Please generate a **HUD CoC Calendar Year 2016 (CY16: 1/1/16 - 12/31/16) APR**

from Foothold or comparable HMIS to complete this application.

**A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

**A1.**Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**A2.**Project Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**A3.**Application Contact Person:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**A4.**Project Type:PSH RRH SSO

**A5.**FY17 Proposed Total Funding Request: $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Leasing $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rental Assistance $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Supportive Services $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Operations $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Admin $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**A6.** Is this project voluntarily reallocating funds to the CoC?  **□ Yes □ No**

###### A7. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

To assist reviewers, please provide a brief overview of the project (250 words or less). Please include the target population/s, primary and supplemented services provided/offered to participants, the number of contracted beds and units, and any other information you think will help reviewers understand the program’s fundamental and unique characteristics.

**B. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES & SYSTEM IMPACT *Total Possible Points 120***

**B1. Utilization:** Using the project’s FY16 Program Application and CY16 APR, complete the chart below. Please round up to the closest whole number. ***MAX 25 pts***

***Please print and attach the questions noted below from the FY16 Application and CY16APR.***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Projected Persons Served during Average PIT (Project Application Q5)** | **Actual Number Served during PIT (APR Q7 & 8)** | |
| **Household** | **Persons** |
| **January** | \_\_\_\_ Households  \_\_\_\_ Persons |  |  |
| **April** |  |  |
| **July** |  |  |
| **October** |  |  |
| **Average** |  |  |

**Households:** Average Actual \_\_\_\_\_ / Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ = **Utilization \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Persons:** Average Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ / Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ = **Utilization \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**B1a.** Did your project meet its projected number of *either* households or persons served during the year (100% or more utilization)? ***□ Yes 20 pts □ No 0 pts***

**B1b.** The Continuum prioritizes projects that best utilize resources. Did your project have a utilization rate of more than 100% in *both* households and persons?

***□ Yes 5 pts □ No 0 pts***

**B1c.** If the utilization rate of *both* households and persons is less than 100% due to circumstance beyond the project’s control (e.g., natural disaster), please explain why in 250 words or less. ***0-20 pts***

**B2. Chronic Homeless - Dedicated: *MAX 15 pts***

**B2a.**  To show impact of this project on ending chronic homelessness (CH) in the CoC, refer to *Attachment 1* and note below the percentage of dedicated CH beds this project contributes to the CoC.

**40-100% = 15 pts; 20-39% = 12 pts; 1-20% = 8 pts; 0% = 0 pts**

**B2b.** If the percentage of beds dedicated to chronically homeless is not representative of project priorities, please explain in 250 words or less. ***0-5pts***

**B3. Effect on Chronic Homeless: *MAX 15 pts***

**Permanent Supportive Housing Programs ONLY**

During CY16, the CoC’s **PSH projects** served 83 chronically homeless individuals. To show the impact of this project towards goal of ending chronic homelessness, refer to *Attachment 2* to note the following:

**B3a**. The total number of chronically homeless persons this project served in CY16: \_\_\_\_\_\_ **(0 pts)**

**B3b.** This project served what percent of the total number of CH served by the CoC in CY16: \_\_\_ %

**30-100% = 15 pts; 10-29% = 12 pts; 1-9% = 8 pts; 0% = 0 pts**

**Rapid Rehousing Housing Programs ONLY**

During CY16, all federally funded **RRH projects (CoC, ESG, STEHP)** served 3 chronically homeless individuals. To show the impact of this project towards goal of ending chronic homelessness, refer to *Attachment 2* to note the following:

**B3c**. The total number of chronically homeless persons this project served in CY16: \_\_\_\_\_\_ **(0 pts)**

**B3d.** This RRH project served what percentage of the total number of CH in the community in CY16: \_\_\_ %

**50-100% = 15 pts; 1-49% = 10 pts; 0% = 0 pts**

**B4.** Does your project follow core elements of the *Housing First* approach by ensuring access to the project for eligible clients is ***not*** prohibited by: ***All Yes - 10 pts Any No - 0 points***

**□ Yes □ No**

History of alcohol or substance abuse □ □   
Not maintaining service and/or treatment compliance □ □   
Earning too little or no income □ □

Good credit, financial and/or rental history □ □

Does your project follow core elements of *Housing First?*

**□ Yes □ No**  
Clients w/disabilities receive necessary accommodations □ □

Support service plans/goals are client-driven □ □

Every effort is made to house eligible clients as soon as possible □ □

Every effort made to help clients avoid eviction to homelessness □ □

**B5.** Do project/agency staff participate in the CoC process by attending board and/or membership meetings, and/or participating in any CoC standing or Ad hoc committees of the RCHSC? ***□ Yes 5 pts □ No 0 pts***

**B6**. Does your project make or receive referrals for potential new participants through the Coordinated Entry process (*to be verified by the CoC CE Coordinator*)? ***□ Yes 5 pts □ No 0 pts***

If project includes DV clients, explain barriers to direct participation in Coordinated Entry and if/how you engage with partners involved with CE (250 words or less). ***0-5 pts***

**B7.** Please provide specific examples of how your project coordinates services with other CoC, HUD/VA, City of Troy ESG and STEHP funded projects. Please refer to specific programs (250 words or less). ***0-5 pts***

**B8**. Please describe your agency's resources and experience in meeting the needs of clients facing various cultural barriers (e.g., language, gender identity, LGBTQ, mental health) in 250 words or less. ***0-5 pts***

**B9.** Please note how the project meets a gap in services (current or prior, including housing) as noted in the CoC’s Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness and/or its Three Year Addendum (250 words or less). *See Plan p.14and/or Addendum p.10-12 for remaining challenges/current gaps.* ***0-5 pts***

**B10.** Does the project work toward meeting any of the goals stated in the USICH's "Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness" noted below: ***Any Yes - 5 pts All No - 0 pts***

**□ Yes □ No**

Prevent/end homelessness among Veterans □ □

End chronic homelessness □ □

Prevent/end homelessness for families, youth and children □ □

Set path to end all homelessness □ □

**B11. Total Awarded Funds *MAX 20 pts***

**B11a**. Did the project spend at least 90% of total awarded funds?  ***□ Yes 10 pts □ No 0 pts***

**B11b.** Did the project spend between 80-89% of total awarded funds? *□* ***Yes 5 pts*** *□* ***No 0 pts***

**B11c.**The Continuum returned a total of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_$ *(CARES will provide total amount after application is submitted)* from the total FY15 (or most recently ended contract) awarded funds.

What dollar amount did this project return in the most recently ended contract? \_\_\_\_\_***0-10 pts***

Percentage of program funds returned in relation to overall CoC returned:\_\_\_\_ (to be filled in by CARES)

**B12.** Did the project draw down funds from LOCCS at least quarterly in the most recently ended contract? (*Please attach copies of last three drawdowns*) ***□ Yes 5 pts □ No 0 pts***

**C. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES: *Total Possible Points 80***

**C1.Positive Outcomes: (0-25 points)**

**Permanent Supportive Housing Projects:** During CY16, the average positive outcome rate across all persons served in CoC PSH projects **(a positive outcome for PSH is when an individual is a stayer or exits to a PH destination)** was 96%. The CoC's Written Standard for PSH projects is 80%.

To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in *Attachment 3*: \_\_\_\_\_\_%

**100% = 25 pts; 95-99% = 15 pts; 85-94% = 5pts; < 84% = 0pts**

**Rapid Rehousing Projects:** During CY16, the average positive outcome rate across all persons served in RRH projects in the Continuum **(a positive outcome for RRH is when an individual exits to a PH destination)** was 43%.

To indicate how this project contributed to housing stability across the system, please note the positive housing outcome rate listed in *Attachment 3*: \_\_\_\_\_\_%

**40-100% = 25 pts; 20-39% = 15 pts; 0-19% = 0 pts**

**C2. Exits to Homelessness: (0-20 points)**

To indicate the impact of this project ending homelessness, refer to *Attachment 4* (citing all CoC project leavers to emergency shelter or a place not meant for habitation) and note the percentage who exited this project to a shelter or the street. \_\_\_\_ %

**50% = 0 pts; 0% = 20 pts**

**C3. Effect on Income Growth: (0-20 points)**

During CY16, the average income growth rate across all persons served in CoC-funded projects (calculated between the two most recent assessments for stayers, or between entry and exit for leavers)was 56%.

To indicate how this project contributed to income growth across the system, please note the income growth rate listed in *Attachment 5*: \_\_\_\_\_\_%

**>80% = 20 pts; 50-79% = 15 pts; 20-49% = 10; 5-19% = 5 pts; <5% = 0 pts**

**C4. Number of Homeless Persons:** Was your project included in the final submission of the 2017 Housing

Inventory and Point in Time by the Collaborative Applicant (*to be verified by the Collaborative Applicant*). ***□ Yes 10 pts □ No 0 pts***

**C5.Data Quality & Completeness**: Based on your CY16 APR Q6: ***Any Yes - 0 pts All No - 5 pts***  **Yes No**

**C5a.** Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants’ Personally

Identifying Information? □ □

**C5b.** Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project’s Universal Data elements? □ □

**C5c.** Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project participants’ Income and

Housing data? □ □

**C5d**. Is there an error rate of more than 5% of project’s Chronic Homelessness data? □□

|  |
| --- |
| **B. CONTINUUM PRIORITIES &**  **SYSTEM IMPACT*: MAX 120 Points*** |

**B1. \_\_\_\_\_ *MAX 25 points***

**B1a.** \_\_\_ Points out of 20

**B1b.** \_\_\_Points out of 5

**B1c.**\_\_\_ Points out of 20

**B2. \_\_\_\_\_ *MAX 15 points***

**B2a.** \_\_\_ Points out of 15

**B2b.** \_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B3.­­\_\_\_\_\_ *MAX 15 points***

**B3a.** Zero points

**B3b.** \_\_\_ Points out of 15

**B3c**. Zero points

**B3d**. \_\_\_Points out of 15

**B4.** \_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 10

**B5.**\_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B6.**\_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B7.** \_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B8.** \_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B9.** \_\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B10.** \_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B11. \_\_\_\_ *MAX 20 points***

**B11a.** \_\_\_ Points out of 10

**B11b.** \_\_\_ Points out of 5

**B11b.** \_\_\_ Points out of 10

**B12.**\_\_\_\_ Points out of 5

|  |
| --- |
| **C. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES:**  ***MAX 80 Points*** |

**C1. \_\_\_\_\_** Points out of 25

**C2.\_\_\_\_\_** Points out of 20

**C3. \_\_\_\_\_** Points out of 20

**C4. \_\_\_\_\_** Points out of 10

**C5. \_\_\_\_\_** Points out of 5

**TOTAL Section B:**\_\_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL Section C:** \_\_\_\_\_\_

**OVERALL TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_\_**