

FRANKLIN ESSEX HOUSING COALITION
RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2019
PART 1: DATA-RELATED QUESTIONS

B1. Utilization: Using the project’s FY18 Program Application and CY18 APR, complete the chart below. Please round up to the closest whole number.

Please print and attach the questions noted below from the FY18 Application and CY18APR.

	Projected Persons Served during Average PIT (Project Application Q5)	Actual Number Served during PIT (APR Q7 & 8)	
		Household	Persons
January	_____ Households _____ Persons		
April			
July			
October			
Average			

Households: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = **Utilization** _____

Persons: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = **Utilization** _____

B1a. Did your project meet its projected number of *either* households or persons served during the year (100% or more utilization)? **Yes 20 pts** **No 0 pts**

B1b. The Continuum prioritizes projects that best utilize resources. Did your project have a utilization rate of more than 100% in *both* households and persons?
 Yes 5 pts **No 0 pts**

B1c. If the utilization rate of *both* households and persons is less than 100% due to circumstance beyond the project’s control (e.g., natural disaster), please explain why in 250 words or less. **0-20 pts**

B2. Chronic Homeless - Dedicated:

B2a. To show impact of this project on ending chronic homelessness (CH) in the CoC, refer to **Attachment 1** and note below the percentage of dedicated CH beds this project contributes to the CoC.

40-100% = 15 pts; 20-39% = 12 pts; 1-20% = 8 pts; 0% = 0 pts

C1. Positive Outcomes:

Permanent Supportive Housing Projects: During CY18, the average positive outcome rate across all persons served in CoC PSH projects (**a positive outcome for PSH is when an individual is a stayer or exits to a PH destination**) was 100%. The CoC's Written Standard for PSH projects is 80%.

To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in **Attachment 2**: _____%

100% = 25 pts; 95-99% = 15 pts; 85-94% = 5pts; < 84% = 0pts

C2. Exits to Homelessness:

To indicate the impact of this project ending homelessness, refer to **Attachment 3** (citing all CoC project leavers to emergency shelter or a place not meant for habitation) and note the percentage who exited this project to a shelter or the street. _____%

50% = 0 pts; 0% = 20 pts

C3. Effect on Income Growth:

During CY18, the percent income growth rate across all persons served in CoC-funded projects (calculated between the two most recent assessments for stayers, or between entry and exit for leavers) was 31%.

To indicate how this project contributed to income growth across the system, please note the income growth rate listed in **Attachment 4**: _____%

>80% = 20 pts; 50-79% = 15 pts; 20-49% = 10; 5-19% = 5 pts; <5% = 0 pts

C5. Data Quality & Completeness: Based on your CY18 APR Q6. **Any Yes - 0 pts All No - 5 pts.**

C5a. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants' Personally Identifying Information?

C5b. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project's Universal Data elements?

C5c. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants' Income and Housing data?

C5d. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project's Chronic Homelessness data?