

1. Utilization: (0 to 20 points)

This question should be answered *only* by projects that scored zero points on Question 1 of the 2021 Rank & Review Application Part 1.

Please explain why your project did not achieve 100% utilization due to circumstance beyond the project's control (In response to Question 1 on Part 1 Data Tool) for the number of projected /contracted households and/or persons served during Federal Fiscal Year 2022.

2. System Performance- Housing Stability (0-5 pts)

What strategies does your program use to ensure clients who exit to a permanent housing destination remain stably housed?

- *Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the strategy your program uses.*
- *Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) an example.*

3. System Performance – Income (0-5 pts)

What support does your project provide to clients to increase non-employment cash income and employment cash income in the context of their lives, goals, and service plans?

- *Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing non-employment cash income.*
- *Up to 2.5 points awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the project supports clients with increasing cash income from employment.*

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Questions

(Respond to 2 of 3 Questions Below from Question 4, 5 and 6- Max 10 Points)

4. DEI Competency & Trainings (0 – 5 pts)

Does your program/agency have Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) training for its staff that addresses the specific needs of the LGBTQ+, Transgender and Gender Non-conforming communities, and/or other historically marginalized communities? If yes, how does that impact the project? If not, what steps are you planning to take to implement a training that meets the above criteria?

5. Including Transgender & Gender Non-conforming Clients (0-5 pts)

How does your program ensure equitable housing options and support services for clients who identify as transgender or a gender other than singularly female or male (e.g., nonbinary, genderfluid, agender, culturally specific gender)?

6. Assessing Racial Disparities (0-5 pts)

How is your agency working to improve outreach and assess policies that may contribute to racial disparities in your project?

7. **Continued response to infectious disease (0-5 pts)**

What has your agency done differently/implemented since the COVID-19 outbreak to quickly respond to infectious disease outbreaks? How will you implement these processes to effectively respond to future health emergencies?

8. **Feedback from Clients (0-5 pts)**

What proactive processes does your agency have to receive and incorporate feedback from persons with lived experience?

9. **Housing Stability**

Please comment on any unique circumstances or clients' severe service needs that affected the housing stability goal and/or affected the housing stability performance of your project. (0 to 3 pts)

10. **Length of Participation (0-1 pt)**

Please explain strategies your agency uses to move people from homeless to housed rapidly after the project start date. *(This question will be weighted for site-based programs).*

11. **Housing First (0-5 pts)**

Describe how you operationalize a “Housing First” philosophy in your program’s implementation, including documented policies, trainings, or other processes related to “Housing First” that are operationalized at the program level.

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if narrative provides examples of policies and procedures related to implementing “Housing First”.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if narrative provides examples of how “Housing First” philosophy is integrated into program delivery and service to all clients.

12. **Domestic Violence Projects *Only* – *Positive Outcomes/Safety* (0-5 pts)**

Outcomes considered positive for DV programs may not be the same as positive outcomes for Permanent Supportive Housing programs. How does your agency contribute to housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC?

- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the DV provider lens.
- Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability and ensure safety for victims of domestic violence across the CoC.

13. **Youth-Serving Projects – *Positive Outcomes* (0-2 pts)**

Outcomes considered positive for youth (under age 25) may not be the same as positive outcomes for other Permanent Supportive Housing populations. How does your agency contribute to housing stability for youth across the CoC?

- Up to 1 pt awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes for youth through the provider lens.
- Up to 1 pt awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability for youth across the CoC.

14. Project Performance (0-5 pts)

If you felt your project performed low on any section of Part 1 due to serving particularly vulnerable populations or households with severe needs (e.g., chronically homeless, substance use, severe mental illness, history of domestic violence, criminal history) or because of other mitigating factors that you feel the reviewers should be aware of, please explain now.

- *Up to 5 pts awarded if agency explains it had low performance measures due to serving particularly vulnerable populations or households with severe needs (or other mitigating factors), and how they are working to improve those outcomes.*