POINTS NORTH HOUSING COALITION RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2019

PART 2: WRITTEN QUESTIONS

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

A1.Organization Name:		
A2.Project Name:		
A3. Application Contact Pers	on:	
A4. Project Type: PS	H RRH	TH
A5.FY18 Proposed Total Funding Request:		\$
	Leasing	\$
	Rental Assistance	\$
	Supportive Services	\$
	Operations	\$
	Admin	\$
A6. Is this project voluntaril	y reallocating funds to the	e CoC? □ Yes □ No
If yes, how much fundir	ng would the project volun	tarily reallocate?

A7. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

To assist reviewers, please provide a brief overview of the project (250 words or less). Please include the target population/s, primary and supplemented services provided/offered to participants, the number of contracted beds and units, and any other information you think will help reviewers understand the program's fundamental and unique characteristics.

B. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES & SYSTEM IMPACT

B1. Does your project follow core elements of the *Housing First* approach by ensuring access to the project for eligible clients is *not* prohibited by: *All Yes - 10 pts Any No - 0 points*Yes No

	History of alcohol or substance abuse		
	Not maintaining service and/or treatment compliance		
	Earning too little or no income		
	Good credit, financial and/or rental history		
	Does your project follow core elements of <i>Housing First?</i>	Yes	No
	Clients w/disabilities receive necessary accommodations		
	Support service plans/goals are client-driven		
	Every effort is made to house eligible clients as soon as possible		
	Every effort made to help clients avoid eviction to homelessness		
ВЗ.	membership meetings, and/or participating in any CoC standing of the PNHC? \[\textsize \text{Yes 5 pts} \text{No 0 pts} \] Does your project make or receive referrals for potential new participating in any CoC standing of the PNHC?		
	Coordinated Entry process (to be verified by the CoC CE Coordinator)? If your project is dedicated DV, explain barriers to direct participations of the contract of the contr	ition in Coo	
В4.	Please provide specific examples of how your project coordinates HUD/VA, and STEHP funded projects. Please refer to specific progless). Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly states the names of specifically. Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly state(s) examples coordinates services with the noted funded projects.	s services wi grams (250 v	th other CoC, words or O to 5 pts ded projects
B5.	Please describe your agency's resources and experience in meeting facing various cultural barriers (e.g., language, gender identity, LC 250 words or less. Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes the agent meeting the needs of the clients with cultural barriers. Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes agency extremely the needs of clients with cultural barriers.	GBTQ, ment	al health) <u>in</u> <i>0 to 5 pts</i> ces in

В7.	Up to 2.5 pts. awarded if the gap(s) in service documented in t noted. Does the project work toward meeting any of the goals stated in Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness'	n the USICH's " ' noted below:	Opening
	Any	Yes - 5 pts All	•
	Prevent/end homelessness among Veterans	□ Yes	□ No □
	End chronic homelessness		
	Prevent/end homelessness for families, youth and children		
	Set path to end all homelessness		
B8. ⁻	Total Awarded Funds		
	B8a . Did the project spend at least 90% of total awarded funds?	□ Yes 10 pts	s □ No 0 pts
	B8b. Did the project spend between 80-89% of total awarded fu		
		□ Yes 5 pts	□ No 0 pts
	B8c. The Continuum returned a total of\$ (CARES will proviapplication is submitted) from the total FY17 (or most recently awarded funds.		
	at dollar amount did this project return in the most recently ender sentage of program funds returned in relation to overall CoC retur		- 10 pts n by CARES)
reit	Did the project draw down funds from LOCCS at least quarterly	in the most re	cently
B9.	ended contract? (<i>Please attach copies of last three drawdowns</i>)		
		□ Yes 5 pts	□ No 0 pts
в9.		□ Yes 5 pts	□ No 0 pts

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the DV provider lens.

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability across the CoC.

C3. Youth Providers Only: The CoC acknowledges that additional barriers occur for youth compared to adults when looking at increasing income. With that being said, how do you feel your agency contributes to increasing income for youth within this CoC program?

O to 5 points

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the youth provider lens.

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive outcomes across the CoC.

C4. <u>Move on Strategy</u>: HUD defines Move on Strategy as how recipients move current CoC Program participants, who no longer require intensive services, from CoC Program funded PSH beds to other housing assistance programs (including, but not limited to HCV and Public Housing) in order to free up CoC Program funded PSH beds to be used for persons experience homelessness. Briefly describe what you will be doing in FY19 to create strategies and plans for moving on? **0 to 5 points**

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes the plan for creating/reviewing their move on strategy

Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly defines strategies that could be noted within the plan.