RENSSELAER COUNTY HOMELESS SERVICES COLLABORATIVE
RANK AND REVIEW APPLICATION 2019
PART 1: SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND DATA QUALITY QUESTIONS

1. **Utilization:** Using the project's FY18 Project Application and CY18 APR, complete the chart below. Please round up to the closest whole number. ***MAX 20 pts***

   Please print and attach the questions noted below from the FY18 Application and CY18APR.

	Projected Persons Served during Average PIT (Project Application Q5)	Actual Number Served during PIT (APR Q7 & 8)	
		Household	**Persons**
January			
April	____ Households		
July			
October	____ Persons		
Average			

Households: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = **Utilization _____**

Persons: Average Actual _____ / Projected _____ = **Utilization _____**

   1a. Did your project meet its projected number of *either* households or persons served during the year (100% or more utilization)?   □ ***Yes 15 pts***   □ ***No 0 pts***

   1b. The Continuum prioritizes projects that best utilize resources. Did your project have a utilization rate of more than 100% in *both* households and persons?
   □ ***Yes 5 pts***   □ ***No 0 pts***

   1c. If the utilization rate of *both* households and persons is less than 100% due to circumstance beyond the project's control (e.g., natural disaster), please explain why <u>in 250 words or less</u>. ***0-15 pts***

2. **Chronic Homeless - Dedicated:** ***MAX 15 pts***

   2a. To show the impact that this project had on ending chronic homelessness (CH) in the CoC, refer to *Attachment 1* and note below the percentage of dedicated CH beds this project contributes to the CoC.
   >35%=15 pts.; 34-20=12 pts.; 19-1=8 pts.; 0=0 pts.

   2b. If the percentage of beds dedicated to chronically homeless is not representative of project priorities, please explain <u>in 250 words or less</u>.
   0-5pts

3. Effect on Chronic Homeless: *MAX 15 pts*

Permanent Supportive Housing Programs ONLY

During CY18, the CoC's **PSH projects** served 104 chronically homeless individuals. To show the impact this project had toward the goal of ending chronic homelessness, refer to *Attachment 2* to note the following:

> **3a**.   The total number of chronically homeless persons this project served in CY18:
> _____ **(0 pts)**

> **3b.**   This project served what percent of the total number of CH served by the CoC in CY18 ___ %
> **35-100% = 15 pts; 29-34% = 12 pts; 28-10% = 8 pts; 9-1%=6; 0%=0 pts**

Rapid Rehousing Housing Programs ONLY

During CY18, all federally funded **RRH projects (CoC, ESG, STEHP)** served 3 chronically homeless individuals. To show the impact of this project towards goal of ending chronic homelessness, refer to *Attachment 2* to note the following:

> **3c**.   The total number of chronically homeless persons this project served in CY18:
> _____ **(0 pts)**

> **3d.**   This RRH project served what percentage of the total number of CH in the community in CY18 ___ %
> **50-100% = 15 pts; 1-49% = 10 pts; 0% = 0 pts**

4. Total Awarded Funds   *MAX 20 pts*

> **4a**. Did the project spend at least 90% of total awarded funds? □ *Yes 10 pts* □ *No 0 pts*

> **4b.** Did the project spend between 80-89% of total awarded funds?□ *Yes 5 pts* □ *No 0 pts*

> **4c.** The Continuum returned a total of _____$ *(CARES will provide total amount after application is submitted)* from the total FY17 (or most recently ended contract) awarded funds.
>
> What dollar amount did this project return in the most recently ended contract? _____*0-10 pts*
> Percentage of program funds returned in relation to overall CoC returned: ____
> (to be filled in by CARES)

5. Positive Outcomes: **(0-25 points)**

> **Permanent Supportive Housing Projects:** During CY18, the average positive outcome rate across all persons served in CoC PSH projects **(a positive outcome for PSH is when an individual is a stayer or exits to a PH destination)** was 96%. The CoC's Written Standard for PSH projects is 80%. To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in *Attachment 3*: _____%
> **100% = 25 pts; 95-99% = 20 pts; 85-94% = 15 pts; 84-74=10 pts; < 73% = 0pts**

Rapid Rehousing Projects: During CY18, the average positive outcome rate across all persons served in RRH projects in the Continuum **(a positive outcome for RRH is when an individual exits to a PH destination)** was 100%.

To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in *Attachment 3*: _____%

100-50% = 25 pts; 49-39% = 20 pts; 38-29% = 15 pts; 19-10=10 pts; < 5% = 0pts

6. Exits to Homelessness: **(0-20 points)**

To indicate the impact of this project ending homelessness, refer to *Attachment 4* (citing all CoC project leavers to emergency shelter or a place not meant for habitation) and note the percentage who exited this project to a shelter or the street. _____ %

0%=20 pts, 1-5%=15 pts, 6-9%=10 pts, 10-15%=5 pts, greater than 16%=0

7. Effect on Income Growth: **(0-20 points)**

During CY18, the average income growth rate across all persons served in CoC-funded projects (calculated between the two most recent assessments for stayers, or between entry and exit for leavers) was 18%.

To indicate how this project contributed to income growth across the system, please note the income growth rate listed in *Attachment 5*: _____%

>35% = 20 pts; 34-25% = 15 pts; 24-10% = 10; <10% = 0 pts

8. Data Quality & Completeness: Based on your CY18 APR Q6:   *Any Yes - 0 pts   All No - 5 pts*

8a. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants' Personally Identifying Information?

8b. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project's Universal Data elements?

8c. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants' Income and Housing data?

8d. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project's Chronic Homelessness data?