Rockland county continuum of care:

2020 Rank and Review Process

**Rationale**

HUD’s Continuum of Care (CoC) homeless assistance programs serve as a source of funding for homeless services in the County of Rockland. In the 2018 NOFA, the Rockland CoC received $902,136 from HUD to support 8 projects for homeless individuals and families. HUD awards homeless assistance grants through an annual application process known as the CoC Program Competition.

In order for the CoC to gain insight into programs that are best serving the community at the local level, the community has implemented a Rank and Review Process for new and renewal projects. This process will help the CoC gain knowledge of project performance and effectiveness within the full CoC system.

In the Rockland CoC, the Ranking and Monitoring Committee is charged with overseeing the Rank and Review Process. As described in the Rockland CoC bylaws, the Continuum of Care is responsible to design, operate, and follow a collaborative process for the development of funding applications, including funding priorities and the number and type of applications. Each year the Ranking and Monitoring Committee reviews the Rank and Review Process and Application and makes revisions to reflect changing priorities. The Ranking and Monitoring Committee is also responsible for establishing a Review Team. The Written Process, and the Application are posted for review and comment to the Board and Membership for one week. Feedback is considered by the Ranking and Monitoring Committee and final documents are submitted to the Board of approval and shared with the full Membership.

Review and Approval of the Rank & Review Application

Once the CoC Application has been submitted, the Ranking and Monitoring Committee meets to discuss the previous year’s Rank and Review application, process, and feedback from reviewers and projects. The Committee considers information gained on behalf of the CoC over the past year and makes revisions to the application. The Committee also considers asking new members to join the Committee and participate on the review team. The Committee posts the revised draft of the application tfor the Rockland CoC Board and Membership to provide public comment (one-week). Any additional changes to the application or review team suggested during public comment may be made by the Committee.

Project Participation

Each renewal project completes a Rank and Review Application per project. The 2020 Rank and Review Application process will consist of four (4) Phase.

* Rank and Review Application Phase 1 focuses on project level performance. The Phase 1 score will be a direct result of the agencies’ performance during the annual CoC Project Monitoring. Following project submission of the CoC Self-Monitoring Forms, each project will receive a memo with a scoresheet. This scoresheet will be attached to the Rank and Review Application as Phase 1.
* Rank and Review Application Phase 2 focuses on System Performance data. Each project will utilize the previous Federal Fiscal Year APR to complete the Rank & Review application Phase 2. Applications must be submitted on time to the Collaborative Applicant, CARES, Inc., to be considered complete and passed on to the Review Team. Late submissions of Rank and Review Applications, including all required attachments, will automatically have a 5-point penalty. At the time of submission each agency/project is assigned an interview time with the review team.
* Phase 3 focuses on qualitative project and system outcome data. This Phase will consist mainly of narratives, which allow the projects to explain unique circumstances that may affect project and/or system performance and to answer questions based on local priorities.
* Project interviews will be Phase 4 of the Rank and Review Process. After the NOFA is released, the Ranking and Monitoring Committee will draft questions based on the specific criteria mentioned within the application. These questions will be part of the project interview. Projects will receive these questions in advance of the interview. Interviews may assist the reviewers in awarding additional points.

Reviewers

Members of the Review Team are individuals from the community or neighboring communities who are knowledgeable about the CoC and its providers. Reviewers are objective individuals. The Review Team schedules a day for Rank & Review project interviews and scoring to take place. Each reviewer is provided a copy of project applications and score forms. Once the Review Team convenes and conducts interviews with each project, the Review Team scores each application. These scores result in the ranking. The Review Team provides any final comments to be shared with projects or Membership.

Project Ranking

The NOFA requires that the CoC conduct a transparent and objective process to review and rank all application for renewal of existing projects and applications for new projects. Using CoC approved Rank and Review tools, all projects seeking funding are scored and placed in numerical order. Project ranking is first shared with the Ranking and Monitoring Committee. The Committee reviews the process and project scores. Projects projected to fall into Tier 2 are contacted and notified of their ranking and offered the opportunity to go over the project’s scores. The ranking is then presented to the Board. Afterwards, the ranking is shared with full Membership.

***Debriefings***

At the end of each phase renewal projects will receive a scorecard from the collaborative applicant, and will have an opportunity to request a debriefing of their scores with the collaborative applicant. If during this debriefing, a mathematical error is found by the project, the error can be corrected, and notice of the correction will be provided to the Ranking and Monitoring Committee and the Board. No formal appeal is needed for a mathematical error.

*Appeal Process*

1. Who May Appeal?

An agency may appeal a decision concerning a project application submitted by that agency. If a project was submitted by a collaboration of agencies, only one joint appeal may be made.

1. What May Be Appealed?

An appeal may not be submitted if the basis of the appeal is one of the following: the applicant did not answer all the questions on the application, the applicant did not submit the application with all required attachments, or the applicant did not submit by the required deadline. The appeals process applies only to project ranking. There is no appeal for project tiering. However, please note that a change in ranking may affect the full CoC tiering.

1. Timing of an Appeal

Formal appeals can only be submitted by a project after a debriefing has been completed. The project will have two (2) days to submit this appeal. Appeals must be submitted in writing to the Collaborative Applicant who will forward them on to the Review Team, who will consider the appeals. The written appeal must consist of a short statement, no longer than 1 page, of the agency’s appeal. The written appeal can be in the form of a letter, memo or email. Any appeal via email must be sent to [sbarnaby@caresny.org](mailto:sbarnaby@caresny.org).

1. Appeals Decisions

The Review Team also serves as the Appeal Team. Appeals are decided by majority vote of the Appeal Team. Once decided, all appeals are final and may not be overturned by the Ranking and Monitoring Committee, Board or Membership.

Project Tiering

When the NOFA is released, the priorities and tiering outlined in the application are strategically applied by the CoC to the project ranking. Reallocation, new projects, and other CoC priorities are considered through CoC discussions. The tiering is presented by the Ranking and Monitoring Committee to the Board who approves it to go to Membership for a vote. Membership votes on the tiering and approves the Project Listing as part of the CoC Application.

*New Projects*

New Projects are created through bonus funds or reallocated funds. A separate RFP will be completed for new projects. If, after the ranking process, additional money becomes available through reallocation, and if all new projects have been approved and there is additional money, the new project RFP will re-open for submission in an effort to use all available funds. RFP’s submitted during the second application process will automatically be ranked below the round 1 projects.

*Bonus Projects*

Each year, there may be bonus funds available. The CoC is permitted to apply for bonus projects, which will compete nationally against other bonus projects on a HUD scoring system set forth in the NOFA. HUD will notify the CoC’s what the bonus funds may be used for. The bonus projects will complement and fill an unmet need. The application for a bonus project is a separate RFP. The reviewers will score and rank the bonus projects; however, there are no interviews for bonus projects. After the bonus projects are ranked, ranking is sent to the Board and then membership. Bonus projects will be chosen with the goal of applying for all available funds.

*Reallocation*

Reallocation is the process by which the CoC shifts funds, in whole or in part, from existing eligible renewal grants to create new projects for CoC Program funds. The CoC can decide to repurpose a project that is underperforming or may be more appropriately funded from other sources to fill that need. Reallocating funds is one of the most important tools by which communities can make strategic improvements to their homelessness system.

A project may be underperforming and potentially subject to reallocation if it does not meet a minimum threshold. A threshold review will take place after the Rank and Review process to ensure the threshold requirement has been met by each project. The minimum threshold includes: participation in Coordinated Entry, participation in Point in Time, participation within HMIS and being a member in good standing status. If the threshold has not been met, the Review Team can recommend to the CoC Board possible reallocation or substantial amendments to the contract. Projects that can automatically be flagged for reallocation consideration are projects with inadequate financial management and projects that have a history of expending funds on ineligible activities or consistent return of grant funds over multiple program years.

CoC funded agencies may voluntarily choose to reallocate CoC funds. These will be reviewed by the Review team as well. Any funds that are to be reallocated will be available for the full community to apply for. New project proposals developed by agencies through reallocation of their own funds will be prioritized during the ranking process.

*CoC Transparency*

The CoC conducts this Rank and Review Process in a transparent manner to ensure fairness. Each year, the process is publicly announced by the CoC, distributed in writing to the entire CoC, posted on the CARES website for the community to access, and reviewed and commented on by the entire CoC.

FY2020 Rank and Review Application

The Rockland CoC emphasizes the importance and impact of using the Rank & Review Application as the primary basis for determining the Project Listing submitted as part of the CoC Consolidated Application. The Rank and Review Application is thoughtfully revised each year to include both HUD and CoC standards, incorporating both national and local priorities, balancing objective performance measures with subjective narrative description of project operations.