


# Consortium HOME-ARP Public Survey #1 and #2 Fact Sheet


## Public Survey #1 Feedback:

Public survey participants prioritized **Affordable Housing Development** among eligible activities. Development of Shelters with Individual Units (or Non-Congregate Shelters) was voted as the second highest priority..

Activities Recommended for Prioritization



Percentages of Funding Recommended for Activities



In terms of funding allocation, a majority of public survey participants preferred to **allocate:**


- 20% to Tenant Based Rental Assistance
- 20% to Support Services
- 20% to Acquisitions and Development of Non-Congregate Shelters
- 40% of fund allocation for Affordable Housing Development.

This funding allocation suggestion mirrors that of stakeholder’s suggestions.

Public survey participants prioritized the need of qualifying populations within the City of Albany as such:

- 1st Priority: Homeless
- 2nd Priority: Fleeing or Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Stalking, or Human Trafficking
- 3rd Priority: At-risk of homelessness
- 4th Priority: Other populations experiencing or at greatest risk of housing instability

Qualifying Populations Prioritization Recommendations



This qualifying population suggestion mirrors that of Stakeholder’s suggestions.

## Survey Marketing and Participation:

**263 individuals from 105 organizations** were invited to distribute the public survey to their staff and clients. Additionally, the survey was posted on CARES’ website.

**54 public participants responded!**

## Public Survey # 2 Feedback:

- 100% of respondents agreed with the funding amount allocated to affordable housing development.
- 90% of respondents agreed with the funding amount allocated to support services.
- 90% of respondents agreed with the funding amount allocated to tenant-based rental assistance, specifically for security deposits.
- 90% of respondents agreed with the funding amount allocated to the acquisition and

Substantial feedback from public participants included the need to ensure all qualifying population can apply for affordable housing and that funding allocation percentages should be flexible based on new or changing needs over-time.

