ROCKLAND COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (RCCC) RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2019

Please generate a CoC CALENDAR YEAR 2018 (CY18: 1/1/18 - 12/31/18) APR (new format) from Foothold or comparable HMIS to complete this application.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION		
Informational Only		
A1. Organization Name:		
A2. Project Name:		
A3. Application Contact Pers	on:	
A4. Project Type:	PSH R	RH
A5. FY18 Funding Request: \$		
	Leasing	\$
	Rental Assistance	ce \$
	Supportive Servi	ices \$
	Operations	\$
A6. Is this project voluntarily	reallocating fund	ds to the CoC? Yes No
A7 DDOLECT DECCRIPTION		

A7.PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a short project overview that clearly describes the project's unique characteristics and achievements. Please include the target population(s) served, the number of participants served, the number of contracted beds, units or voucher, the cost per bed, how participants access the project, and project goals and achievements. Please be as descriptive as possible by using data stated in the project application and the project's CY18 APR. Response must be 250 words or less.

B. PROJECT PERFORMANCE (Total 25 POINTS)

B1. Performance Monitoring Results: Rockland County CoC monitors project performance throughout the year, as per HEARTH regulations, self-monitoring forms and site visits. Please attach your memo from your most recently submitted self-monitoring. 25 points

C. SYSTEMS PERFORMANCE (TOTAL POINTS)

C1.A Utilization Rate -Using the project's most recent Project Application and CY18 APR, complete the following chart to calculate the project's utilization rate.

Please print and attach the corresponding questions from the Project Application and APR.

Projected persons served during a average PIT (Question 5 in Project	
Application)	Persons Households

	January				
	April	Persons			
	July	Households			
	October	Households			
			Average:		
<u>.</u>			-	•	
		Actual / Projected			
Housel	nolds: Aver	age Actual/ Projected	= Utilizatio	on	-
100%=20, 99-9	90%=15, 89)-80%=11, 79-70%=7, 51-60%=3, >50	0%=0		
C1.B – If the ut	tilization ra	te is under 100%, please explain the	e reason why in 250	words or less	3. For example,
were there ba	rriers or sp	ecific challenges to achieving 100%	capacity? 0 to 10 p	oints	
		Continuum returned a total of warded funds from the 2017 NOFA		is amount after	application is
C2	.A . What d	ollar amount did this project return	in the most recently	y ended conti	ract?
C2	. B . Percen	tage of funds in relation to the enti	re CoC funds returne	ed:	_ (to be filled in by
	0=16, 1	1-9%=12, 10-19%=10, 20-29%=8, 30	-59%=4, 60-100%=0)	
C2	·='	oject was unable to expend all of th o 8 points	e grant funds, pleas	e explain why	/ in 250 words or
	ttachment	edicated. To show impact of this pro 1 and note below the percentage o 100%=7, 35-49%=5, 20-34%=3, 10-3	of CH beds this proje		, ,
C4. Effect on C	Chronic Hor	neless:			
	viduals. To	ousing Programs: During CY18, the show impact of this project on endi	<u> </u>		-
C4.A	• The tota	al number of chronically homeless p	persons this project s	served in CY1	8:
C4.B	The per	centage of the CoC total served by t	this project:		
40-100%	=8. 20-39%	5=4. 6-19%=2. 0-5%=0			

Rapid Rehousing	g Programs: Duri	ng CY18, the CoC RRH	projects served a total of 4 chronically homeless
individuals. To sl	now impact of thi	is project on ending cl	nronic homelessness, <u>refer to Attachment 2</u> to note the
following:			
C4.C	The total number	er of chronically home	eless persons this project served in CY18:
C4.D	The percentage	of the CoC total serve	ed by this project:
40-100%=8	3, 20-39%=4, 6-19	9%=2, 0-5%=0	
C5. Positive Out	comes		
outco exite	omes noted acros	ss all CoC PSH progran	Ouring CY18, there were 30 persons with positive ins. (An exit is positive for PH if individual is a stayer or iffect of this project on housing stability, refer to project had on the system:%
<25%=10, 2	20-25%=8, 15-19	%=6, 10-14%=3, >10%	i=0
acros desti i	ss all CoC RRH pro nation.) To show	ograms. (An exit is pos	ere were 20 persons with positive outcomes noted sitive for RRH if individual exited to a PH ect on housing stability, refer to Attachment 3 and note em:%
<25%=10, 2	20-25%=8, 15-19°	%=6, 10-14%=3, >10%	i=0
	project leavers)	•	ject on ending homelessness, refer to <u>Attachment 4</u> age of project leavers who exited this program to a
0%=10, 1-25%=6	j, 26-50%=0		
the two most red	cent assessments	•	ns reported with an increase in total income between chment 5 and note the percentage this project had on %=3, >3%=0
•	-		rned income from employment at entry to follow 50-100%=5, 30-49%=4, 20-29%=2, >20%=0
•	-		her income from entry to follow up/exit as noted in

C8. Data Quality. On your CY18 APR Question 6:
C8.A. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of your PII data? □Yes=1 □ No=0
C8.B. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of your universal data elements? □ Yes=1 □ No=0
C8.C. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of your Income and Housing data? □ Yes =1 □ No=0
C8.D . Is there an error rate of more than 5% of your Chronic Homelessness data? □ Yes=1 □ No=0
D. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES AND SYSTEM IMPACT (TOTAL 80 POINTS)
D1. Coordinated Entry. Does your project participate in the Coordinated Entry process by making or
receiving referrals? If your project is a DV or Legal Service provider, explain barriers to participation
(250 words or less). This will be verified by the CE lead. □Yes=10 □ No=0
D2. Domestic Violence Agencies Only: We realize that a positive outcome for domestic violence programs may not be the same as a positive outcome for a permanent supportive housing program. With that being said, how do you feel your agency contributes to the housing stability across the CoC system? 0 to 5 points Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the DV provider lens. Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive housing stability across the CoC.
D3. Dedicated Youth Projects Only: Permanent supportive and transitional housing programs dedicated to youth generally struggle with increasing income for participants. That said, how does your agency
support youth in achieving income growth? Please note barriers encountered. 0 to 5 points
Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes positive outcomes through the youth provider lens.
Up to 2.5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes how the agency contributed to positive outcomes across the CoC.
D4. Priority Populations. Is the project dedicated to one of the following priority populations? 0 to 10 points
Chronically homelessYouthVeteran DV
D5.B If the project is not dedicated, what percentage of beds are dedicated to a priority population?% 0 to 5 points Please attach Project Application Q4B. and/or 5B.
Fully Dedicated=10 pts. 50% or <=5 pts. Dedicated Youth = 10 pts. Dedicated Veteran = 10 pts.

D5. Move on Strategy: We realize that a positive outcome for vulnerable populations (DV, youth, Vet., CH) programs may not be the same as a positive outcome for a permanent supportive housing program. With that being said, how do you feel your agency contributes to the housing stability across the CoC system? **0 to 10 points**

Up to 5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly describes the plan for creating/reviewing their move on strategy

Up to 5 pts awarded if the narrative clearly defines strategies that could be noted within the plan.

D6. **Housing First**. Housing First is a recovery-oriented approach to ending homelessness that allows for rapidly housing individuals without screening out or terminating based on any of the below criteria. Does the project screen out or terminate based on any the following? **0 or 10 points (all no = 10 pt)**

	YES	NO
Having too little or no income		
Active or history of substance abuse		
Criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions		
History of domestic violence		
Failure to participate in supportive services		
Failure to make progress on a service plan		
Loss of income or failure to improve income		
Being a victim of domestic violence		
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically		
found in the project's geographic area.		

D7. **CoC Participation**. Does the project or agency staff regularly participate in any of the following CoC standing or ad hoc committees of the Rockland County CoC: **0 or 5 points**

Board Meetings	Rank and Review Committee
Systems Committee	Membership Meeting
HMIS Advisory Committee	Performance Evaluation Committee
Point in Time Committee	Coordinated Assessment Committee

 D8. Self Sufficiency: Include information about the services available to participants and how the program will help households work towards and achieve self-sufficiency. (250 word limit) 0 to 10 points 5 pts if services available are clearly stated. 5 pts if provides an example of how the services work to help achieve self-sufficiency.
D9. Number of Homeless Persons: Was your project included in the final submission of the 2019 Point in Time? This will be verified by the Collaborative ApplicantYes=5No=0