

Methodology for NY-519 - Columbia, Greene Counties CoC

Sheltered Population Total

1. What data source(s) was used to produce the total number of people included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? Please indicate the percentage of the PIT count derived from each of the sources. (If a source was not used, please enter zero).

HMIS Data	75%
Provider-level surveys	25%
Client-level surveys	0%
Observation	0%
Other	0%
Total	100%

2. Was the CoC able to collect information about the number of people being sheltered on the night of the count from all emergency shelters, Safe Havens, and transitional housing projects listed on the HIC or only some? listed on your HIC or only some?

- Complete census count

3. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the count of the total number of people included in the sheltered population?

- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
- Blitz count of persons in shelters (i.e., count occurred at same time to avoid double counting)
- Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

Sheltered Subpopulation

4. What data source(s) was used to produce the demographic and subpopulation data included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? (select all that were used)

- HMIS Data

Point In Time Methodology for NY-519 - Columbia, Greene Counties CoC

- Provider-level surveys

5. Was the CoC able to collect information about the demographic and subpopulation characteristics of all sheltered people or only some?

- All sheltered people

6. Looking at the change in your sheltered count from last year's count, please choose up to three reasons that best explains these changes from the drop down list below.

- Change in rapid re-housing capacity
- Impact of coordinated entry
- Other:

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

The reasons that best explain the change (a net decrease of 6 persons) in the sheltered count from last year's count are: (1) Impact of Coordinated Entry, (2) Change in rapid re-housing capacity, (3) Other: Eviction Moratoriums. Impact of Coordinated Entry: With funding through the CoC, Columbia-Greene has developed a robust Coordinated Entry system focusing on rapidly and effectively housing the most vulnerable households. The CE system has strengthened communication and relationships across program types, and has empowered street outreach programs to more rapidly make appropriate referrals directly into permanent housing. The community continues to see the positive impact of CE, including more appropriate placements within PH and improved housing stability. Change in rapid re-housing capacity: the CoC saw an increase in RRH capacity due to ESG-CV funding. As a result, the community has been connect homeless households with housing at a greater rate. Other, Eviction Moratoriums: While on the night of the count, the NYS Eviction Moratorium had expired, it had only recently expired (January 15th). As such, on the night of the count the community was still seeing the impact of the moratorium. Specifically, while eviction proceedings may occur, many evictions have yet to be implemented. This reduced the need for emergency shelter as residents are remaining in rental properties, even if they have not been able to pay rent.

Unsheltered Population

7. What approach(es) was used to count the total number of people included in the unsheltered population during the PIT count. (select all that were used)

- "Night of the count" - known locations

Eviction Moratoriums

7a. Were certain areas within the CoC geography specifically excluded because the CoC had reason to believe there were no unsheltered people in those areas?

No

7c. In areas that were canvassed, did the CoC count all unsheltered people in those areas or a sample of people?

- All people encountered during the count

8. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the total count of people in the unsheltered population? (Check all that apply)

- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
- Blitz count of unsheltered people (i.e., canvassing of different areas occurred at same time to avoid double counting)

- Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

Unsheltered Subpopulations

9. What approach(es) was used to collect demographic and subpopulation data about unsheltered people included in the unsheltered population during the PIT count?

- Surveys/interviews of people identified as unsheltered on the night of the PIT count
- Surveys/interviews of people identified within 7 days following the night of the PIT count night who may have been unsheltered on the night of the PIT count (e.g., "service-based" surveys at locations where people who are homeless go for assistance)

10. Were all people who were encountered during canvassing on the night of the count or during post night of the count PIT activities asked to complete a survey/interview?

- All people encountered were surveyed

11. What information or method(s) was used to produce an unduplicated total count of homeless people across your sheltered and unsheltered populations?

- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
- Blitz count of unsheltered people (i.e., sheltered and unsheltered counts occurred at same time to avoid double counting)
- Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

12. Looking at the change in your unsheltered count from last year's count, please choose up to three reasons that best explains these changes from the drop down list below

- Increased or improved PIT count training
- Other: No unsheltered PIT in 2021

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

The reasons that best explain the change (a net increase of 3 persons) in the sheltered count from last year's count are: (1) Increased or improved PIT count training, (2) Other- No Unsheltered PIT in 2021.

Increased or improved PIT count training: This year volunteers were trained more effectively to ensure de-duplication and effective interview techniques. In addition, the Collaborative Applicant facilitated phone meetings which included the unsheltered PIT Lead Agencies hosting homeless events to ensure a more accurate and complete count.

Other- No Unsheltered PIT in 2021: In 2021 NY-519 received a full exemption from conducting the unsheltered PIT. As a result, any numbers reported this year are viewed as an increase.

Point In Time Methodology for NY-519 - Columbia, Greene Counties CoC

