### **Points Scale: The 2017 Columbia Greene CoC Project Rank and Review Application is scored on a 200 point scale with the following breakdown:**

#### PART 1

|  |
| --- |
| **A. PROJECT INFORMATION: No points** |

|  |
| --- |
| **B. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES AND SYSTEM IMPACT: Maximum 79 Points** |

**B1**. **Utilization Rate**: 0- 20 Points Maximum (including B1.B)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| B1. Utilization Rate | Points |
| 100% | 20 |
| 99-90% | 18 |
| 89-86% | 16 |
| 85-80% | 8 |
| 77-75% | 4 |
| Under 75% | 0 |

**B1.B** – 5 points

**B2**.**Data Quality:** 0 -4 Points

**B3. Dedicated Chronic Homeless**: 0- 15 points (for B3.A and B3.B)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **B3.A. Chronic Homelessness**  | **Points** |
| 100% | 5 |
| 80-99% | 4 |
| 50-79% | 3 |
| 20-49% | 2 |
| 10-19% | 1 |
| 0-9% | 0 |

**B3.B**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **B3.B. Chronic Homelessness – see Attachment 1** | **Points** |
| 40-100% | 10 |
| 20-39% | 8 |
| 6-19% | 6 |
| 0-5% | 0 |

**B4. Effect on Chronic Homeless** 0 – 15 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **B4. Chronic Homelessness – see Attachment 2** | **Points** |
| 40-100% | 15 |
| 20-39% | 12 |
| 6-19% | 8 |
| 0-5% | 0 |

**B5. Positive Outcomes –** 20 Points Maximum

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| B5 Positive Outcomes: PH  | **Points** |
| More than 25% | 20 |
| 20-25% | 15 |
| 15-19% | 10 |
| 10-14% | 5 |
| Under 10% | 0 |

**B6. Coordinated Entry:** 0-5 points

|  |
| --- |
| **C. PROJECT PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES AND SYSTEM IMPACT – TOTAL 25 POINTS** |

**C1. Exits to Homelessness:** 0- 15 Points Maximum

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| C2: Exits to Homelessness | **Points** |
| 0% | 15 |
| 1-5% | 10 |
| 6-10% | 5 |
| Over 10% | 0 |

**C2. Effect on Income Growth** 0- 10 points

#### PART 2

|  |
| --- |
| **D. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES AND SYSTEM IMPACT – TOTAL 96 POINTS** |

**D1. Cultural Barriers -**0 – 5 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 4-5 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 1-3 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0 Points |

**D2. Street Outreach** 0-2 points

**D3. Leveraging and Coordination of Services** 0 – 10 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 9-10 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 5-8 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0-4 Points |

**D4. Opening Doors** 0 – 5 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 4-5 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 1-3 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0 Points |

**D5. Local Strategic Plan** – 0 - 2 points (0 if nothing is marked)

**D6. Priority Populations** 0 – 10 points

If project is fully dedicated – 10 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| D6. Population | Points |
| Dedicated Chronic | 10 |
| 50% or more Chronic | 5 |
| Dedicated Youth | 10 |
| Dedicated Veteran | 10 |
| No Priority Population | 0 |

**D7. Housing First**. 0 or 5 points (Must have all criteria checked to get full 5 points)

**D8. Continuum Participation** 0 – 5 points

 D8.A – 0-5 points

**D9.Utilization Rate** 0-2 point

**D10. Housing Stability** 0 – 10 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 9-10 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 5-8 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0-4 Points |

**D11. Income** 0 – 5 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 4-5 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 1-3 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0 Points |

**D12. Self-Sufficiency** 0- 10 points

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fully explains the primary question | 9-10 Points |
| Explains part(s) of primary question | 5-8 Points |
| Does not explain the primary question | 0=4 Points |

**D13. Point in Time Count** 0 or 5 points

**D14. Total Funds Awarded**: 0-20 points Maximum, including D14.B

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **D14. Funds Spent** | **Points** |
| 100% | 15 |
| 99-90% | 13 |
| 89-86% | 11 |
| 85-80% | 9 |
| 77-75% | 7 |
| Under 75% | 0 |

**D14.B. Financial Drawdowns** 0-5 points