us](#); [ssalomon@schousingny.org](#); [Stephen Clement \(sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org\)](#); [Susan Branam](#); [Susan Daycock \(sdaycock@aol.com\)](#); [Tammy Schwartz](#); [Tom Zimmerman](#); [Tony Earl Jr.](#); [Vanessa Rock](#); [Venesia DeFrank](#); [William Robson](#); [Yasmin Carrillo](#)
Cc: [Kathy Germain](#); [Kelsey Addy](#); [Michelle Sandoz-Dennis](#); [Maureen Van Deusen](#); [Aubrie Graves](#)
Subject: RCCoC CoC Application Submission Posting
Date: Thursday, September 21, 2023 3:37:00 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Good Morning, CoC Membership & Key Stakeholders,

All parts of the 2023 approved Consolidated Application and Priority Listing have been posted to the CARES Website for public comment, which can be accessed here: https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#NY_606

Specifically, you will find the approved versions of:

- Consolidated Application Narratives & Attachments
- Priority Listing (including project rankings)
- Ranking & Tiering, detailing: accepted and rejected project applications as a result of the local CoC competition, including each project's **ranking, score, and funding amount.**
- Planning Grant
- UFA Cost Grant
- Project Descriptions for CoC Renewal & New Applications

CARES will be submitting the CoC Application & Priority Listing on behalf of the CoC on Monday, September 25th. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to reach out.

Thank you,

CARES Planning Team
5 Pine West Plaza, Suite #503, Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 1
<http://www.caresny.org>

1E-5d. Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders

2. August 25, 2023 email notification to NY-606 members and stakeholders that the **draft** version of CoC Consolidated Application was publicly posted to website

From: CARES Planning Team
To: AHutchinson@villagespringvalley.org; Alex Bursztein; amanda@rocklandpridecenter.org; Andrew Kohlbrenner ; Ann Denton Allen ; Anna Kobelka (helpinghandsofrockland1@gmail.com); Annquette Mackey (amackey@centersc.org); Anthony Petriccione; Antonio Smith (asmith@shv.org); Barbara Swift (oaswift@optimum.net); Betsy Bostwick (bbostwick@helpinghandsofrockland.org); brooke@rocklandpridecenter.org; Cassandra Edwards ; Constance Frazier (FrazierC@co.rockland.ny.us); Daniel Eudene (Daniel.Eudene@archny.org); Deanna Joy; Deena Roedema; Dilcia Suazo; Dio Dominguez; dwilkins@rocklandpridecenter.org; Ebony Thompson - New Beginnings (nbsinc@aol.com); Eva Hernandez (HernandE@co.rockland.ny.us); Gerri Levy; Gregory Emili (grg250@cs.com); Heather Burch (heatherburch1028@yahoo.com); Holly Shiffman; Horace Turnbull; James Fraser (jfraser@helpinghandsofrockland.org); Jerry Marton (jerry.marton@rocklandguild.org); Jessica Rodriguez (jrodriguez@bridgesrc.org); Joan Facelle (jhfac@aol.com); John Fella (john.fella@dfa.state.ny.us); Joseph Center (joseph.center@tsiny.org); Joseph Juste; Judy Rosenthal - District Attorney's office (rosenthalj@rocklandda.org); Julian Palmer (jpalmer@touch-ny.org); Katelyn McDonagh (Katelyn.McDonagh@samaritanvillage.org); Kathy Germain ; Kayla Cabacungan - Haverstraw Collaborative (Kcabacungan@rcadd.org); Kelly Kohlbrenner ; Kevin McGill (kmcgill@westccop.org); Kiron Dawkins (kdawkins@westccop.org); Larry Grubler (lgrubler@tsiny.org); Lillian Jimenez (Lillian.Jimenez@wmchealth.org); Lizzette Spinnato (Spinnatt@co.rockland.ny.us); Lu Ann Kelly; M Place; Maggie Trainor; Maria Frank; Maria Lugo; Marion Breland (marion.e.breland@gmail.com); Mark Woods; Matthew Janeczko (mjaneczko@schousingny.org); Matthew Shelley; Maura Donoghue; melaniehopetafuri@gmail.com; Messinger, Bryan (DFA); Michael Leitzes; Mike Domen; Nadie Travis; Nazanin Dae (ndaee@centersc.org); nichole albanese@goodcounselhomes.org; Nicole Sirignano (nsirignano@bridgesrc.org); Nida Sharif; Phyllis Morena; plesmark@aol.com; Raymond Browne (rbrown@tsiny.org); Rena Finklestein; Rosa Serrano-Delgado; Sabrina Neptune; Sheeba Mathai; Spinnatoli@co.rockland.ny.us; ssalomon@schousingny.org; Stephen Clement (sclement@rocklandrecoveryhomes.org); Susan Branam; Susan Daycock (sdaycock@aol.com); Tom Zimmerman; Tony Earl Jr.; Vanessa Rock; Venesia DeFrank; William Robson; Yasmin Carrillo
Cc: Kathy Germain; Kelsey Addy; Michelle Sandoz-Dennis
Subject: NY-606/RCCoC Public Posting of the FY23 Draft CoC Application - V.1
Date: Friday, August 25, 2023 5:04:00 PM
Attachments: image002.png

Good Afternoon NY-606 RCCoC,

2023 Consolidated Application Public Posting

Draft version 1 of the 2023 CoC Application has been posted to the CARES Website for public comment, which you can find here: https://caresny.org/nofo-2023/#NY_606. The posting includes:

1. Draft CoC Application Narratives (completed to date)
2. Final Ranking & Tiering, detailing: accepted and rejected project applications as a result of the local CoC competition, including each project's ranking, score, and funding amount.

CARES asks that you review the Draft CoC Application (particularly the narratives) and provide us with any content that should be highlighted as soon as possible, and no later than Noon Friday, September 8th so we have time to incorporate your input prior to submission. Thank you for your support in ensuring the CoC submits a robust and successful application.

Thank you,

CARES Planning Team
5 Pine West Plaza, Suite #503, Albany, NY 12210
518-489-4130 ext. 1
<http://www.caresny.org>



2023 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

Total Population PIT Count Data

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	193	125	106	157
Emergency Shelter Total	88	43	56	102
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	33	10	10	12
Total Sheltered Count	121	53	66	114
Total Unsheltered Count	72	72	40	43

Chronically Homeless PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	76	60	30	39
Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	23	7	8	15
Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	53	53	22	24

2023 HDX Competition Report
PIT Count Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children	13	4	6	14
Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	13	4	6	14
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	0	0	0	0

Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

	2011 PIT	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans	1	4	2	2	3
Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	1	2	0	2	2
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	0	2	2	0	1

*For CoCs that did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2021, 2020 data were used.

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds*	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round Beds	Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds**	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES Beds	43	33	33	100.00%	10	10	100.00%	43	100.00%
SH Beds	0	0	0	NA	0	0	NA	0	NA
TH Beds	30	30	30	100.00%	0	0	NA	30	100.00%
RRH Beds	32	17	17	100.00%	15	15	100.00%	32	100.00%
PSH Beds	75	75	75	100.00%	0	0	NA	75	100.00%
OPH Beds	170	0	170	0.00%	0	0	NA	0	0.00%
Total Beds	350	155	325	47.69%	25	25	100.00%	180	51.43%

2023 HDX Competition Report
HIC Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

Notes

*For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, Non-VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

**For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

In the HIC, Current beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Chronically Homeless Bed Counts	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC	16	16	26	21

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children

Households with Children	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH units available to serve families on the HIC	16	16	13	10

Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

All Household Types	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC	45	53	43	32

2023 HDX Competition Report
HIC Data for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Summary Report for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.
Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES and SH	239	251	37	36	-1	14	13	-1
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH	240	254	44	61	17	14	14	0

b. Due to changes in DS Element 3.17, metrics for measure (b) will not be reported in 2016.

This measure includes data from each client's "Length of Time on Street, in an Emergency Shelter, or Safe Haven" (Data Standards element 3.17) response and prepends this answer to the client's entry date effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

NOTE: Due to the data collection period for this year's submission, the calculations for this metric are based on the data element 3.17 that was active in HMIS from 10/1/2015 to 9/30/2016. This measure and the calculation in the SPM specifications will be updated to reflect data element 3.917 in time for next year's submission.

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	251	258	299	278	-21	60	78	18
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	252	261	305	300	-5	60	81	21

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
		FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	0	0		0		0		0	
Exit was from ES	112	7	6%	6	5%	1	1%	14	13%
Exit was from TH	0	0		0		0		0	
Exit was from SH	0	0		0		0		0	
Exit was from PH	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	119	7	6%	6	5%	1	1%	14	12%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

	January 2021 PIT Count	January 2022 PIT Count	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons		106	
Emergency Shelter Total	43	56	13
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	10	10	0
Total Sheltered Count	53	66	13
Unsheltered Count		40	

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	240	259	19
Emergency Shelter Total	239	256	17
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	2	3	1

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	26	22	-4
Number of adults with increased earned income	4	3	-1
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	15%	14%	-1%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	26	22	-4
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	8	6	-2
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	31%	27%	-4%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	26	22	-4
Number of adults with increased total income	12	8	-4
Percentage of adults who increased total income	46%	36%	-10%

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	9	6	-3
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	3	3	0
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	33%	50%	17%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	9	6	-3
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	3	1	-2
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	33%	17%	-16%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	9	6	-3
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	6	4	-2
Percentage of adults who increased total income	67%	67%	0%

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.	221	249	28
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	51	56	5
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	170	193	23

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	242	266	24
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	53	55	2
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	189	211	22

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2022 (Oct 1, 2021 - Sept 30, 2022) reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	1	10	9
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	0	2	2
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	0	5	5
% Successful exits	0%	70%	70%

Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	234	233	-1
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	80	55	-25
% Successful exits	34%	24%	-10%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

	Submitted FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	81	79	-2
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	80	76	-4
% Successful exits/retention	99%	96%	-3%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality
NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

	All ES, SH			All TH			All PSH, OPH			All RRH			All Street Outreach		
	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022
1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC	31	30	30	50	30	30	83	91	99	41	40	28			
2. Number of HMIS Beds	31	30	30	50	30	30	75	82	78	41	40	28			
3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC (%)	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	90.36	90.11	78.79	100.00	100.00	100.00			
4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	335	239	258	2	2	3	82	84	89	59	60	51	9	18	11
5. Total Leavers (HMIS)	326	235	217	0	0	0	23	15	9	24	13	21	0	0	0
6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	121	36	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Destination Error Rate (%)	37.12	15.32	4.15				4.35	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

2023 HDX Competition Report

Submission and Count Dates for NY-606 - Rockland County CoC

Date of PIT Count

	Date	Received HUD Waiver
Date CoC Conducted 2023 PIT Count	1/30/2023	

Report Submission Date in HDX

	Submitted On	Met Deadline
2023 PIT Count Submittal Date	4/26/2023	Yes
2023 HIC Count Submittal Date	4/26/2023	Yes
2022 System PM Submittal Date	2/16/2023	Yes

3A-1. New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.

This attachment details the Housing Leveraging Commitments for new Projects in **NY-606**. It includes:

1. Checklist verifying commitment meets all HUD requirements
2. Signed MOU between **Rockland County Department of Social Services** and **Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA)** for **RC DSS-RRH Program Expansion**.

3A-1. New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.

1. Checklist verifying commitment meets all HUD requirements

Checklist for New CoC Project Applicants that are Leveraging Housing Resources

You have indicated that your proposed project will be able to **leverage housing resources**. You must submit to CARES (1) the below checklist and (2) a contract, letter of commitment, or other formal written documents from the funding body that includes:

X Project name should be listed in the commitment documentation

Project name: **RC DSS-RRH Program Expansion**

X Source of commitment should be listed in the commitment documentation (i.e. private organizations, state or local government, PHAs, faith-based organizations, federal programs other than CoC or ESG programs)

Source of Commitment: **Rockland County Rental Supplement Program (OTDA)**

Amount of funding committed per the following:

PSH: the number of housing units for a PSH project that are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs. The number of units must be at least 25% of the total units to be provided by the proposed new CoC project application for full points.

OR

RRH or TH-RRH: The number of program participants to be provided RRH or TH-RRH that is not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs. The number of program participants to be served must be at least 25% of the total participants to be served in the proposed CoC new project application for full points. **20 Households/ 10 participants = 200%**

Calculation showing the amount of non-CoC/ESG resources committed in the written commitment, and that this is at least 25% of units (for PSH) or participants (for RRH) to be served through the proposed New CoC Project Application:

X The date** that the funding (outside of CoC or ESG programs) will be available is on the written commitment (should run concurrently with the new project).

***Must dated between May 1, 2023 and September 28, 2023.*

Dates of housing commitment: **July 21, 2023**

3A-1a Housing Leveraging Commitments

2. Signed MOU between **Rockland County Department of Social Services** and **Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA)** for **RC DSS-RRH Program Expansion**.



Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance

KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

DANIEL W. TIETZ
Commissioner

BARBARA C. GUINN
Executive Deputy Commissioner

July 21, 2023

Joan Silvestri
Commissioner
Rockland County Department of Social Services
50 Sanatorium Road, Bldg. L
Pomona, NY 10970

Dear Commissioner Silvestri:

I am pleased to inform you that the Rockland County Rental Supplement Program (RSP) plan has been approved effective July 21, 2023. Rockland County will receive \$713,032 for the period beginning April 1, 2023, through March 31, 2024.

The terms of the plan are approved with the understanding that amendments to the plan may be granted only with approval from the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA). A copy of the approved plan is enclosed with this letter.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding the RSP process, please contact the Temporary Assistance Unit at (518) 474-9344 or tabureau@otda.ny.gov.

Sincerely,

Valerie Figueroa
Deputy Commissioner
Employment and Income Support Programs

cc: Tracy Gatchell
Shannon Al-Jabi
Melissa Alexander
Joanne Hitchcock
Susan Grant
Michael Kendall

Enclosures

2023-2024 New York State Rental Supplement Program Plan

District: _____

Program Operator: _____

Contact Person(s): _____

Telephone: _____

Email: _____

Effective Date: _____

Indicate whether the program will be administered using district mechanisms (e.g., direct administration or transfer of funds to county agencies) or by another public agency, contractor or non-profit organization. Administration of the RSP may be delegated in full or in part. Also indicate whether districts will coordinate with the local HUD-funded Continuum of Care, if applicable. If contracting out, please list the contact information for other individuals that OTDA may contact regarding the RSP.



The RSP will be administered by Rockland County DSS. Rockland County is a member agency of the local CoC and will coordinate efforts using Coordinated Entry, HMIS and by attending the CoC monthly meetings.

Anticipated Number of Households to be Served (04/1/23-03/31/24): _____

RSP FMR Percentage to be used: _____

Rockland County elects to reimburse above 85% FMR towards rent.

Include a table that includes the FY 2023 HUD 100% FMR, the 85% FMR calculation, the local cost share (if electing to reimburse above 85% FMR), household sizes and allowable number of bedrooms for each household size. An example is shown below and can be modified as needed.

Household Size	Allowable Number of Bedrooms	100% FY2023 HUD FMR	85% FY2023 HUD FMR State Reimbursed	Max Supplement Amount	District Funded Amount
1	0				
1	1				
2	1				
3	2				
4	2				
5	3				
6	4				

Describe the outreach mechanisms that will be used. Receipt of TA is not a requirement for determining eligibility for the RSP, but at least 50% of the supplements shall be allocated for households who are in shelter or experiencing homelessness at the time of application (unless sufficient demand does not exist for such households within a district).

Attach the forms and/or notices that were not initially approved by OTDA or that have been revised subsequent to OTDA approval that will be used to facilitate the application and determination process and include a description of each below. When households requesting a supplement do not meet the criteria established by the district, the denial/discontinuance letter must support the decision by explaining the criteria and the district's decision. When a supplement is approved, an award letter must be provided to both the tenant and landlord and must detail the amount approved to be paid on a monthly basis and the months/term included.

Indicate the target population and prioritization (if any) of certain households (e.g., those with children under the age of six, single individuals, veterans, individuals and families experiencing domestic violence (DV) and non-DV victims of violence).

Eligible participants include individuals and/or families, regardless of immigration status or TA eligibility, who are experiencing homelessness or facing an imminent loss of housing, including individuals and families with or without children.

List any other established eligibility criteria and indicate how each criterion will be determined and documented. Include the following:

- Will there be any health and safety standards regarding the housing that must be met prior to paying the supplement at a specific location?
- How will the district handle modifications (e.g., moves, rent increases, changes in household composition, etc.)?

Indicate the payment mechanism (e.g., check, transfer of funds, etc.) and whether the supplement will be paid to the tenant or the landlord.

Indicate how the progress of those served in the RSP will be monitored. Reports that describe the progress of RSP activities and those served will be required on at least a quarterly basis. A report template will be provided. Minimally, reports must include the amount of rental supplement payments provided, the number of households served and certain demographic information including receipt of TA and household composition.

To the fullest extent possible, RSP funding should not be used to supplement existing Shelter Supplement Programs. Districts who currently have an approved Shelter Supplement Plan must indicate the following:

- How will the RSP be different from the district's currently approved Shelter Supplement Plan?
- How will participating households be distinguished?

3A-2. New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.

This attachment details the Healthcare Formal Agreements for **NY-606**. It includes the following:

1. Checklist verifying commitment meets all HUD requirements
2. Formal Agreement between **Center for Safety & Change** and **NPConcierge** for **UFA: 606 CSC DV Bonus RRH Project Expansion (2023)**.

3A-2. New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project-Leveraging Healthcare Resources.

1. Checklist verifying commitment meets all HUD requirements

Checklist for New CoC Project Applicants that are Leveraging Healthcare Resources

You have indicated that your proposed project will be able to **leverage healthcare resources**. You must submit to CARES (1) the below checklist and (2) a contract, letter of commitment, or other formal commitment that includes the following:

X The name of the project

Project name: *Center for Safety and Change*

X Amount of assistance to be provided by the healthcare organization: **\$65,188**

X The value (dollar amount) of the healthcare commitment **being provided (must be at least 25% of the total funding requested) $\$65,188/\$260,751 = 25\%$**

OR

If the partner agency is a substance abuse and/or recovery program, a guarantee that the services will be provided to all eligible participants of this project.

Calculation showing the amount of healthcare resources committed in the written commitment, and that this is at least 25% of funding requested through the proposed New CoC Project Application, OR confirm the substance abuse and/or recovery program will offer services to all eligible participants.

X The date** that the services and/or committed funding will be available is on the written commitment (must run concurrently with the new project)

***Must be dated between May 1, 2023 and September 28, 2023.*

Date of healthcare commitment: **8/31/2023, to be available July 1, 2024**

X Affirmation that project eligibility for program participants in the new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

3A-2. New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.

2. Formal Agreement between **Center for Safety & Change** and **NPConcierge** for **UFA: 606 CSC DV Bonus RRH Project Expansion (2023)**.

NP Concierge LLC
Hudson Mental Health Services PLLC
265 N. Highland Avenue
Suite 102
Nyack, NY 10960
Phone 845-407-0500 **Fax** 830-239-9739



August 31, 2023

RE: Healthcare Services Leveraging Agreement

On behalf of NP Concierge LLC. and Hudson Mental Health Services PLLC., it is my pleasure to confirm these entities, combined, will provide health care services, as needed, up to the amount of \$65,188 toward the UFA 606 CSC DV Bonus RRH Project Expansion (2023) project for the Center for Safety & Change ("Center"). These leveraging funds will be available from July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025. As health care services providers, we guarantee that the services we provide in partnership with the Center will be available to all eligible participants of this project.

Sincerely,

Jon Huston, MSC

Director of Operations
